

# Weak Lensing for Precision Cosmology

Mohamed Shaaban

March 2019

1. Current observations imply  $w=-1$  however that is no constraint on its evolution
2. The initial fluctuation in matter density produced by random quantum events therefore CLT tells us that is a gaussian random field therefore power spectrum is enough to get all statistics

## 1 Introduction

The most revolutionary discovery in cosmology since Hubble observed that the Universe is expanding is that this expansion is accelerating. A revelation that was awarded the 2011 Nobel Prize for its profound implications. [1]. An accelerating universe implies that either our understanding of gravity is flawed or that a mysterious pressure known as Dark Energy is driving the expansion [11]. This Dark Energy accounts for most (over 68%) of the energy density in the observable universe, however its origin and physics are presently unknown [2]. As a result, the nature of Dark Energy is considered one of the greatest mysteries of modern science [3].

One of the most powerful techniques to probe Dark Energy and modified theories of gravity is weak lensing. Insert discription of weak lensing here [5, 8].  
Structure of the report

## 2 Background Theory

### 2.1 Standard Model of Cosmology

The fundamental assumption in cosmology, known as the cosmological principle, is that we live in a homogenous and isotropic universe [14].

Angular diameter distance is the one of relvance in lensing (distance measures in cosmology) Distances in cosmo [6] lol

For current and future surveys, one goal is to use the redshifts of the background galaxies (often approximated using photometric redshifts) to divide the survey into multiple redshift bins. The low-redshift bins will only be lensed by structures very near to us, while the high-redshift bins will be lensed by

structures over a wide range of redshift. This technique, dubbed "cosmic tomography", makes it possible to map out the 3D distribution of mass. Because the third dimension involves not only distance but cosmic time, tomographic weak lensing is sensitive not only to the matter power spectrum today, but also to its evolution over the history of the universe, and the expansion history of the universe during that time. This is a much more valuable cosmological probe, and many proposed experiments to measure the properties of dark energy and dark matter have focused on weak lensing, such as the Dark Energy Survey, Pan-STARRS, and Large Synoptic Survey Telescope.

### 2.1.1 Matter Power Spectrum

[13]

## 2.2 Bending of Light

The fundamental concept on which weak lensing is built is gravity's ability to alter the path of a photon. In this section we review the theory behind the bending of light necessary to develop the weak lensing formalism.

### 2.2.1 Newtonian Lens

It is a common misconception that the gravitational bending of light is an exclusive property of GR. However, gravity induced alterations to a photon's path are predicted by newtonian mechanics [10]. To illustrate this consider a mass  $M$  located at the origin of the cartesian plane and a corpuscle(newtonian photon) propagating along the  $x = b$  line (in this context  $b$  is known as the impact parameter). Newton's second law predicts that the presence of the point mass will result in a momentum transfer between the two objects. If the corpuscle starts with momentum  $(p, 0)$  then it will end up with momentum  $(p_x, p_y)$ . Therefore, the particle path is deflected by some angle  $\Delta\theta$ . The deflection angle is simply given by

$$\sin(\Delta\theta) = \frac{p_y}{\sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2}} \quad (1)$$

For very small deflections we have  $p \approx p_x \gg p_y$  and  $\Delta\theta \ll 1$ . Therefore Equation 1 simplifies to  $\Delta\theta \approx \frac{p_y}{p_x}$ . We now consider the infinitesimal deflection along the entire path of the photon with  $d\Delta\theta = \frac{dp_y}{p_x} = \frac{1}{p_x} dx \frac{dp_y}{dx}$ . Therefore, we can find the deflection angle by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\theta_N &= -\frac{1}{p_x} \int dx \frac{dp_y}{dx} \\ &= -\frac{1}{cp_x} \int dx \frac{dp_y}{dt} = -\frac{1}{cp_x} \int F_y dx \\ &= \frac{2GM}{c^2 b} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We note that the mass of the corpuscle cancels out of the deflection equation. Therefore this equation applies for massless particles i.e. photons. Therefore Equation 2 provides a newtonian description for the bending of light [10].

### 2.2.2 General Relativistic Bending of Light

In this subsubsection I give a quick sketch of the bending of light in the context of general relativity, for a more detailed calculation please consult [12].

The Einstein's field equations in the presence of a charge free static point mass is uniquely solved by the Schwarzschild metric [12]. The Schwarzschild metric is

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (3)$$

Where  $r_s$  is the Schwarzschild radius of the system given by  $r_s = 2\mu = 2GM/c^2$ . We can analyze the path of the photon from subsubsection 2.2.1 by studying the geodesic equations of the metric and finding the conserved quantities of the system. We can then combine the conservation equations with the tangent vector norm condition for a null path to get the shape equation of the system as

$$\frac{d\phi}{dr} = \frac{1}{r^2} \left( \frac{1}{b^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2\mu}{r} \right) \right)^{-1/2} \quad (4)$$

where  $(r, \phi)$  are the photons position in 2D polar coordinates and  $b$  is the impact parameter. Rewriting this equation under the transformation of  $r = 1/u$  and working pertrubatively around  $u(\mu = 0) = \frac{1}{b} \sin \phi$  we get

$$u(\phi) \approx \frac{1}{b} \sin \phi + \frac{3\mu}{2b^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{3} \cos 2\phi \right) \quad (5)$$

in the limit were  $\phi \ll 1$  and  $u \rightarrow 0$  Equation 5 simplifies to  $\phi = \Delta\theta_N = \frac{2GM}{c^2 b}$ . Geometrically the deflection is given by  $\Delta\theta = 2\phi$  and therefore the deflection angle is

$$\Delta\theta = 2\Delta\theta_N = \frac{4GM}{c^2 b} \quad (6)$$

We conclude that general relativity predicts a factor of 2 greater deflection from a point mass than is predicted by newtonian mechanics. This relationship greatly simplifies the formalism developed for weak lensing.

## 2.3 Weak Lensing Formalism

[7]

### 3 Measuring Shear

### 4 Cosmic Shear

[10] [8] [5]

### 5 Problems With Weak Lensing

[9]

### 6 Weak Lensing Results

[4]

## References

- [1] The nobel prize in physics 2011 - advanced information. nobelprize.org., 2014.
- [2] P. A. R. Ade et al. Planck 2013 results. I. Overview of products and scientific results. *Astron. Astrophys.*, 571:A1, 2014.
- [3] K. Bandura, G. E. Addison, M. Amiri, J. R. Bond, D. Campbell-Wilson, L. Connor, J.-F. Cliche, G. Davis, M. Deng, N. Denman, M. Dobbs, M. Fandino, K. Gibbs, A. Gilbert, M. Halpern, D. Hanna, A. D. Hincks, G. Hinshaw, C. Höfer, P. Klages, T. L. Landecker, K. Masui, J. Mena Parra, L. B. Newburgh, U.-l. Pen, J. B. Peterson, A. Recnik, J. R. Shaw, K. Sigurdson, M. Sitwell, G. Smecher, R. Smegal, K. Vanderlinde, and D. Wiebe. Canadian Hydrogen Intensity Mapping Experiment (CHIME) pathfinder. In *Ground-based and Airborne Telescopes V*, volume 9145, page 914522, July 2014.
- [4] Chiaki Hikage, Masamune Oguri, Takashi Hamana, Surhud More, Rachel Mandelbaum, Masahiro Takada, Fabian Köhlinger, Hironao Miyatake, Atsushi J. Nishizawa, Hiroaki Aihara, Robert Armstrong, James Bosch, Jean Coupon, Anne Ducout, Paul Ho, Bau-Ching Hsieh, Yutaka Komiyama, François Lanusse, Alexie Leauthaud, Robert H. Lupton, Elinor Medezinski, Sogo Mineo, Shoken Miyama, Satoshi Miyazaki, Ryoma Murata, Hitoshi Murayama, Masato Shirasaki, Cristóbal Sifón, Melanie Simet, Joshua Speagle, David N. Spergel, Michael A. Strauss, Naoshi Sugiyama, Masayuki Tanaka, Yousuke Utsumi, Shiang-Yu Wang, and Yoshihiko Yamada. Cosmology from cosmic shear power spectra with Subaru Hyper Suprime-Cam first-year data. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, page 22, Mar 2019.

- [5] H. Hoekstra and B. Jain. Weak Gravitational Lensing and Its Cosmological Applications. *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science*, 58:99–123, November 2008.
- [6] David W. Hogg. Distance measures in cosmology. 1999.
- [7] Konrad Kuijken. The Basics of Lensing. *arXiv e-prints*, pages astro-ph/0304438, Apr 2003.
- [8] Rachel Mandelbaum. Weak Lensing for Precision Cosmology. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 56:393–433, Sep 2018.
- [9] Richard Massey, Henk Hoekstra, Thomas Kitching, Jason Rhodes, Mark Cropper, Jérôme Amiaux, David Harvey, Yannick Mellier, Massimo Meneghetti, Lance Miller, Stéphane Paulin-Henriksson, Sandrine Pires, Roberto Scaramella, and Tim Schrabback. Origins of weak lensing systematics, and requirements on future instrumentation (or knowledge of instrumentation). *mnras*, 429:661–678, Feb 2013.
- [10] G. Meylan, P. Jetzer, P. North, P. Schneider, C. S. Kochanek, and J. Wambsganss, editors. *Gravitational Lensing: Strong, Weak and Micro*, 2006.
- [11] P. J. E. Peebles and Bharat Ratra. The Cosmological constant and dark energy. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 75:559–606, 2003.
- [12] A.W. Peet. General Relativity Notes. page <https://ap.io/483f/files/gr1.pdf>, 2018.
- [13] P. Schneider. *Extragalactic Astronomy and Cosmology*. 2006.
- [14] David H. Weinberg, Michael J. Mortonson, Daniel J. Eisenstein, Christopher Hirata, Adam G. Riess, and Eduardo Roza. Observational probes of cosmic acceleration. *physrep*, 530:87–255, Sep 2013.