Comp2006_CW1 Student number: 10700220

Section1 – Question 1 Assumptions:

- Alice wants to send a non-confidential message to Bob, so encryption is not required.
- 2. Alice and Bob have pre-shared a secret key (symmetric key).
- 3. Alice and Bob have synchronized clocks.

Flow, the Message, Actions Involved:

- Alice creates a secret key that she will share with Bob. K and K₂. Fig 1.
- Alice creates a private key Pr and a public key Pu. Alice would keep the private key to herself whilst the public key could be used by someone else, in this instance Bob will use it to validate the signature sent with the message later in the sequence.
- 3. Alice generates the plaintext message M. "The company website has not limited the number of transactions a single user or device can perform in a given period of time. The transactions/time should be above the actual business requirement, but low enough to deter automated attacks."
- Alice creates a timestamp t and adds this to the message (t, M).
- Alice uses secret key K to create a hash of the combined timestamp and message (t, M, K) = hash(t, M, K) = hash_code.
- Alice creates a digital signature using their private key Pr from step 2 above and the hash_code, containing a hash of the timestamp, plaintext message, and secret key, to create a digital signature Pr(hash code).
- The message Pr(hash_code) = Pr(hash(t, M, K)) is sent to Bob.
- Bob received the transmitted message in the form of 64 bytes, each byte contains 8 bits = 512 bits. Bob uses the public key Pu to validate the signature Pu(Pr(hash(t, M, K)) => hash(t, M, K).
- Bob now uses the copy of the shared secret key K₂ to verify the hash that Alice created earlier with their own K secret key. K₂(hash(t, M, K)) => (t, M).
- 10. Bob would now be able to view the timestamp and the message.

How this Protocol Avoids Modification of Message and Replay Attacks:

 Avoids Modification: Alice signs the message using her private key Pu. So long as only Alice possesses Pu this digital signature can only be produced by Alice using Pu, and Alice cannot

- later repudiate (deny) signing the message. The message could be read by Bob and a 'man in the middle' because the key used to verify the digital signature is public. But if the man in the middle were to modify the message in any way, they would not be able to replicate Alice's signature without the private key. Since the hash_code is generated by Alice using a symmetric secret key K, and Bob recalculates the HMAC using the shared secret key K₂. The hash_code in the received message and the one recalculated by Bob should match, if they do not, then Bob would be alerted to the possibility of tampering.
- 2. Replay Attack Mitigation: Alice includes a timestamp in the message sent, Bob can compare this with the current time and if the message is too old, Bob could choose to disregard the message, mitigating possible replay attack. Alice and Bob agree suitable parameters for this beforehand. Also, the time stamp is added to the message before the message is hashed by Alice with secret key K, if the timestamp were tampered with, when Bob recalculates the received hash, the result would not match the new calculated hash, alerting Bob to a possible attack.

Section 2 – Question 2 Implementation Process:

```
# 1. Generate a random secret key K

def generate_secret_key():
    return os.urandom(32) # 32 bytes for a 256-bit key

# Alice generates a secret key and shares it with Bob

def share_secret_key(secret_key):
    print("Shared Secret Key:", secret_key.hex())

if __mane__ == "__main_":

# Alice generates the secret key

secret_key = generate_secret_key()

# Alice shares the secret key with Bob

share_secret_key(secret_key)
```

Shared Secret Key: 1ad422dc68d87d12c5e8be7b970c435cf641b283474019631591f842bf0132cc

Fig 1. shows the function returning a 32-byte string corresponding to the 256-bit key. The secret key **K** is then shared with Bob **K**₂, here it is simply printed but in a real-world scenario it would be sent to Bob securely.

Comp2006_CW1 Student number: 10700220

```
# 2. Generate a private key Pr and pu
def generate private_key():
return rsa.generate_private_key(
public_exponent=65537,
key_size=2048,
backend=default_backend()
)
d)

def extract_ubilic_key(private_key):
    return private_key.public_key()

def serialize_private_key(private_key):
    return private_key.private_key):
    return private_key.private_bytes(
    return private_key.private_bytes()
    format-serialization.PrivateFormat.PKCSB,
    encryption_algorithm-serialization.NoEncryption()
)
                          )
name_ == "_main_":
private_key = generate_private_key()
public_key = extract_public_key(private_key)
private_key_pem - serialize_private_key(private_key)
private_key_pem = serialize_public_key(private_key)
print("Private_key;")
print(private_key;")
print(private_key;")
print(private_key;")
print(private_key;")
print(private_key;")
print(private_key;")
```

Fig 2. Alice generates a private key Pr using the RSA algorithm and a key size of 2048 bits, then extracts the corresponding public key Pu from the private key object, then serialises the keys into 'Privacy-Enhanced Mail' (PEM) format, this is often used to define the structure of the file used to store a bit of data, in this case the keys [2]. The keys are very long so will not be shown here but are shown in Appendix 1.

```
1 plain_text_message = """The company website has not limited the number of transactions a single user or devices
2 can perform in a given period of time. The transactions/time should be above the actual business requirement,
3 but low enough to deter automated attacks."""
4 print(plain_text_message)
The company website has not limited the number of transactions a single user or device can perform in a given period of time. The transactions/time should be above the actual business requirement, but low enough to deter automated attacks.
Hessage with Timestamp:
2024-03-12 22:06:590: The company website has not limited the number of transactions a single user or device can perform in a
sieven period of time. The transactions/time should be above the actual business requirement, but low enough to deter automat
```

Fig 3. The first of the two code snippets above shows the plain text message M that Alice wants to send to Bob, and this is printed. Alice then creates and adds a timestamp t to the message formatted as a string and concatenated with the message and printed to demonstrate. (t, M)

```
Hash Code:
81b03996830fd3e897be5c858f11504daa9000941cc01c9bfa011ad70a513d0f
           ),
hashes.SHA256()
        __name__ == "_main__":
signature = create signature(private_key, hash_code)
signed hash_code = hash_code + signature
print("Signed Hash Code:")
print(signed_hash_code.hex())
```

Fig 4. The first of the two code snippets above shows how a hashed message authentication code 'HMAC' using the hash function algorithm

SHA-256 is created from Alice's secret key K and (t, M) => hash(t, M, K) = hash_code printed Any modification to the message or the timestamp would result in a different hash. The second code snippet is where Alice creates a digital signature using their private key Pr and appends this to hash_code. Pr(hash(t, M, K)) => Pr(hash code), signed hash is printed.

```
1 # 7. Code for Alice to generate the hash and transmit the message and hash to Bob S(hash(T,N,K))
2 import hanc
3 def generate_hash(message_with_timestamp, shared_secret_key):
4 return haw.mer(shared_secret_key, message_with_timestamp.encode(), 'sha256').digest()
5 return haw.mer(shared_secret_key, message_with_timestamp.encode(), 'sha256').digest()
6 message_with_timestamp 'sQA-09-31215ino000: The company website has not limited the number of transactions a sing shared_secret_key b'hySecretKey[215']
7 shared_secret_key b'hySecretKey[215']
8 hash_code = penerate_hash(message_with_timestamp.shared_secret_key)
8 message_with_timestamp 'smallter_message' b'hysecretKey[315']
9 message_with_timest
                                           uitted Message: 2024-09:12 IS:30:00: The company website has not limited the number of transactions a single user or de
am perform in a given period of time. The transactions/time should be above the actual business requirement, but low e
to deter automated attacks.
```

Fig 5. Above simulates the printed output being sent to Bob. Bob receives Pr(hash(t, M, K)).

```
# 8. Bob receives mescape and verifies the signature
from cryptography exceptions import towalidsignature
# Function to verify the signature using public key
def verify_signature(public,key, hash_code, signature):
try;
public,key,verify(
signature,
                                    mgf=padding.MGF1(hashes.SHA256()),
salt length=padding.PSS.MAX LENGTH
                          ),
hashes.SHA256()
return False

"main":

is_signature_valid = verify_signature(public_key, hash_code, signature)

if is_signature_valid:

print("Signature Verification Result: VALID")
                    print("Signature Verification Result: INVALID")
```

Fig 6. The code snippet above shows how Alice's signature is verified using Alice's public key Pu. Inputs are the hash code of the message and the signature. Successful verification returns a valid signature 'true'. This verifies that the message was signed by Alice the private key holder.

Pu(Pr(hash(t, M, K)) => hash(t, M, K).

```
import hmac
# 9. Function to verify the hash using the secret key
def verify hash(message with timestamp, shared secret key, received hash):
    computed hash = hmac.new(shared_secret key, message_with_timestamp.encode(), 'sha256').dig
    print("Groupted Hash:", computed hash.hex())
    print("Received Hash:", received_hash.hex())
    if hmac.compare_digest(computed_hash, received_hash):
        return True
                     else:
return False
 return False

if _name_ = "_main_":

message_with_timestamp = "2024-03-12 15:30:00: The company website has not limited the num
shared_secret_key = b 'MySecretKey123'

received_hash = bytes.fromhex('8ib03996830fd3e897b5c858f11504daa900094lcc01c9bfa011ad7085

is hash_valid = verify_hash(message_with_timestamp, shared_secret_key, received_hash)

if is_hash_valid.

print("Hash verification successful. The message is authentic.", message_with_timestamp.
                                     print("Hash verification failed. The message may have been tampered with.")
 Computed Hash: 81b03996830fd3e897be5c858f11504daa9000941cc01c9bfa011ad70a513d0f
Received Hash: 81b03996830fd3e897be5c858f11504daa9000941cc01c9bfa011ad70a513d0f
Hash verification successful. The message is authentic. 2024-03-12 15:30:00: The company website h as not limited the number of transactions a single user or device can perform in a given period of time. The transactions/time should be above the actual business requirement, but low enough to det er automated attacks.
```

Fig 7. Above is the verify hash function where Bob can verify that the message within hash(t, M, K) has not been tampered with. Bob computes a hash reversing the process that Alice used to create the hash, Bob computes a hash using the secret key **K**₂ that Alice shared. Comp2006_CW1 Student number: 10700220

The result should equal the hash Alice created in step 5., of the 'Flow' section above. The output here shows they are the same. With this extracted, Bob would be left with the message and the timestamp (t, M). Bob would be able to see the timestamp and so long as it was within acceptable parameters he could accept the message had not been replayed.

Critical Analysis and Justification:

Fig 8. In fig 8 above the secret key is incorrect and the output shows that the message may have been tampered with, the two hashes do not match. Distinct from Fig 7., above where the correct secret key has been used to recalculate the hash and the two hashes match and the plaintext message has also been printed.

Vulnerabilities:

Key management is crucial if the private key is compromised an attacker could forge the signature. A breach in confidentiality for either of the secret keys (used to generate the HMAC) or the HMAC could seriously jeopardize the security of this protocol and could lead to unauthorized tampering and replay. It is imperative that the secret key remains secret between Alice and Bob, this is crucial to protect against modification of the message by an attacker. If an attacker somehow got hold of the secret key, they could intercept and modify the message, albeit Alice's private key would still be required to properly sign the message. Also, if any of the keys K, K2, Pr became known to an attacker all past and future communications could be compromised and messages could be replayed, tampered with, or forged.

The protocol depends upon cryptographic algorithms that could weaken over time increasing the possibility of attack, these should be reviewed, for vulnerabilities, and updated regularly to keep security measures current and relevant.

Conclusion: The protocol described uses digital signature, and timestamp validation to protect against man in the middle attacks of unauthorized tampering and replay. Despite its strengths, the protocol has limitations particularly surrounding key management. Alice would need to keep the private key safe, anyone in possession of the private key could not only forge Alice's signature but also tamper with the message and timestamp before signing it, and the message recipient (Bob) would very likely not realise that the message received had been compromised. The protocol provides mechanisms for ensuring message integrity and protects against replay and would be a good foundation for secure communication practices.

References:

- 1. Stallings, W., 2011. Cryptography and network security, 5/E.
- 2. What Is a PEM File and How Do You Use It? (howtogeek.com)
- Link to full code at GitHub: https://github.com/Settings2022/Comp2006
 _CW1.git

Comp2006_CW1 Student number: 10700220

Appendices:

Appendix 1:

Private Key: ----BEGIN PRIVATE KEY----MIIEvQIBADANBgkqhkiG9w0BAQEFAASCBKcw ggSjAgEAAoIBAQCfbVRNnqGoDN/d Nv6uIWIpXW3W/bMUpHNkc67fwqQWwSLAgjWm muXILOOxS0OPy6momVhyMuyitZYu wuGNvN0HbRHsZ8GfbpwNQfi6Bo3yQpv2kQY5 gr9A+DR0BIFnUgikC0ZSnHGsDGvX WcGv0FDf9FIO5YCUzmkE44tD+wcxNrlpYBSg 5XpT3W9qIC2MXZH2LmzcElsHBaJa ySPvmwCeswLMa1vDV30kA6Ln2zUkNC/n3BAs xLX8dSGIEB0c7H4hXOeaMvylaEnm 8JlMkarfb/SChr3YtFwrAs3MYYL//lob7Bp7 fhT/a4wgnsUHgi6ZzOrX9KaEKIKo Q6vM4KbPAgMBAAECggEAOWyx7vtykwuAHzhN 5/7SUr/6bnQXaxoJDme015hObnvG vryoAojMt5uvZOoNPeBIDhieCRRatAjgPZuo jts2ahRZXmPJFFpND2Fx69+shVSE xP1b1p9HsGk2y4tL6SGW6O++tsbvEt+ugHUu FNveJazlWcRE21S28zHa2fOzlX/k XdMa7AxZ7/SIBp5uh5ZdHcG35yGPhkG6qfyl Tg70fsq4Zj+wZ9dVhbDKFzMxgrKM aeVJE8ySig2TeH8N1K9nKRMSbFEU+WRCVn5/ xfSqOZ/FMo+ayZrEIk9YDZHOSSRF UFJzx+80LhbljZFHTp6KrSWYcPASqcLEaNO4 qa7W0QKBgQDf8Io9sElB+np3v/De BNufwxl3TtiOfotsKWjzDmFjpt37K5xzs2im v/s7eB1fZhM4/H4+ruKMepZG/73q vXZ3V7MM44j/tQZN7M4Yook1LY3XRA5TytpW hKmq6qm6LqRGUzGulXsVi6VJ0eck +qwL143p28Z5LkqUo9xXjRmIKwKBqQC2QGIv Ag5vyLEHE+ob6IlKigaPpUj/AZ7r /xtxdpNQhATtw42+k1SGNzKMhoXvAxQn3hiI Jeo/pTkWMwLPXRVhVfg+y4jatT57 TrFTC+QQHskpmnfV8h2hefoyo9bul8g18D+z pJBpWPny4DjzGZdrLDvdBK1THsUk hCccwJ5F7QKBgDblvt30k2DrSI6GrU0gKT73 Ew0edRQpjYBMfn/nLJTDWXOzcz0h 5CvMsIgZoAm8+kVkEIbJVJxfiOuK0kHzhFEp XKlyNimJdSwxOyzq23v/2N/GvURp XDENgJJ3yHftw/qBdpJ37p6Ph0ube3CjSv3k f10vHu6iG+WDbgbAflvVAoGBAKu4 YkqUj3G4EUTv+KevJJz9DE2QmQTdTBZk2kDA TvGQUuyMUyP7wapsm85Yeh3IMteV pluyDdNGJFHMYptrw1dhH3RbZmlcWLDqZp4v GAYwW649gygs5spdGedZBIzuqpBX /E2Rgxgf4/J6Xm5/8HHkzcrk000UPIC5m/i1 bOvJAoGAORsKTjro/uhtpmRQlx26

zIwxUn/+8ptPPxsHif34vNX7k0NRZUkQs66G lUNxjFqtZdcV5hVEYvtuJv+6QIru CjM072+deNT3L7FCH+OpRaJwYbigsQL8Ox3s 5Le+31igqhbdcKTCY6UrE1n6M29M HZEKL0ec+7agCslTYN1V1Eg= ----END PRIVATE KEY----

Public Key:

----BEGIN PUBLIC KEY---MIIBIJANBgkqhkiG9w0BAQEFAAOCAQ8AMIIB
CgKCAQEAn21UTZ6hqAzf3Tb+riFi
KV1t1v2zFKRzZHOu38KkFsEiwII1pprlyC0E
MUtDJ8upqJlYcjLsorWWLsLhjbzd
B20R7GfBn26cDUH4ugaN8kKb9pEGOYK/QPg0
dASBZ1KopAtGUpxxrAxr11nBr9BQ
3/RSDuWAlM5pBOOLQ/sHMTa5aWAUoOV6U91v
YCAtjF2R9i5s3BJbBwWiWskj75sA
nrMCzGtbw1d9JAOi59s1JDQv59wQLMS1/HUh
iBAdHOx+IVznmjL8pWhJ5vCZTJGq
32/0goa92LRcKwLNzGGC//9aG+wae34U/2uM
IJ7FB4Iumczq1/SmhCiCqEOrzOCm
zwIDAQAB

----END PUBLIC KEY----