## **Lecture 11: Functions**

# **Types of functions**

#### **Injective function**

Injective functions are also known as *one-to-one* functions. Injective functions are functions where distinct elements in the domain get mapped to distinct elements in the co-domain. Formally, the injective property can be captured in terms of predicate logic:

$$\forall x_1, x_2 \in X, \ f(x_1) = f(x_2) \implies x_1 = x_2$$

The easiest way to imagine a one-to-one function is by drawing arrows from the domain X to the co-domain Y. If each element in the co-domain has **at most** 1 "incoming" arrow, then the function is injective.

As a simple example, if X = 1, 2, 3, 4 and Y = A, B, C, D, consider the function f defined as:

$$f(1) = A, f(2) = D, f(3) = C, f(4) = B$$

This function would be a one-to-one function. On the other hand, if the function were defined as:

$$f(1) = f(2) = f(3) = f(4) = B$$

then the function would not be a one-to-one function.

Here are some other examples. The function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as f(x) = 2x + 3 is injective. We prove this by contradiction. Suppose, to the contrary, that the function is not injective, i.e., there exist two distinct numbers  $x_1, x_2$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . By definition of f, we have:

$$2x_1 + 3 = 2x_2 + 3$$

Solving for  $x_1$ , we get  $x_1 = x_2$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are distinct. Therefore, the function is injective.

On the other hand, the function  $f(x) = x^2$  is not injective. A direct proof would simply follow by observing that for any  $x \neq 0$ ,  $f(x) = f(-x) = x^2$ .

In general, when discussing functions defined over real numbers, try to find out if the function is *strictly* increasing or *strictly* decreasing. (For instance, linear functions that are not constant are either increasing or decreasing; so are exponential functions; so are log functions.) In all these cases, the function can be proved to be one-to-one.

# **Surjective function**

Surjective functions are also known as *onto* functions. Surjective functions are functions where every element in the co-domain is the image of some element in the domain. Formally, the surjective property is defined as:

$$\forall y \in Y, \ \exists x \in X, \ f(x) = y.$$

Again, the easiest way to imagine an onto function is by drawing arrows from the domain X to the co-domain Y. If each element in the co-domain has **at least** 1 incoming arrow, then the function is surjective.

In the example above where X = 1, 2, 3, 4 and Y = A, B, C, D, the function f is surjective (in addition to being injective.) Observe that each element in Y has some x that gets mapped to it.

The function f(x) = 2x + 3 is surjective if the domain of f is specified as  $\mathbb{R}$ . This is because every real number (say, y) can always be written as y = 2x + 3 for *some* real number x.

However, the function f(x) = 2x + 3 is *not* surjective if the domain of f is specified as the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For example, y = 2 cannot be written as 2 = 2x + 3 for *integer* x.

The function  $f(x) = x^2$  is not surjective if the domain is  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Try proving this! For now, we leave this as an **exercise**.

## **Bijective function**

Bijective functions are also known as *one-to-one correspondence* functions. Bijective functions are functions that are both one-to-one as well as onto.

Back to our mental picture of arrows from X to Y. A bijective function will have: \* one arrow out of every element in X (since it is a function) \* **exactly** arrow into **every** element in Y.

Examples: the linear function f(x) = 2x + 3, defined over the real numbers as the domain, is bijective; we proved above that it is both one-to-one as well as onto. Any linear function of the form f(x) = ax + b will be a one-to-one correspondence in general, unless a = 0 (in which case the function f is a constant/flat function.)

A simpler example is the *identity* function f(x) = x. (Here, the domain could be any set A.)

On the other hand,  $f(x) = x^2$  is *not* a bijection if the domain of f is  $\mathbb{R}$ . First of all, f is not one-to-one since f(1) = f(-1). Moreover, f is not onto since negative real numbers have no pre-image under f.

### Some useful "310" functions

Let us now discuss some common functions that often arise in CPRE/SE applications.

1. Consider any set S that is a subset of a given universal set U. The *characteristic* function f with respect to S is defined as:

$$f(a) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ for } a \in S \\ 0, \text{ for } a \notin S \end{cases}$$

The characteristic function is onto if S is a proper subset of U, but not one-to-one.

- 2. The *ceiling* function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , denoted by  $f(x) = \lceil x \rceil$  is the smallest integer that is greater than or equal to x.
- 3. The *floor* function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , denoted by  $f(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer that is smaller than or equal to x. Neither the ceiling nor the floor functions are one-to-one, but both are onto.

4. The *modulo* function  $f_p : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , denoted by  $f_p(x) = x \mod p$ , is the remainder when x is divided by p. This function is neither one-to-one nor onto.

## Some rules of thumb

The best way to check whether a function is injective/surjective/bijective is to mentally imagine the "arrow diagram" and check the number of "incoming" arrows for every element in the co-domain.

However, it is often important to formally prove whether a function f is injective/surjective/bijective. Here are some rules of thumb that can be followed for constructing such proofs:

- To prove that f is one-to-one, try doing a proof-by-contradiction. Assume that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  but  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Somehow deduce that  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  must be equal, thus leading to a contradiction.
- To prove that f is onto, try doing a direct proof. Assume some generic y in the co-domain and proving that y = f(x) for some x in the domain.
- To disprove that f is one-to-one, try doing a proof-by-counterexample: find some pair  $x_1, x_2$  such that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . To disprove that f is onto, also try a counterexample: find y that has no inverse image in X.