

DiD-regression

Shadi

2023-07-06

We first examine the effect of medicaid expansion on uninsured rate. Table 2 presents the primary findings of the DD analysis, employing sample weights and adjusting for covariates. the first 7 columns show estimates from the fixed effect logistic regression, while the second seven columns show results from OLS. Each specification controls for state and year fixed effects. Column 2 controls for the variables state political ideology and state unemployment rate which were the minimal adjustment set based on the DAG result. Column 3 additionally controls for region-by-year fixed effects while column 4 control for state-specific linear time trends. the full model with all the control variables added are presented in columns 5-7, the difference is in column 6 region-by-year fixed effects is added whereas in column 7 state-specific linear time trend is added.

Columns numbers reveal a positive and statistically significant association between Medicaid expansion and hospital Medicaid revenue. For hospitals in Medicaid-expansion states, Medicaid net revenue increased, on average, by 2.67% after Medicaid expansion (column 3). Meanwhile, columns 4 - 6 shows Medicaid expansion decreased hospital uncompensated care cost by approximately 2%, which is statistically and economically significant.

For both populations, the “Post X Expansion” term was positive and statistically significant for “any insurance” ($p < .01$) and “Medicaid” ($p < .001$), suggesting that Medicaid expansion resulted in a significant increase in the probability of having any insurance and having Medicaid for urban and rural low-income populations pooled.

Put differently, our estimates and standard errors from column 2

	model 1	.1	.2
treat	-0.075*** (0.011)	-0.071*** (0.011)	-0.085*** (0.015)
ForeginBorn	0.244*** (0.012)	0.243*** (0.013)	0.243*** (0.013)
treat x ForeginBorn	-0.031 (0.018)	-0.029 (0.018)	-0.028 (0.018)
state unemployment rate	0.004 (0.003)	0.005 (0.003)	State Political Ideology -0.011 (0.038) 0.015 (0.036)
Controls	No	No	No
No	No	No	No
Fixed-Effects:	_____	_____	_____
ST	Yes	Yes	Yes
YEAR	Yes	Yes	Yes
REGION-YEAR	No	No	Yes
Varying Slopes:	_____	_____	_____
YEAR (ST)	No	No	No
No	_____	_____	_____
S.E.:	Clustered by: ST & YEAR	by: ST & YEAR	by: ST & YEAR
Observations	1,975,594	1,975,594	1,975,594
R2	0.10174	0.10180	0.10226
Within R2	0.04288	0.04294	0.04208
	model 4	.1.1	.2.1
treat	-0.044** (0.010)	-0.081*** (0.012)	-0.092*** (0.015)
ForeginBorn	0.244*** (0.013)	-0.229*** (0.014)	2.27 (7.86)
treat x ForeginBorn	-0.031 (0.017)	0.0004 (0.023)	0.004 (0.031)
state unemployment rate	0.002 (0.003)	State Political Ideology -0.044 (0.025)	
Controls	No	Yes	Yes
Fixed-Effects:	_____	_____	_____
ST	Yes	Yes	Yes
YEAR	Yes	Yes	Yes
REGION-YEAR	No	No	Yes
Varying Slopes:	_____	_____	_____
YEAR (ST)	Yes	Yes	Yes
No	No	No	No
S.E.:	Clustered by: ST & YEAR	by: ST & YEAR	by: ST & YEAR
Observations	1,975,594	1,975,594	1,975,594
R2	0.10282	0.17730	0.17502
Within R2	0.04119	0.12339	0.11971
	model 7		
treat	-0.053** (0.011)	ForeginBorn -0.064* (0.025)	treat x ForeginBorn 0.0009 (0.021)
state unemployment rate			

State Political Ideology
 Controls Yes Fixed-Effects: ————— ST Yes YEAR Yes REGION-YEAR No Varying Slopes: —————
 YEAR (ST) Yes _____ S.E.: Clustered by:
 ST & YEAR Observations 1,975,594 R2 0.17836 Within R2 0.12192 — Signif. codes: 0 ‘ ’ **0.001** ’’ 0.01 ’’
 0.05 ’’ 0.1 ’’ 1

Table number reports empirical finding regarding the effect of medicaid expansion on medicaid coverage among low income adult.