In this tutorial, you will learn three approaches to **dealing with missing values**. Then you'll compare the effectiveness of these approaches on a real-world dataset.

Introduction

There are many ways data can end up with missing values. For example,

- A 2 bedroom house won't include a value for the size of a third bedroom.
- A survey respondent may choose not to share his income.

Most machine learning libraries (including scikit-learn) give an error if you try to build a model using data with missing values. So you'll need to choose one of the strategies below.

Three Approaches

1) A Simple Option: Drop Columns with Missing Values

The simplest option is to drop columns with missing values.



Unless most values in the dropped columns are missing, the model loses access to a lot of (potentially useful!) information with this approach. As an extreme example, consider a dataset with 10,000 rows, where one important column is missing a single entry. This approach would drop the column entirely!

2) A Better Option: Imputation

Imputation fills in the missing values with some number. For instance, we can fill in the mean value along each column.

Bed	Bath		Bed	Bath
1.0	1.0	→	1.0	1.0
2.0	1.0		2.0	1.0
3.0	2.0		3.0	2.0
NaN	2.0		2.0	2.0

The imputed value won't be exactly right in most cases, but it usually leads to more accurate models than you would get from dropping the column entirely.

3) An Extension To Imputation

Imputation is the standard approach, and it usually works well. However, imputed values may be systematically above or below their actual values (which weren't collected in the dataset). Or rows with missing values may be unique in some other way. In that case, your model would make better predictions by considering which values were originally missing.

Bed	Bath	Bed	Bath	Bed_was_missing
1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	FALSE
2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	FALSE
3.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	FALSE
NaN	2.0	2.0	2.0	TRUE

In this approach, we impute the missing values, as before. And, additionally, for each column with missing entries in the original dataset, we add a new column that shows the location of the imputed entries.

In some cases, this will meaningfully improve results. In other cases, it doesn't help at all.

Example

In the example, we will work with the Melbourne Housing dataset. Our model will use information such as the number of rooms and land size to predict home price.

We won't focus on the data loading step. Instead, you can imagine you are at a point where you already have the training and validation data in X_train, X_valid, y_train, and y_valid.

Define Function to Measure Quality of Each Approach

We define a function score_dataset() to compare different approaches to dealing with missing values. This function reports the mean absolute error (MAE) from a random forest model.

```
In [2]:
    from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
    from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error

# Function for comparing different approaches
    def score_dataset(X_train, X_valid, y_train, y_valid):
        model = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=10, random_state=0)
        model.fit(X_train, y_train)
        preds = model.predict(X_valid)
        return mean_absolute_error(y_valid, preds)
```

Score from Approach 1 (Drop Columns with Missing Values)

Since we are working with both training and validation sets, we are careful to drop the same columns in both DataFrames.

Score from Approach 2 (Imputation)

Next, we use SimpleImputer to replace missing values with the mean value along each column.

Although it's simple, filling in the mean value generally performs quite well (but this varies by dataset). While statisticians have experimented with more complex ways to determine imputed values (such as **regression imputation**, for instance), the complex strategies typically give no additional benefit once you plug the results into sophisticated machine learning models.

```
In [4]:
    from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer

# Imputation
    my_imputer = SimpleImputer()
    imputed_X_train = pd.DataFrame(my_imputer.fit_transform(X_train))
    imputed_X_valid = pd.DataFrame(my_imputer.transform(X_valid))

# Imputation removed column names; put them back
    imputed_X_train.columns = X_train.columns
    imputed_X_valid.columns = X_valid.columns

print("MAE from Approach 2 (Imputation):")
    print(score_dataset(imputed_X_train, imputed_X_valid, y_train, y_valid))

MAE from Approach 2 (Imputation):
    178166.46269899711
```

We see that **Approach 2** has lower MAE than **Approach 1**, so **Approach 2** performed better on this dataset.

Score from Approach 3 (An Extension to Imputation)

Next, we impute the missing values, while also keeping track of which values were imputed.

```
In [5]:
        # Make copy to avoid changing original data (when imputing)
        X_train_plus = X_train.copy()
        X_valid_plus = X_valid.copy()
        # Make new columns indicating what will be imputed
        for col in cols with missing:
            X train plus[col + '_was_missing'] = X train plus[col].isnull()
            X_valid_plus[col + '_was_missing'] = X_valid_plus[col].isnull()
        # Imputation
        my imputer = SimpleImputer()
        imputed X train plus = pd.DataFrame(my imputer.fit transform(X train plus))
        imputed X_valid_plus = pd.DataFrame(my_imputer.transform(X_valid_plus))
        # Imputation removed column names; put them back
        imputed X train plus.columns = X train plus.columns
        imputed_X_valid_plus.columns = X_valid_plus.columns
        print("MAE from Approach 3 (An Extension to Imputation):")
        print(score dataset(imputed X train plus, imputed X valid plus, y train, y valid
        ))
        MAE from Approach 3 (An Extension to Imputation):
        178927.503183954
```

As we can see, **Approach 3** performed slightly worse than **Approach 2**.

So, why did imputation perform better than dropping the columns?

The training data has 10864 rows and 12 columns, where three columns contain missing data. For each column, less than half of the entries are missing. Thus, dropping the columns removes a lot of useful information, and so it makes sense that imputation would perform better.

Conclusion

YearBuilt

dtype: int64

As is common, imputing missing values (in **Approach 2** and **Approach 3**) yielded better results, relative to when we simply dropped columns with missing values (in **Approach 1**).

Your Turn

Compare these approaches to dealing with missing values yourself in this exercise!

4307