

FIND MEMBERS BY USERNAME OR SKILL



survival07

EDIT

DASHBOARD

MY PROFILE

PAYMENTS

SETTINGS

LOG OUT

COMPETE

DESIGN CHALLENGES

DEVELOPMENT CHALLENGES

DATA SCIENCE CHALLENGES

COMPETITIVE PROGRAMMING

LEARN

GET STARTED

DESIGN

DEVELOPMENT

DATA SCIENCE

COMPETITIVE PROGRAMMING

COMMUNITY

OVERVIEW

TCO

PROGRAMS

FORUMS



FVFNTS

BLOG

Forums

Select a Forum ~



Search | Watch Thread | My Post History | My Watches | User Settings

View: Flat (newest first) | Threaded | Tree Previous Thread | Next Thread

Forums

Development Forums

Marathon Match 121 v1.0

Marathon Match 121

Problem background

Problem background | Feedback: (+3/-0) | [+] [-] | Reply

2 edits | Thu, Nov 5, 2020 at 10:40 AM BDT



dimkadimon 4478 posts

Here I want to give some background about the problem. First a little story that is not directly relevant to the problem.

As a Liverpool supporter I was bitterly disappointed when they finished second in the 2018-2019 English Premier League, despite playing an almost perfect season (just one loss). This made me wonder what would happen if the points were awarded differently, namely 1 for a draw and 2 for a win. To my delight this would have given Liverpool the win over Manchester City. Of course if that system was used then arguably Manchester City would have played differently and perhaps still get the win. This system was widely used prior to 1981 and could have given different results:

https://www.skysports.com/football/news/11661/10233723/footballs-parallel-universe-what-if-the-two-point-win-had-remained

I then wondered if it is possible to predict the outcomes of the games if you only know the final goals scored and points collected. Combining this idea with variable point systems from above gave birth to this problem. I realised that there are many possible results that give the same final points, so this "reconstruction" would not be unique*. In order to make the reconstruction easier I introduced team attacking and defending strength, which can be predicted from the data. Even with this change the testing team was worried that there is too much randomness. The final breakthrough came from JacoCronie who suggested the introduction of the "X" parameter. Essentially the game results become more predictable as X increases, which is something that we wanted.

*Note there are some interesting exceptions to this. For example, for 4 teams there can be 25 possible tournaments that arrive at a unique points table (with standard scoring). This led me to a nice integer sequence: https://oeis.org/A330637. This makes me wonder if there were any cases that could be reconstructed uniquely without any ambiguity?

Development Forums Next Thread Previous Thread

Marathon Match 121 v1.0

Marathon Match 121

Problem background

OTHERS SITEMAP

ABOUT US

CONTACT US

HELP CENTER

PRIVACY POLICY

TERMS

topcoder is also on

© 2020 Topcoder. All Rights Reserved