

# Mathematical Statistics2 Tutoring5

Seung Bong Jung

Seoul National University

November 1, 2021

# Comparison of Estimators

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population with pdf  $f(\cdot; \theta)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Below, we write  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . How to compare different estimators of a parameter of interest, say  $g(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- Loss function:  $L(\cdot, \cdot) : \Theta \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an action space for the estimation of  $g(\theta)$  such that  $L(\theta, g(\theta)) = 0$ . For example,

$$L(\theta, a) = (a - g(\theta))^2, \quad L(\theta, a) = |a - g(\theta)|.$$

- Risk function: For an estimator  $\delta(X)$  of  $g(\theta)$ ,

$$R(\theta, \delta) = E_{\theta} L(\theta, \delta(X)).$$

In the case of the squared error loss, the risk  $R(\theta, \delta)$  is the mean squared error of  $\delta(X)$ .

# Difficulty with Uniform Comparison

- One would prefer  $\delta_1$  to  $\delta_2$  if  $R(\theta, \delta_1) \leq R(\theta, \delta_2)$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and  $R(\theta, \delta_1) < R(\theta, \delta_2)$  for some  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- The difficulty is that there exists no estimator that is best in this sense. As if it exists, then  $r(\theta, \delta_0) \stackrel{\theta \in \Theta}{\equiv} 0$ , which leads to a contradiction.

- Restricted class of estimators: One may find an estimator  $\delta_0$  in the class of unbiased estimators of  $g(\theta)$  such that

$$E_{\theta}(\delta_0(X) - g(\theta))^2 \leq E_{\theta}(\delta(X) - g(\theta))^2 \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta$$

for any unbiased estimator  $\delta(X)$ . If exists, such an estimator is called UMVUE (Uniformly Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator).

- Global measures of performance: The estimator that minimizes the maximum risk  $r(\delta) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta} R(\theta, \delta)$  is called a minimax estimator. The estimator that minimizes the average risk

$$r(\delta; \pi) = \int_{\Theta} R(\theta, \delta) \pi(\theta) d\theta$$

for a weight function  $\pi$  is called a Bayes estimator.

# The Idea of Sufficiency

Suppose that we observe  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  that are i.i.d. Bernoulli( $\theta$ ) random variables, where  $0 < \theta < 1$ .

- The distribution of  $Y = X_1 + X_2$ ;

$$P_\theta(Y = 0) = (1 - \theta)^2, P_\theta(Y = 1) = 2\theta(1 - \theta), P_\theta(Y = 2) = \theta^2.$$

- When we observe  $Y$ , we may produce  $(X_1^*, X_2^*)$ , without knowledge of the true  $\theta$ , that has the same distribution of the original  $(X_1, X_2)$ , as follows:  
(1) Put  $(X_1^*, X_2^*) = (0, 0)$  when  $Y = 0$ ; (2) put  $(X_1^*, X_2^*) = (1, 1)$  when  $Y = 2$ ; (3) conduct a randomized experiment and put  $(X_1^*, X_2^*) = (1, 0)$  and  $(X_1^*, X_2^*) = (0, 1)$ , each with probability  $1/2$  when  $Y = 1$ .
- Thus, for any estimator  $\delta(X_1, X_2)$  of  $g(\theta)$  one may find an estimator that depends only on  $Y$  rather than  $(X_1, X_2)$  but has the same risk as  $\delta(X_1, X_2)$ .

# Sufficient Statistic

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a population with pdf  $f(\cdot; \theta)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . We write  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  below.

- Sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$ : A statistic  $Y = u(X)$  is called a sufficient statistic if the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $Y$  does not depend on  $\theta \in \Theta$ , i.e., if

$$P_{\theta_1}(X \in A | Y = y) \stackrel{\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta}{=} P_{\theta_2}(X \in A | Y = y)$$

for all  $A$  and for all  $y$ .

# Factorization Theorem

A statistic  $Y = u(X)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$  if and only if there exist functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = f_1(u(x), \theta) \cdot f_2(x) \text{ for all } x \text{ and for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

# Sufficient Statistic: Examples

- $U(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$  is a sufficient statistic:  
Assume  $n \geq 2$ .

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) = (2\theta_2)^{-n} I_{(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \infty)}(x_{(1)}) I_{(-\infty, \theta_1 + \theta_2)}(x_{(n)}),$$

so that  $Y = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ .



# Sufficient Statistic: Examples

- Suppose that  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  are random samples from the bivariate normal distribution with  $E(X_1) = E(Y_1) = 0$ ,  $Var(X_1) = Var(Y_1) = 1$ , and  $Cov(X_1, Y_1) = \theta$  for  $\theta \in (-1, 1)$ . Then, the sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  is given by  $(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2), \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i)$ .
- This is because

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta) \propto (1 - \theta^2)^{-n/2} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\pi(1 - \theta^2)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\theta \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i + \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 \right) \right)$$

# Minimal Sufficient Statistic

- There are many sufficient statistics for a given model. As an extreme example,  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  itself is also a sufficient statistic. As another example, consider the case where  $X_1, X_2, X_3$  are i.i.d. Bernoulli( $\theta$ ) random variables and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . For latter model, sufficient statistics include  $(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ ,  $(X_1 + X_2, X_3)$ ,  $(X_1 + X_2, X_2)$ ,  $(X_1, X_2 + X_3)$ , and  $X_1 + X_2 + X_3$ .
- Minimal sufficient statistic: A sufficient statistic is called a minimal sufficient statistic (MSS) if it is a function of any sufficient statistic.

# Properties of Sufficient Statistic

- Any statistic that is a 1 – 1 function of a sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$  is also a sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- Any statistic that is a 1 – 1 function of MSS is also an MSS.
- MLE and SS: The unique MLE of  $\theta$ , when it exists, is a function of any sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- Existence of MSS: If the MLE of  $\theta \in \Theta$  is unique and it is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then it is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- Suppose that there exists  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  such that  $\text{supp}(f(\cdot; \theta)) \subset \text{supp}(f(\cdot; \theta_0))$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ . Then, the statistic  $T(X)$ , as a function defined on  $\Theta$  in such a way that  $T(X)(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n (f(X_i; \theta) / f(X_i; \theta_0))$ , is an MSS.

# Characterization of Minimal Sufficiency

- Suppose that  $f_{\theta}(\cdot)$  is pdf with  $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  be random samples from the distribution with pdf  $f_{\theta}(\cdot)$  and assume that  $T(X)$  and  $T(Y)$  a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .
- Then,  $T(X)$  is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if and only if

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(x_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(y_i)} \text{ is independent of } \theta \Leftrightarrow T(X) = T(Y)$$

for another random samples  $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  from  $f_{\theta}$ .

- The proof follows from factorization theorem.

# MSS: Examples

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a random sample from  $U(\theta_1 - \theta_2, \theta_1 + \theta_2)$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . For this model,  $Y = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is an MSS.

*Proof:* The sufficiency was established before. Let the model is reparametrized by  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ , where  $\eta_1 = \theta_1 - \theta_2$  and  $\eta_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ . Then,

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \eta) = (\eta_2 - \eta_1)^{-n} I_{(-\infty, x_{(1)}]}(\eta_1) I_{[x_{(n)}, \infty)}(\eta_2).$$

Clearly,  $(\hat{\eta}_1, \hat{\eta}_2) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is the unique MLE, so that  $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$  defined by

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2, \quad \hat{\theta}_2 = (X_{(n)} - X_{(1)})/2$$

is the unique MLE of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2) = ((\eta_1 + \eta_2)/2, (\eta_2 - \eta_1)/2)$ . Since  $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$  is a 1 - 1 function of the SS  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ , it is also an SS and thus an MSS. This establishes that  $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is an MSS since it is a 1 - 1 function of  $(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ .

- Suppose that  $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$  are random samples from the bivariate normal distribution with  $E(X_1) = E(Y_1) = 0$ ,  $Var(X_1) = Var(Y_1) = 1$ , and  $Cov(X_1, Y_1) = \theta$  for  $\theta \in (-1, 1)$ . Then, the minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  is given by  $(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^2 + Y_i^2), \sum_{i=1}^n X_i Y_i)$ .
- The sufficiency was established before. Let  $\eta_1(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \theta^2)$  and  $\eta_2(\theta) = \theta/(1 - \theta^2)$ . Define a map  $\eta : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by  $\eta(\theta) = (\eta_1(\theta), \eta_2(\theta))$ , where  $\Theta = (-1, 1)$ . By characterization of minimal sufficiency, it suffices to show that the set of all differences  $\eta(\theta_0) - \eta(\theta_1)$  for  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \Theta$ , denoted by  $\eta(\Theta) \ominus \eta(\Theta)$ , spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .