Regression Analysis Tutoring6

Seung Bong Jung

Seoul National University

November 1, 2021

Multicollinearity

- A set of predictors x_1, \ldots, x_p is said to have multicollinearity if there exist linear or near-linear dependencies among the predictors.
- In case there exists a linear dependency among the predictors, the columns of $\mathbf{X}=(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{x}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_p)$ are linearly dependent, or equivalently the centered columns $\mathbf{x}_1-\bar{x}_1\mathbf{1},\ldots,\mathbf{x}_p-\bar{x}_p\mathbf{1}$ are linearly dependent, so that the matrices \mathbf{X} and $\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X}$ are not of full rank.
- Multicollinearity not only makes the computation of the parameter estimates erratic, but also increases the variance of the estimates.

$$\sum_{j=0}^p \mathrm{var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \mathrm{trace}(\mathrm{var}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})) = \sigma^2 \cdot \mathrm{trace}((\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X})^{-1}) = \sigma^2 \sum_{j=0}^p \frac{1}{\kappa_j},$$

where κ_j 's are eigenvalues of $\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X}$.



Effect of Multicollinearity

• Let $S_{jj}=\sum_{i=1}^n(x_{ij}-\bar{x}_j)^2$ and R_j^2 denote the coefficient of determination in regressing the jth predictor x_j on the remaining $(x_k:k\neq j)$. Then,

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\beta}_j) = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2} \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{S_{jj}}, 1 \le j \le p,$$

• Proof of the identity: Take j=1 without loss of generality. Let $\mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}=\mathbf{x}_1-\Pi(\mathbf{x}_1|\mathcal{C}_{1,\mathbf{x}_2,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_p})$. Then, $\mathrm{var}(\hat{\beta}_1)=\sigma^2(\mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{x}_{1,\perp})^{-1}$. Think of fitting the regression model

$$x_{i1} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_{i2} + \dots + \alpha_{p-1} x_{ip} + \epsilon_i, 1 \le i \le n.$$

The scalar value $\mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}$ is nothing else than the residual sum of squares. The total sum of squares in this case is S_{11} , and

$$R_1^2 = \frac{S_{11} - \mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}}{S_{11}} \text{ or } \mathbf{x}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{x}_{1,\perp} = S_{11} (1 - R_1^2)$$

Diagnostics of Multicollinearity

- \bullet Variance inflation factor: ${\rm VIF}_j := (1-R_j^2)^{-1}$
- ullet VIF $_j$ is simply the inflation rate of $\mathrm{var}(\hat{eta}_j)$ in comparison with the case where x_j is not correlated with other predictors, i.e.,

$$S_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)(x_{ik} - \bar{x}_k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \neq j.$$

- Note that large VIF_j for one or multiple j's indicates multicollinearity.
 The inspection of all pairwise correlations between two predictors is not sufficient for detecting multicollinearity in general.
- Typically the existence of ${\sf VIF}_j>10$ is considered as an indiction of severe multicollinearity.

4 / 11

Ridge Regression

- One useful method for dealing with multicollinearity is ridge regression.
- Note that $\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{1}\beta_0' + \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$ with $\boldsymbol{\beta}^t = (\beta_0, \boldsymbol{\beta}_1^t)$ and that β_0 is estimated by \bar{Y} .
- Adding a positive constant k>0 to the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}$:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1,R}(\lambda) = (\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{Y}$$

- The ridge estimator $\hat{\beta}_{1,R}$ is biased, but has smaller total variance. Though it does not give best fit, it may do better job in out-of-sample prediction.
- Penalized least squares estimation: The ridge estimator may be defined to be the minimizer of $||\mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1||^2 + \lambda ||\boldsymbol{\beta}_1||^2$.

Geometry of Ridge Regression

• In fact, the given optimization problem is equivalent to following optimization problem:

Minimize
$$||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1||^2$$
 subject to $||\boldsymbol{\beta}_1||^2 \leq d$

,where
$$d=\hat{m{eta}}_1^t[\mathbf{I}+\lambda(\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp})^{-1}]^{-2}\hat{m{eta}}_1$$

Note that

$$||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1||^2 = ||\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1||^2 + (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1)^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1)$$

• $\hat{\beta}_{1,R}(\lambda)$ as a shrinkage stimator: The penalty term $k||\beta_1||^2$ in the penalized least squares criterion shrinks $\hat{\beta}_1$ toward $\mathbf{0}$.

Bayesian interpretation of Ridge Regression

- Let $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p)^t$ and $x_i = (1, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip})^t$.
- Let $Y_i | \beta \sim \mathcal{N}(x_i^t \beta, \sigma^2)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\beta_j \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 / \lambda)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, p$.
- Denoting $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)^t$, by Bayes Rule, one can see that the ridge estimator $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1,R}(\lambda)$ is mode of the distribution of $\boldsymbol{\beta}|Y$.

ullet Recall that the entries of $\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}$ are

$$S_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_j)(x_{ik} - \bar{x}_k).$$

Principal component analysis of the predictors:

$$\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} = \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}^t, \ \boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \mathsf{diag}(\lambda_j), \ \mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{v}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{v}_p),$$

where \mathbf{v}_j 's are the orthonormal eigenvectors of $\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}$ ordered in terms of the respective eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_p$.

- Write $\mathbf{v}_j = (v_{j1}, \dots, v_{jp})^t$. Then, $\mathbf{z}_j := \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \mathbf{v}_j$ are the observed vector of new variables $z_j = v_{j1}(x_1 \bar{x}_1) + \dots + v_{jp}(x_p \bar{x}_p)$, called principal components.
- ullet Since $\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}\mathbf{P}=(\mathbf{z}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{z}_p)$, we obtain

$$\lambda_j = ||\mathbf{z}_j||^2, \ 1 \le j \le p.$$

• Identification of sources of multicollinearity: $\lambda_j=0$ if and only if the observed values of the predictors satisfy the equation

$$v_{j1}(x_1 - \bar{x}_1) + \dots + v_{jp}(x_p - \bar{x}_p) = 0$$

- Recall the definition of \mathbf{z}_j in the PCA of the predictors: $\mathbf{z}_j = \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \mathbf{v}_j$, where \mathbf{v}_j 's are the orthonormal eigenvectors of $\mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}^t \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp}$ ordered in terms of the respective eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_p$.
- One may rewrite the regression equation using the centered predictors:

$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p = \beta'_0 + \beta_1 (x_1 - \bar{x}_1) + \dots + \beta_p (x_p - \bar{x}_p),$$

$$\mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{1} \beta'_0 + \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1$$

$$= \mathbf{1} \beta'_0 + \mathbf{X}_{1,\perp} \mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}^t \boldsymbol{\beta}_1$$

$$\stackrel{\text{let}}{=} \mathbf{1} \beta'_0 + \mathbf{Z} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

 \bullet The intercept parameter $\beta_0{'}$ is estimated by \bar{Y} in least squares regression.

• Choose the first q principal components Z_1,\ldots,z_q with q< p., set $z_j\equiv 0$ for all $q+1\leq j\leq p$, and fit the resulting (reduced) model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{1}\beta_0' + \mathbf{Z}_q \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_q + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where $\mathbf{Z}_q = (\mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_q)$.

• Since each z_j is orthogonal to 1, it follows that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_q = (\mathbf{Z}_q^t \mathbf{Z}_q)^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_q^t \mathbf{Y} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}_q^{-1} \mathbf{Z}_q^t \mathbf{Y}, \hat{\beta}_0' = \bar{Y}.$$

 $oldsymbol{\circ}$ Letting $\hat{oldsymbol{lpha}}^t=(\hat{oldsymbol{lpha}}_q^t, \mathbf{0}_{p-q}^t)$,

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{1,\mathrm{pcr}} = \mathbf{P}\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \sum_{j=1}^q \hat{\alpha}_j \mathbf{v}_j, \ \hat{\beta}_0' = \bar{Y}.$$