

Artifact 5

...

BS18 02

**Matvey Plevako,
Asanali Fazylzhan,
Ruslan Mihaylov,
Anatoliy Baskakov,
Sergey Makarov**

Acceptance criteria review

Acceptance criteria review

AC1:

Given: I am logged in as a student

When: I write my feedback on courses, lectures and labs and submit it

Then: It is saved in the database

AC2:

Given: I am a logged in as a student

When: I leave a feedback

Then: TAs, professors and DoE representatives cannot find out the feedback belongs to me

AC3:

Given: I am logged in as a student and I'm currently on a leaving feedback page

When: I select a numerical rate for the course and click "Rate" button

Then: My rate is saved, and overall course rating is visible to everyone and is affected by it

AC4:

Given: I am logged in as a student and I'm currently on a course page

When: I write a feedback on a course in a form of plain text and click "Submit" button

Then: My feedback gets saved in database

Acceptance criteria review

AC5:

Given: I am logged in as a TA

When: I open my personal page

Then: I can access all the feedback on the labs I am running

AC6:

Given: I am logged in as a professor

When: I open my personal page

Then: I can access all the feedback on the lectures, tutorials and labs of courses I am running

AC7:

Given: A student is logged in as a student

When: He/she fills in a feedback form

Then: That person cannot leave feedback for the form again

AC8a:

Given: I'm logged in as DoE representative and I'm currently on creating form page

When: I fill in the necessary fields for the form creation and click "Save as template" button

Then: the system saves this template on my account

Acceptance criteria review

AC8b:

Given: I'm logged in as DoE representative and I'm currently on creating form page

When: I click "Create from template" button

Then: the system autofills needed fields correspondingly to the template

AC9:

Given: I'm logged in as DoE representative and I'm currently on requesting report page

When: I specify the time period, parameters to be considered, course, and a group of people (can be one) related to course (Professor and/or TAs), and then click "Make report" button

Then: the system generates an exportable report with all requested data upon the selected parameters

AC10:

Given: I'm logged in as a TA or Professor

When: I receive feedback

Then: system makes sure that no one else can view it except me and DoE

AC11:

Given: I am logged in as a DoE representative

When: I have the numeric data derived from the feedback on someone or something

Then: I have an option to create various charts, graphs and other types of visual data representation based on this data

Acceptance criteria review

Given: I am logged in as a DoE representative

When: I specify the professors and press a button “show rating”

Then: The system shows me the rating of all professors that I specified based on the student feedback

Definition of done

Definition of done

- 1) Tested
 - a) unit tested
 - b) integration tested
- 2) Code reviewed (other team member(s) reviewed the code, provided advice on possible improvements, etc.)
- 3) Internal documentation
 - a) code commented
- 4) All functional tests passed (when we try interacting with the system in all possible ways we can think of, the system behaves as expected)
- 5) All Acceptance criteria are passed (product owner accepted)

Definition of done explanation

- 1) Since our project is not that big, and most of the functions operate independently, we do not need to apply a wide range of testing techniques.
- 2) The code should be reviewed by some other team members for peer review, quality control and consistency.
- 3) The code should have an internal documentation for higher transparency between developers.
- 4) Differentiation on Functional and Acceptance tests is needed because we have to check the functionality first, and only that consult PO regarding acceptance.
- 5) All acceptance criteria should be passed for the story to be considered done.

Q&A