

# MLE of Logistic Distribution

November 1, 2021

In this note, we derive asymptotic normality of MLE  $\hat{\eta} = (\hat{\theta}, \hat{\sigma})$  of  $\eta = (\theta, \sigma)$  for  $\text{Logistic}(\theta, \sigma)$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Recall the following regularity conditions.

- **(R0)** The parameter  $\theta$  is identifiable in  $\Theta$ .
- **(R1)** The density  $f(\cdot; \theta)$  have common support  $\mathfrak{X}$ .
- **(R2)** The parameter space is open in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- **(R3)** The log-density pdf  $\log f(x; \theta)$  is twice differentiable as a function of  $\theta$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ .
- **(R4)** For any statistic  $u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with finite expectation, the integral

$$\mathbb{E}_\theta(u(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = \int_{\mathfrak{X}^n} u(x_1, \dots, x_n) \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) \prod_{i=1}^n dx_i$$

is twice differentiable under the integral sign.

- **(R5)** The Fisher Information  $I(\theta)$  exists and is invertible for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- **(R6)** The likelihood equation  $\dot{l}(\theta) = 0$  has the unique solution  $\hat{\theta}$  and the solution is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ .
- **(R7)** For all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , there exists a function  $M(\cdot)$  with  $\mathbb{E}_\theta M(X_1) < \infty$  such that

$$\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \max_{1 \leq h, i, j \leq d} \left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \theta_h \partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \log f(X_1; \theta) \right| \leq M(X_1), \mathbb{E}_\theta M(X_1) < \infty.$$

We verify each condition and derive asymptotic normality of  $\hat{\eta}$ . For convenience, we consider  $\eta^* = (r, t)$  instead of  $\eta$ , where  $r = \theta/\sigma$  and  $t = 1/\sigma$ . This transformation makes the computation of likelihood much easier. Note that the map  $g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $g(a, b) = (a/b, 1/b)$  is bijection. Hence, if the existence and uniqueness of  $\hat{\eta}^*$  of  $\eta^*$  hold, then the same hold for  $\hat{\eta}$ . Furthermore, if we find the asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}^* - \eta^*)$ , we can also find that of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta} - \eta)$  by  $\Delta$ -method.

**(R0)** Note that parameter space  $\Theta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Suppose  $\eta_1^* = (r_1, t_1), \eta_2^* = (r_2, t_2) \in \Theta$ . Let  $f(\cdot; \eta_i^*)$  be p.d.f. of  $\text{Logistic}(r_i/t_i, 1/t_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Assume

$$f(x; \eta_1^*) = f(x; \eta_2^*) \tag{1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}$ . Recall that if  $Y \sim \text{Logistic}(0, 1)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}Y = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(Y) = \pi^2/3$ . Hence if random variable  $Y_i$  follows the distribution with p.d.f.  $f(\cdot; \eta_i^*)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , implies  $\mathbb{E}Y_1 = \mathbb{E}Y_2$  and  $\text{Var}(Y_1) = \text{Var}Y_2$  so that

$$r_1/t_1 = r_2/t_2, \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{t_1^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{1}{t_2^2}. \tag{3}$$

(3) implies  $t_1 = t_2$  as  $t_1, t_2 > 0$  and substituting  $t_1 = t_2$  into (2) gives  $r_1 = r_2$ . Thus,  $\eta_1^* = \eta_2^*$ , which implies the identifiability of  $\eta^*$  in  $\Theta$ .

**(R1)** The density  $f(\cdot; \eta^*)$  has support  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathbb{R}$ , which does not depend on  $\eta^*$ .

**(R2)**  $\Theta = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

**(R3)** Log-likelihood of  $\eta^*$  is given as following:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\eta^*) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i; \eta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log t \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} \\ &= n \log t + \sum_{i=1}^n (tX_i - r) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1 + \exp(tX_i - r)).\end{aligned}$$

This gives following partial derivatives of  $\ell(\eta^*)$  :

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial t} = \frac{n}{t} - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{1 + \exp(tX_i - r)} X_i, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial r} = -n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{1 + \exp(tX_i - r)}, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial t^2} &= -\frac{n}{t^2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} X_i^2 \\ &= -\frac{n}{t^2} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(tX_i - r)} - \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} \right) X_i^2,\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial t \partial r} &= \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r \partial t} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} X_i \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(tX_i - r)} - \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} \right) X_i,\end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r^2} &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1 + \exp(tX_i - r)} - \frac{1}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2} \right).\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

One can clearly see that each derivative is continuous.

**(R4)** This holds because  $\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \eta^*)$  is very smooth, i.e., has derivative of all order and each derivative is continuous. Thus, by Leibniz rule, **(R4)** holds.

**(R5)** Write

$$I(\eta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{11} & I_{12} \\ I_{21} & I_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We calculate  $I_{ij}$ , where  $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ . In the calculation, we may use the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \frac{\exp(2x)}{(1 + \exp(x))^4} dx = \frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3}.$$

Set  $n = 1$  in (6)-(8). Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{11} &= -\mathbb{E}_{\eta^*} \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r^2} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} t \left( \frac{\exp(tx - r)}{(1 + \exp(tx - r))^2} \right)^2 dx \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{\exp(y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^2} \right)^2 dy \\
&= 2 \int_1^\infty \frac{t-1}{t^4} dt = 2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2t^2} + \frac{1}{3t^3} \right]_1^\infty = \frac{1}{3}, \\
I_{12} = I_{21} &= -\mathbb{E}_{\eta^*} \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r \partial t} = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} tx \left( \frac{\exp(tx - r)}{(1 + \exp(tx - r))^2} \right)^2 dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{y+r}{t} \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy \\
&= -\frac{2}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy - 2\frac{r}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy \\
&= -\frac{r}{t} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = -\frac{r}{3t}, \\
I_{22} &= -\mathbb{E}_{\eta^*} \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial t^2} = \frac{1}{t^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} tx^2 \left( \frac{\exp(tx - r)}{(1 + \exp(tx - r))^2} \right)^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{t^2} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \frac{y+r}{t} \right)^2 \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy \\
&= \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2}{t^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^2 \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy + 2r \int_{\mathbb{R}} y \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy + r^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} dy \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2}{t^2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} r^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In the calculation, we used the fact that  $f(y) = y \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4}$  is odd function of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(-y) = -y \frac{\exp(-2y)}{(1 + \exp(-y))^4} = -y \frac{\exp(-2y) \exp(4y)}{(1 + \exp(-y))^4 \exp(4y)} = -y \frac{\exp(2y)}{(1 + \exp(y))^4} = -f(y).$$

Consequently,

$$I(\eta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{r}{3t} \\ -\frac{r}{3t} & \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2}{t^2} \left( \frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6} r^2 \right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

**(R6)** As one can see in **(R4)**,  $\ell$  is twice continuously differentiable on  $\Theta$ . Also,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \ell(\eta^*) = -\infty, \quad (10)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow -\infty} \ell(\eta^*) = -\infty, \quad (11)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \ell(\eta^*) = -\infty, \quad (12)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \ell(\eta^*) = -\infty. \quad (13)$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{\eta^* \rightarrow \partial\Theta} \ell(\eta^*) = -\infty.$$

Now we show the negative definiteness of  $\ddot{\ell}$ . Take  $c = (c_1, c_2)^t \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $A_i = 2 \frac{\exp(tX_i - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_i - r))^2}$ . Clearly,  $A_i > 0$ . Since

$$\ddot{\ell}(\eta^*) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial t^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r \partial t} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r \partial t} & \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

by (6)-(8),

$$\begin{aligned}
c^t \ddot{\ell}(\eta^*)c &= \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r^2} c_1^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial r \partial t} c_1 c_2 + \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial t^2} c_2^2 \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^n A_i c_1^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X_i c_1 c_2 - \frac{n}{t^2} c_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n A_i X_i c_2^2 \\
&= - \frac{n}{t^2} c_2^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n A_i (c_1 - X_i c_2)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Because  $\frac{n}{t^2} c_2^2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n A_i (c_1 - X_i c_2)^2$  are both nonnegative as  $A_i > 0$ ,  $c^t \ddot{\ell}(\eta^*)c \leq 0$  and the equality holds if and only if both two terms are 0. But this holds if and only if  $c_2^2 = 0$  and  $(c_1 - X_i c_2) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and this can be held only when  $c_1, c_2 = 0$  which implies  $c = 0$ . As we chose  $c$  to be nonzero, we see that  $c^t \ddot{\ell}(\eta^*)c < 0$  whenever  $c \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$  and so  $\ddot{\ell}$  is negative definite.

Put  $n = 1$  and let  $\eta_0^* = (r_0, t_0)$  be true parameter of  $\eta^*$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{\eta_0^*} \log f(X_1; \eta^*) &= \log t - r + t \mathbb{E}_{\eta_0^*} X_1 - 2 \mathbb{E}_{\eta_0^*} \log(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r)) \\
&= \log t - r + t \frac{r_0}{t_0} - 2 \mathbb{E}_{\eta_0^*} \log(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathbb{E}_{\eta_0^*} \log f(X_1; \eta^*)$  is continuous function with respect to  $\eta^*$ . Consequently, by Theorem 6.4.2 in the textbook, the MLE  $\hat{\eta}^*$  of  $\eta^*$  exists and unique and is consistent.

**(R7)** Put  $n = 1$ . From (6)-(8), one can obtain followings:

$$\frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial t^3} = \frac{2}{t^3} + 2 \left( \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} - 2 \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} \right) X_1^3, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial t \partial r^2} = \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial r^2 \partial t} = 2 \left( \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} - 2 \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} \right) X_1, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial t^2 \partial r} = \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial r \partial t^2} = 2 \left( - \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} + 2 \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} \right) X_1^2, \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial r^3} = 2 \left( - \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} + 2 \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} \right). \quad (17)$$

Note that  $0 < \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2}, \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} < 1$ . Thus, by (9)-(12),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial t^3} \right| &\leq \frac{2}{t^3} + 2 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} X_1^3 \right| + 4 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} X_1^3 \right| \\
&\leq \frac{2}{t^3} + 2|X_1|^3 + 4|X_1|^3 = \frac{2}{t^3} + 6|X_1|^3 = M_1(X_1),
\end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial t \partial r^2} \right| &= \left| \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial r^2 \partial t} \right| = 2 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} X_1 \right| + 4 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} X_1 \right| \\
&\leq 2|X_1| + 4|X_1| = 6|X_1| = M_2(X_1),
\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial t^2 \partial r} &= \left| \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial r \partial t^2} \right| \leq 2 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} X_1^2 \right| + 4 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} X_1^2 \right| \\
&\leq 2|X_1|^2 + 4|X_1|^2 = 6|X_1|^2 = M_3(X_1),
\end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial^3 \ell}{\partial r^3} \right| \leq 2 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^2} \right| + 4 \left| \frac{\exp(tX_1 - r)}{(1 + \exp(tX_1 - r))^3} \right| \leq 2 + 4 = 6 = M_4(X_1). \quad (21)$$

It suffices to show  $\mathbb{E}_{\eta^*} M_i(X_1) < \infty$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and 4. But by Lyapunov's inequality, this can be achieved by showing  $\mathbb{E}_{\eta^*} |X_1|^3 < \infty$ . This can be held if  $\int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{\exp(tx)} dx < \infty$  because

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_\eta^* &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^3 t \frac{\exp(tx-r)}{(1+\exp(tx-r))^2} dx \\
&= t \int_0^\infty x^3 \frac{\exp(tx-r)}{(1+\exp(tx-r))^2} dx + t \int_{-\infty}^0 (-x)^3 \frac{\exp(tx-r)}{(1+\exp(tx-r))^2} dx \\
&= t \int_0^\infty x^3 \frac{\exp(tx-r)}{(1+\exp(tx-r))^2} dx + t \int_{-\infty}^0 x^3 \frac{\exp(tx+r)}{(1+\exp(tx+r))^2} dx \\
&\leq t \exp(-r) \int_0^\infty x^3 \frac{\exp(tx)}{(\exp(tx-r))^2} dx + t \exp(r) \int_0^\infty x^3 \frac{\exp(tx)}{(\exp(tx+r))^2} dx \\
&=\leq t \exp(r) \int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{\exp(tx)} dx + t \exp(-r) \int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{\exp(tx)} dx
\end{aligned}$$

and  $t > 0$ . But if  $t, x > 0$ , we see that  $\exp(tx) = \sum_{i=0}^n (tx)^i / i! \geq (tx)^5 / 5! = C(tx)^5$  for some constant  $C > 0$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{x^3}{\exp(tx)} dx &= \int_0^1 \frac{x^3}{\exp(tx)} dx + \int_1^\infty \frac{x^3}{\exp(tx)} dx \\
&\leq \int_0^1 x^3 dx + \frac{1}{Ct^5} \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} dx \\
&= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{Ct^5} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which establishes **(R7)**.

By **(R0)**-**(R7)**,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta}^* - \eta^*) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I(\eta^*)^{-1}).$$

Recall the map  $g$  defined in the page 1 and the existence and existence of  $\hat{\eta}^*$  can be held due to this map. Consistency also holds because  $g$  is continuous on  $\Theta$  so the consistency is direct from continuous mapping theorem. Let  $\dot{g}$  be Jacobian matrix of  $g$ . Then,

$$\dot{g}(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{b} & -\frac{a}{b^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{b^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By  $\Delta$ -method,

$$\sqrt{n}(g(\hat{\eta}^*) - g(\eta^*)) = \sqrt{n}(\hat{\eta} - \eta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \dot{g}(\eta^*) I(\eta^*)^{-1} \dot{g}(\eta^*)^t).$$

Let  $V = \dot{g}(\eta^*) I(\eta^*)^{-1} \dot{g}(\eta^*)^t$ . It remains to calculate to  $V$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t} & -\frac{r}{t^2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{t^2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{r}{3t} \\ -\frac{r}{3t} & \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{2}{t^2}(\frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6}r^2) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{t} & 0 \\ -\frac{r}{t^2} & -\frac{1}{t^2} \end{pmatrix}^t \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\theta\sigma \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & -\frac{1}{3}\theta \\ -\frac{1}{3}\theta & \sigma^2 + 2\sigma^2(\frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{1}{3}\theta^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ -\theta\sigma & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{1/3(\sigma^2 + 2\sigma^2(\frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{1}{3}\theta^2) - \frac{1}{9}\theta^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\theta\sigma \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 + 2\sigma^2(\frac{\pi^2}{18} - \frac{1}{3}) + \frac{1}{3}\theta^2 & \frac{1}{3}\theta \\ \frac{1}{3}\theta & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ -\theta\sigma & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\frac{\pi^2}{27} + \frac{1}{9})} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & -\theta\sigma \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \frac{\pi^2}{9} + \frac{1}{3}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{3}\theta & \frac{1}{3}\theta \\ \frac{1}{3}\theta & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ -\theta\sigma & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\frac{\pi^2}{27} + \frac{1}{9})} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 \frac{\pi^2}{9} + \sigma^3 \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{3}\theta\sigma^2 & -\frac{1}{3}\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ -\theta\sigma & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(\frac{\pi^2}{27} + \frac{1}{9})} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^4(\frac{\pi^2}{9} + \frac{1}{3}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3}\sigma^4 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 3\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{9}{\pi^2+3}\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$