

# Regression Analysis Assignment1 Solution

November 1, 2021

**Problem1.** Let  $L(\beta^t, \lambda^t) = \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^q \lambda_j(\mathbf{A}^t \beta - \mathbf{c})_j$ , where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_q)^t \in \mathbb{R}^q$ . Suppose  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$  and  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q)^t \in \mathbb{R}^q$  satisfy following:

$$\left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_i} \right|_{\beta=\gamma, \lambda=u} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, p+1 \quad (1)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_j} \right|_{\beta=\gamma, \lambda=u} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, q \quad (2)$$

Write  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A}_1 | \mathbf{A}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{A}_q)$ . Solving the equation (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta_i} \right|_{\beta=\gamma, \lambda=u} &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})_{ik} \gamma_k - 2(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y})_i + \sum_{l=1}^q u_l (\mathbf{A}_l^t)_i \\ &= 2 \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})_{ik} \gamma_k - 2(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y})_i + \sum_{l=1}^q u_l \mathbf{A}_{il} = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, p+1 \end{aligned}$$

Reformulating this, we have  $2(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})\gamma - 2\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{A}u = 0 \dots (*)$ . Solving equation (2) gives

$$\left. \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_j} \right|_{\beta=\gamma, \lambda=u} = \mathbf{A}_j^t \gamma_j - \mathbf{c}_j = 0, \quad \mathbf{A}_j^t \gamma_j = \mathbf{c}_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

Again, reformulation of this equation is given by  $\mathbf{A}^t \gamma = \mathbf{c} \dots (**)$ , which is our given constraint. To solve (\*),

$$2(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})\gamma = 2\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{A}u, \quad \gamma = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}u$$

Plug in this into (\*\*). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^t ((\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}u) &= \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}u &= \mathbf{c} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}u &= -\mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{c}. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $u = 2[\mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}]^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{c})$ . Note that as  $\mathbf{A}$  is full rank,  $\mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}$  is indeed invertible. Thus we have

$$\gamma = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A} [\mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{A}]^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^t (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{c})$$

By KKT condition, we already have  $\hat{\beta}_r = \gamma$ . Alternatively, one can easily verify  $\gamma = \arg \min_{\mathbf{A}^t \beta = \mathbf{c}} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}\beta\|$  by direct computation.

**Problem2.** Write  $\mathbf{X}_{(k)} = (\mathbf{X}_1^t | \mathbf{X}_2^t | \cdots | \mathbf{X}_k^t)$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{(-)} = (\mathbf{X}_{k+1}^t | \cdots | \mathbf{X}_n^t)$ , so that  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_{(k)} | \mathbf{X}_{(-)})^t$ . Similarly, write  $\mathbf{Y}_{(k)} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)^t$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_{(-)} = (Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n)^t$ , so that  $\mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{Y}_{(k)}, \mathbf{Y}_{(-)})^t$ . Note that  $\hat{\beta}_{(-)} = (\mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)}$ . Since  $\|\mathbf{Y}_{(k)} - \mathbf{X}_{(k)} \beta\| \geq 0$  for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$  and the equality holds when  $\beta = \hat{\beta}$ , by the uniqueness of minimizer of  $g(\beta) = \|\mathbf{Y}_{(k)} - \mathbf{X}_{(k)} \beta\|$ , one can see that  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(k)}$ . Because  $\mathbf{X}$  is full rank, so are  $\mathbf{X}_{(k)}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{(-)}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)}$  are invertible. Since  $\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y} = (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t | \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t) \mathbf{Y} \\ &= (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t | \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t) \mathbf{Y} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma.** For  $m \times m$  matrices  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$  and  $m \times m$  identity matrix  $\mathbf{I}$ ,  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{AB}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{BA}$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{AB}$  is invertible. Suppose for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{BA})\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{BAx}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{ABAx}$  and so  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{AB})\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ . Because  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{AB}$  is invertible,  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$  and thus  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . ( $\because \mathbf{A}$  is invertible.) This implies  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{BA}$  is invertible. By changing the role of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$ , one can similarly show that "if" part also holds.  $\square$

Using Woodbury's formula and the result of Lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t | \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t) \mathbf{Y} \\ &= (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} - (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)}) (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t | \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t) \mathbf{Y} \\ &= (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} - (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)}) ((\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(k)} \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)}) \\ &= (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} - (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)}) (\hat{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)}) \\ &= \hat{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} - (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} \\ &\quad (\hat{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)}) \end{aligned}$$

Deleting  $\hat{\beta}$  in both hand sides, one have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} &= (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\hat{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)}) \\ \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} &= (\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\hat{\beta} + (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)}) \end{aligned}$$

By mutliplying both hand sides by  $\mathbf{I}_{p+1} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1}$  on the left,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} &= \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} \hat{\beta} + \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} (\mathbf{X}_{(k)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(k)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} \\ \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} &= \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)} \hat{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{X}_{(-)})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{(-)}^t \mathbf{Y}_{(-)} = \hat{\beta}_{(-)}$ .

**Problem3.** We first derive  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu_{\mathbf{z}} = \beta_0 + \mathbf{z}^t \beta_1$ . Let  $\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{z}^t \hat{\beta}_1$ . Recall that  $\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{Y} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 \hat{\beta}_1$ . Note that  $\mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} = \mathbf{1}^t (\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^t) \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{1}^t - \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t + \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}}^t &= \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} + \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{z} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{z} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{z} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{z} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{z} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} + (\mathbf{z}^t - \frac{\mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1}{n}) (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} (\mathbf{z} - \frac{\mathbf{X}_1^t \mathbf{1}}{n}) = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the variance of  $\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}) &= \text{Var}(\bar{Y} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 \hat{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{Y} - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{X}_1 (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{z}^t (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \text{Var}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{Y}) = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}} \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}}^t = \sigma^2 \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{z}}^t = \sigma^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}} \end{aligned}$$

**Claim.**  $\hat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{Y}$  is independent of  $\text{SSE} = \mathbf{Y}^t (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) \mathbf{Y}$  is independent.

*Proof.* Observe that  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t$  is symmetric, idempotent. Hence  $\text{SSE} = \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) \mathbf{Y}\|^2$ . As SSE is a function of  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) \mathbf{Y}$ , it suffices to show that  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) \mathbf{Y}$  are independent. Under normality, this can be established if we show the covariance between them are  $\mathbf{0}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\hat{\beta}, (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) \mathbf{Y}) &= (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t)^t \\ &= \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) \\ &= \sigma^2 ((\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t - (\mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t) = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.  $\square$

Note that  $\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}$  is a function of  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\text{E}(\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}) = \mu_{\mathbf{z}}$ . So  $\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}}$  is independent of SSE. And we know that  $\frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n - p - 1)$ . Let  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  denote  $\frac{\text{SSE}}{n - p - 1}$ . Since  $\frac{\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} - \mu_{\mathbf{z}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}}}} \sim \text{N}(0, 1)$ , we see that

$$\frac{(\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} - \mu_{\mathbf{z}}) / \sqrt{\sigma^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 / \sigma^2}} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} - \mu_{\mathbf{z}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}}}} \sim t(n - p - 1).$$

From this, one can deduce that  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mu_{\mathbf{z}}$  is

$$\mu_{\mathbf{z}} : \quad \hat{\mu}_{\mathbf{z}} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n - p - 1) \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}}}.$$

$100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{z}} = \beta_0 + \mathbf{z}^t \beta_1 + \epsilon$  (out-of-sample response), the difference that for  $\mu_{\mathbf{z}}$  is  $\epsilon$ . In the regression, there is an implicit assumption that  $\epsilon$  is independent of sample. (This is quite intuitive.) Also, since out-of-sample is mutually independent with in-sample,  $\text{Var}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathbf{z}} - \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{z}}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathbf{z}}) + \text{Var}(\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{z}}) = \sigma^2 \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}} + \sigma^2 = \sigma^2 (1 + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}})$ . Here  $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{z}} = \hat{\beta}_0 + \mathbf{z}^t \hat{\beta}_1$ . Using the similar argument in the above, we see that  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence interval for  $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{z}}$  is

$$\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{z}} : \quad \hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathbf{z}} \pm t_{\alpha/2}(n - p - 1) \sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2 (1 + \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{z}})}.$$