

ERA 5 calibration to Elexon power

S. Gomez¹

¹School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh

2025-11-04

Table of contents I

1 Calibration to power

2 Overview

3 Calibration with linear model

4 GB level aggregation

Calibration to power

Updates

New

- Curtailment data to obtain potential generation
- Outage data to exclude periods where capacity is constrained
- Updated power curve comparison to data
- ERA 5 wind speed conversion to power

Next steps

- Learn power curve from data
- Benchmark models: Quantile mapping, GAMs
- Calibration spatiotemporal model


ERA5 vs Elexon generation

Calibrate a ERA5 driven estimate to **potential generation** accounting for spatiotemporal properties.

ERA5 at wind farms



Elexon wind farms map (2025)



Closest grid point to each wind farm location

Overview

Data sources

Wind speed

- ERA5 at wind farms
 - Hourly data
 - Spatial resolution $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$
 - 10m and 100m heights

Wind power

- Elexon BMU data (since 2019)
 - Half hourly data
 - Generation, curtailment, potential, capacity
 - Outage data (REMIT)
- REPD database
 - Location, turbine height, capacity

Overview

1. ERA 5 to wind farm

Vertical interpolation to turbine height h .

$$w(h) = w_{100} \left(\frac{h}{100} \right)^{\alpha}, \text{ where } \alpha = 1/7$$

2. Wind speed to power

Generic power curves rescaled to wind farm capacity.

$$\hat{PC}_i(w) = PC_i(w) \times \frac{C_i}{\text{Rated power}},$$

where C_i is the capacity at location i

Overview of power conversion

3. Potential generation

Curtailment and outages are two main events that impact observed generation o_{it}

- Curtailment is added giving rise to potential generation:

$$p_{it} = o_{it} + \text{curt}_{it}$$

- Outage data shows additional limits on capacity
- Currently outage periods are excluded

Calibration

4. Calibration


ERA5-derived power estimate \hat{p}_{it} is compared versus potential power p_{it}

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{p}_{it} &= \hat{P}C_i(w_{it}) \\ p_{it} &= \beta_0 + \beta\hat{p}_{it} + s_i + u_t,\end{aligned}$$


where s_i and u_t represent spatial and temporal effects.

Calibration with linear model

ERA5 based estimates vs Elexon 2024




ERA5 based estimates vs Elexon 2024



Comparison for all wind farms

- Working with 2024 data only
- Each point represents one hour and one location
- Showin a sample of points to simplify plotting




GB level aggregation

GB level aggregation

- Power aggregated at GB level

$$p_{\text{tot},t} = \sum_i p_{it} / \sum_i C_i$$


- Each point represents one hour
- Overestimation persists but dispersion is lower now





Density of aggregated power

- Cut-off wind speed isn't capture well by generic power curves
- This issue is markedly present in offshore data


Density of Elexon and ERA5 based estimate





Linear calibration by season





Difference in density by season



Linear calibration by time of day



Difference in density by hour



Next Steps

- Learn power curve from history
- Model for calibration
- Quantile mapping calibration