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Literature Review

In this study, 1kW permanent magnet synchronous machine (PMSM) type servo motor design aspects are discussed. In this concept, several comparative designs are modelled with FEA performance considerations. Servo motors are essential machines in industrial applications and have a basic specific property as high torque with low inertia (sausage type machine) for acceleration, speed, position accuracy and robust performance. Providing the basic properties depends on machine parameters such as diameter of the rotor-stator, pole-slot number, slot dimension, winding types, permanent magnet type etc. Design of these parameters effect the magnetic and electric load distribution, air gap flux density distribution (harmonic contents), flux leakages, cogging torque, end winding losses, thermal performance, machine dimension and cost [flieh].

The first critical consideration for designing AC PMSM servo is magnet type, location and shape in the rotor structure. There are two types PMSM servo known as internal permanent magnet synchronous machine (IPMSM), and surface mount permanent magnet synchronous machine (SMPMSM). The main difference between them the IPMSM can produce extra reluctance torque with hard manufacturing process. The detailed comparative performance analysis of the two type machines under identical conditions in terms of air gap flux density distribution, back EMF and current waveforms is critical for design process. IPMSM has more harmonics in its air gap flux density waveform than SMPMSM, which is caused by the rotor openings and the smaller air gap. Back EMF wave forms of the two type machines at the rated speed have nearly same amplitude. However, there are more harmonics components in the IPMSM, which are caused by the more harmonic air gap flux density components. The harmonic components of armature currents are slightly higher for the SPMSM with respect to IPMSM, which is due to the lower inductances. IPMSMs are superior in costs while SPMSMs are more robust, with higher overall efficiency and cooling performance. [dong].

Another important design issue about permanent magnets is shaping.

1. Analytical Calculations & Sizing

In this project, we design a AC PMSM type servo with respect to following specifications:

**The application:** Industrial servo systems

**The type of the machine:** Permanent magnet synchronous machines (PMSM), surface mount type.

**Power, voltage and current ratings:**  1kW, 220V - 4A (nominal for 1 phase), (3 phase inverter ratings: DC level of bulk is 310V (from 220V AC rms), 190Vph-ph, 3.1Aph, Y connected)

**Operating conditions:** 3000 rpm rated speed, 4Nm rated torque (12Nm max. torque), Duty type:S1 (Continuously), Natural cooling, IP 54 Enclosure (industrial applications), 0-55’C ambient temperature.

**Limitations (if there is any) such as mass, diameters, cost, efficiency:** max. mass 6kg, low inertia for obtaining dynamic response so the dimensions will not exceed the motor length L\_max=250mm, outer diameter Do\_max=125mm. (The smaller it can be designed, the better.)

**Design Procedure (Analytically)**

Machine constant:

Where, is output power, is represents the rotor volume, is synchronous frequency and machine constant is :

Where, is winding factor, is electric loading and is magnetic loading.

Where, is turn number per slot, is current flow through wire, is slot number, is inner diameter, is length of bore, is pole number, is flux per pole.

If we assume the iron core material for stator and rotor we can select the max magnetic loading as 1.8T (1.27T for average) which is saturation limit for selected material. Selection of electric loading depends on the required torque level.

Where, is tangential stress at x distance (will be rotor edge), is radius of the rotor.

According to specification of our motor the rated torque is 4Nm (12Nm max.) at rated output power 1kW. Also, max outer diameter is 125mm, so rough calculation (using table with respect to the worst case pole number 2) we can select the rotor diameter as nearly half of outer diameter 60mm (considering air gap, outer cover etc.). Determination of the axial length of the machine can be estimated by using again rough definition of aspect ratio for servo motors as (Torque-Aspect ratio graph from METU EE568 courses – Machine Design Basics, page 35), we can select the . At this time will be 250mm. The air gap can be selected as 1mm for providing mechanical reliability.

If we combine the known parameters up to now:

The average electrical loading will be 4715 A/m, (4.715 kA/m).

The air gap can be selected as 1mm for providing mechanical reliability.

**Suggestions for Improving System**

The coils

2. FEA Modelling

For the

**2a) Flux Density Vectors for Linear Materials (0, 45, 90 degree rotor)**

Flux density

**2b) Inductances and Stored Energy in the System**

Inductances

**2c) Torque Generation in the System**

Torque

3. Comparison & Discussion

In this section,

**3a) Flux Density Vectors for NonLinear Materials (0, 45, 90 degree rotor)**

curve) stator and rotor material

**3b) Inductances and Stored Energy in the Nonlinear System**

**3c) Torque Generation in the Nonlinear Material System**

As expected,

**3d) The effects of fringing and saturating effects with the linear and non-linear materials**

The difference

4. Conclusion

Beacuse
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