

Pilsung Kang

School of Industrial Management Engineering


Korea University

# Self-Training

- Assumption in the self-training
  - ✓ One's own high confidence predictions are correct
- Basic self-training algorithm
  - ✓ Train  $f$  from  $(\mathbf{X}_l, \mathbf{y}_l)$
  - ✓ Predict on  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}_u$
  - ✓ Add  $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$  to labeled data
  - ✓ Repeat
- Variations in self-training
  - ✓ Add a few most confident  $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$  to labeled data
  - ✓ Add all  $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$  to labeled data
  - ✓ Add all  $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$  to labeled data, weigh each by confidence

# Self-Training

- Procedure



# Self-Training: Example I


Zhu (2007)

- Image Categorization

1. Train a naïve Bayes classifier on the two initial labeled images



2. Classify unlabeled data, sort by confidence  $\log p(y = \text{astronomy} | x)$




# Self-Training: Example I


Zhu (2007)

- Image Categorization

3. Add the most confident images and **predicted** labels to labeled data



4. Re-train the classifier and repeat





# Self-Training: Example 2

Zhu (2009)

- Propagating 1-Nearest Neighbor


Input: labeled data  $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^l$ , unlabeled data  $\{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=l+1}^{l+u}$ , distance function  $d()$ .

1. Initially, let  $L = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^l$  and  $U = \{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j=l+1}^{l+u}$ .
2. Repeat until  $U$  is empty:
3.     Select  $\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in U} \min_{\mathbf{x}' \in L} d(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$ .
4.     Set  $f(\mathbf{x})$  to the label of  $\mathbf{x}$ 's nearest instance in  $L$ .  
Break ties randomly.
5.     Remove  $\mathbf{x}$  from  $U$ ; add  $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$  to  $L$ .


# Self-Training: Example 2

Zhu (2009)


- Propagating I-Nearest Neighbor




(a) Iteration 1



(b) Iteration 25



(c) Iteration 74




(d) Final labeling of all instances


# Self-Training: Example 2

Zhu (2009)



- Propagating 1-Nearest Neighbor (with a single outlier)



(a)



(b)







# Self-Training: Summary

- Advantages
  - ✓ The simplest semi-supervised learning method
  - ✓ A wrapper method, applies to existing (complex) classifiers
  - ✓ Often used in real tasks like natural language processing
- Disadvantages
  - ✓ Early mistakes could reinforce themselves
  - ✓ Cannot say too much in terms of convergence

# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Blum and Mitchell (1998), Yu et al. (2011)

- Co-training
  - ✓ Two views of an item: image and HTML text



# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training


- Feature split

Each instance is represented by two sets of features  $x = [x^{(1)}; x^{(2)}]$

- $x^{(1)}$  = image features
- $x^{(2)}$  = web page text
- This is a natural feature split (or multiple views)

Co-training idea:


- Train an image classifier and a text classifier
- The two classifiers teach each other



# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Han et al. (2020)

- Feature split




# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)




- Multi-Co-Training for Text Classification



# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training


Kim et al. (2019)

- Multi-Co-Training for Text Classification




Document1					
Word	TF	N	DF	IDF	TF-IDF
This	4	3	3	1/3	1.33
is	5	2	3	1/3	1.67
an	3	3	3	1/3	1
Example	2	3	1	1	2

**LDA**



**Doc2vec**






# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Multi-Co-Training for Text Classification

- ✓ Step I) Create multi-views: TF-IDF, LDA and Doc2Vec




# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Multi-Co-Training for Text Classification

- ✓ Step1) Create multi-views: TF-IDF, LDA and Doc2vec
- ✓ Step2) Build models and then predict unlabeled examples




# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Multi-Co-Training for Text Classification


- ✓ Step1) Create multi-views: TF-IDF, LDA and Doc2vec
- ✓ Step2) Build models and then predict unlabeled examples
- ✓ Step3) Add the predicted examples with high confidence to labeled examples
- ✓ Step4) Continue repeating it until all unlabeled examples are annotated



# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Confidence measure with Naïve Bayesian
  - ✓ Intra confidence:  $-Entropy$
  - ✓ Inter confidence:  $-Training\ error$
  - ✓ Confidence measure =  $-Entropy \times -Training\ error$



# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Experiment: Data sets


Data	Description	Category	No. of documents
Economic	Whether a news article data is associated with the US economy	No : 6,458 (82.12%) Yes : 1,406 (17.88%)	7,864
20 Newsgroup	Data of 20,000 messages collected from 20 different news categories	Computer : 4,863 (30.40%) Recreation : 3,957 (24.74%) Science : 3,933 (24.59%) Talk : 3,244 (20.27%)	15,997
Ohsumed	Article-related abstracts of medical data	C04 : 2,630 (50.77%) C14 : 2,550 (49.23%)	5,180
Reuters	21,578 documents obtained from the Reuters news data	Earn : 3,953 (51.67%) Non-earn : 4,697 (48.33%)	8,650

# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Experiment: Evaluation procedure

- ✓ Evaluate the average and its standard deviation of Balanced Classification Rate (BCR)







# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Experiment: Results



**Fig. 7** TPR—TNR plots for SL, ST, and MCT for Economic dataset (Size = label(%))




**Fig. 8** TPR—TNR plots for SL, ST, and MCT for Newsgroup dataset (Size = label(%))


# Multi-view Algorithm: Co-Training

Kim et al. (2019)

- Experiment: Results



**Fig. 9** TPR—TNR plots for SL, ST, and MCT for Ohsumed dataset (Size = label(%))



**Fig. 10** TPR—TNR plots of SL, ST, and MCT for Reuters dataset (Size = label(%))



# References

## Research Papers

- Ben-David, S., Lu, T., & Pál, D. (2008, July). Does Unlabeled Data Provably Help? Worst-case Analysis of the Sample Complexity of Semi-Supervised Learning. In *COLT* (pp. 33-44).
- Bennett, K., & Demiriz, A. (1999). Semi-supervised support vector machines. *Advances in Neural Information processing systems*, 368-374.
- Blum, A., & Mitchell, T. (1998, July). Combining labeled and unlabeled data with co-training. In *Proceedings of the eleventh annual conference on Computational learning theory* (pp. 92-100). ACM.
- Fox-Roberts, P., & Rosten, E. (2014). Unbiased generative semi-supervised learning. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(1), 367-443.
- Han, T., Xie, W., and Zisserman, A.. (2020). Self-supervised Co-training for video representation, *In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Kim, D., Seo, D., Cho, S., & Kang, P. (2019). Multi-co-training for document classification using various document representations: TF-IDF, LDA, and Doc2Vec. *Information Science* 477, 15-29.
- Kingma, D. P., Mohamed, S., Rezende, D. J., & Welling, M. (2014). Semi-supervised learning with deep generative models. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (pp. 3581-3589).
- Singh, A., Nowak, R., & Zhu, X. (2009). Unlabeled data: Now it helps, now it doesn't. In *Advances in neural information processing systems* (pp. 1513-1520).
- Yu, S., Krishnapuram, B., Rosales, R., & Rao, R. B. (2011). Bayesian co-training. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 12, 2649-2680
- Zhou, Z. H., & Li, M. (2005, July). Semi-Supervised Regression with Co-Training. In *IJCAI* (Vol. 5, pp. 908-913).

# References

## Other materials

- Figures in the first page: 하상욱 단편시집 – 서울 시
- Zhu, X. (2007). Semi-Supervised Learning Tutorial. International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML 2007).
- Choi, S. (2015). Deep Learning:A Quick Overview. Deep Learning Workshop. KIISE.
- Zien, A. (2008). Semi-Supervised Learning. Summer School on Neural Networks.
- Zhu, X. (2009). Tutorial on Semi-Supervised Learning. Theory and Practice of Computational Learning.