# Financial Manipulation with Generative Al

Serve AI (August 2024)

# Financial Manipulation Overview

- Financial Manipulation (also known as Financial Abuse or Financial Exploitation) refers to the deliberate actions taken by individuals, companies, or organizations to alter financial information or reports for personal gain, often at the expense of stakeholders or the integrity of financial markets (Crisis House, 2023).
- This can include activities such as falsifying accounting records, inflating revenue, hiding expenses, manipulating stock prices, and other deceptive practices intended to mislead investors, regulators, or the public about the true financial health or performance of an entity.
- The goal of financial manipulation is typically to achieve financial benefits, such as higher stock prices, better credit terms, or enhanced personal compensation.

# How Gen AI is being used in Financial Manipulation

## Deep Fakes

- Al-generated videos or audio recordings that appear authentic
- Used to impersonate executives or influential figures to manipulate stock prices or disseminate false information.
- **Example**: A deep fake video of a CEO announcing a fake merger or acquisition, leading to stock price fluctuations.

## Synthetic Data Generation

- Creation of artificial data that mimics real financial data
- Used to create fake transaction histories, financial reports, or market data
- **Example**: Generating fake trading volume data to create the illusion of market activity and influence investor behavior.

## How Gen Al is being used in Financial Manipulation Cont'd

#### **Automated Social Media Bots**

- Al-powered bots that can generate and spread fake news or misleading information on social media platforms
- Used to create coordinated misinformation campaigns to manipulate public perception and market sentiments
- **Example**: Bots spreading false rumors about a company's financial health, causing panic selling or buying.

#### Algorithmic Trading Manipulation

- Using generative AI to develop sophisticated trading algorithms that can manipulate market conditions
- Executing trades based on AI-generated signals that can create artificial price movements or exploit market inefficiencies
- **Example**: A trading bot that uses AI to predict and manipulate short-term market trends, leading to unfair trading advantages.

## Case Study 1: WPP Deep fake Scandal

**Scenario**: In May 2024, the CEO of WPP, a global advertising and public relations company, became the victim of a sophisticated deep fake scam. Cybercriminals used advanced generative AI technology to create a convincing deep fake video of the CEO. This video was used to impersonate the CEO and communicate with employees and external partners, instructing them to transfer significant amounts of money to unauthorized accounts.

**Impacts**: Financial losses, drop in WPP's stock prices, company reputation damaged, increased scrutiny

How is this financial manipulation using AI: By creating a believable impersonation of a high-ranking executive, cybercriminals were able to manipulate financial transactions and exploit trust within the company. This incident underscores the potential risks and impacts of AI-driven financial manipulation, emphasizing the need for robust detection mechanisms, enhanced security protocols, and greater awareness of the capabilities and dangers of generative AI in the financial sector.

# Case Study 2: Flash Crash of 2010

**Scenario**: The 2010 "Flash Crash" refers to a sudden and severe stock market crash on May 6, 2010. The Dow Jones Industrial Average plummeted about 1,000 points within minutes before recovering almost as quickly. This incident was triggered by high-frequency trading algorithms, which interacted in unexpected ways, creating a feedback loop of rapidly selling and buying.

Impacts: Market instability, drop in investor confidence, and regulatory response

How is this financial manipulation using AI: The Flash Crash exemplifies how automated trading algorithms, an early form of AI, can disrupt financial markets. In today's context, more advanced generative AI poses even greater risks. AI can now create deep fakes, synthetic identities, and manipulate market data, leading to increased financial manipulation. This underscores the need for robust detection and prevention strategies to safeguard financial systems from AI-driven manipulation.

# **Detection and Prevention Strategies**

## Regulatory Frameworks

- Review the existing regulatory environment to ensure that it addresses the emerging challenges of algorithmic trading manipulation.
- Verify that regulations explicitly prohibit manipulative trading practices and outline penalties for violations.
- Assess the effectiveness of regulatory enforcement mechanisms in deterring manipulative behaviors.
- Evaluate the inclusivity of regulations to cover a wide range of algorithmic trading tactics that could be exploited for manipulation.

#### Market Surveillance

- Confirm the implementation of advanced monitoring systems capable of real-time detection of unusual trading patterns, spikes, or anomalies.
- Verify the integration of AI and machine learning technologies in surveillance systems to enhance pattern recognition and anomaly detection.
- Evaluate the efficiency of surveillance systems in providing timely alerts and notifications to regulatory bodies and market participants.
- Assess the responsiveness of the surveillance systems to swiftly address potential instances of manipulation.

## Algorithmic Oversight

- Ensure that regulations mandate a framework for the oversight of algorithmic trading practices, including risk assessment and mitigation strategies.
- Verify that algorithms used for trading are subject to auditing and validation processes to ensure adherence to ethical standards.
- Evaluate whether there are mechanisms in place to assess the potential impact of algorithmic trading on market stability and fairness.
- Confirm that regulatory authorities have the capacity to understand and evaluate complex algorithmic strategies and their implications.

#### <u>Transparency</u>

- Assess the extent to which transparency is promoted through regulations by mandating clear disclosures about algorithmic trading practices and strategies.
- Verify that market participants are required to provide detailed information about their trading algorithms, including parameters and decision-making processes.
- Evaluate the effectiveness of transparency measures in enabling market participants to make informed decisions and identify potential manipulative activities.
- Confirm that transparency requirements extend to both buy-side and sell-side participants, fostering a level playing field.

## **Enhanced Technology**

- Review initiatives aimed at developing and implementing advanced technologies specifically designed to identify and prevent manipulative tactics.
- Evaluate the integration of AI-driven technologies that can analyze large volumes of data to identify anomalies and potential manipulative patterns.
- Assess the real-time capabilities of enhanced technologies in preemptively detecting manipulative behaviors and triggering alerts.
- Verify the collaboration between technology providers, financial institutions, and regulatory bodies to ensure the continuous enhancement of detection capabilities.

#### Al-related issues

- Consider potential biases in AI-driven detection systems that could lead to false positives or negatives in identifying manipulative behaviors.
- Evaluate the adaptability of AI algorithms to evolving manipulation tactics and their ability to learn and adapt over time.
- Confirm the presence of safeguards to prevent AI algorithms from becoming tools for manipulation themselves, including rigorous testing and validation.
- Assess the explainability of AI-driven detection mechanisms to ensure that the rationale behind flagged activities can be understood and justified.

## SSC's Take on Generative Al

The Social Security Council (SSC) recognizes the immense potential of generative AI to boost productivity and economic value across various sectors. However, they stress the importance of addressing significant risks such as inaccuracies, cybersecurity threats, and intellectual property issues. Many organizations lack robust governance frameworks to manage these risks effectively. To ensure responsible use, the SSC advocates for comprehensive AI governance, including clear policies, risk mitigation controls, and continuous monitoring systems, along with fostering a culture of awareness and education about AI risks among employees (McKinsey & Company).

## How is this applicable to Serve IT?

Generative AI's role in financial manipulation highlights the critical need for robust cybersecurity and ethical considerations, which are directly applicable to the Serve IT program. In Serve IT, students work with local nonprofits, often handling sensitive financial and personal data. Understanding the risks associated with generative AI, such as deepfake scams and synthetic identity fraud, equips students with the knowledge to protect these organizations from similar threats. Furthermore, by being mindful about the misuse of generative AI into their projects, students can develop and implement stronger security protocols and ethical guidelines for the nonprofits they work with. This ensures that they not only build technical solutions but also contribute to creating safer, more trustworthy systems.

## Links

https://crisishouse.org/blog/what-is-financial-abuse/

https://nypost.com/2024/05/10/business/ceo-of-wpp-falls-victim-to-deepfake-scam/

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dlq16lZBnDY

https://www.theguardian.com/business/2015/apr/22/2010-flash-crash-new-york-stock-exchange-unfolded

https://www.mckinsey.com/mgi/our-research/dont-wait-create-with-generative

https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/quantumblack/our-insights/the-state-of-ai-in-2023-generative-Als-breakout-year

https://chatgpt.com/