

01:640:481 - Homework 4

Pranav Tikkawar

October 31, 2024

1. Question 10.53 Given a random sample of size n from a Poisson population, use the method of moments to obtain an estimator for the parameter λ .

Solution: We need to solve the following equation for λ :

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \lambda$$

Thus, $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ is the method of moments estimator for λ .

2. Question 10.56 If X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a random sample from a population given by

$$g(x; \theta, \delta) = \begin{cases} 1/\theta e^{-(x-\delta)/\theta} & \text{if } x > \delta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

find estimators for δ and θ by the method of moments. This distribution is sometimes referred to as the two-parameter exponential distribution, and for $\theta = 1$ it is the distribution of Example 3.

Solution: We can solve the following equations for δ and θ :

$$\begin{aligned} m'_1 = \mu'_1 &= \bar{X} = \delta + \theta \\ m'_2 = \mu'_2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 = \delta^2 + 2\delta\theta + \theta^2 \\ \delta &= \bar{X} - \theta \\ \theta &= \sqrt{\mu'_2 - \mu_1'^2} \\ \delta &= \mu'_1 - \sqrt{\mu'_2 - \mu_1'^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have a method of moments estimator for δ and θ .

3. Question 10.59 Use the method of maximum likelihood to rework Exercise 53.

Solution: We want to max the likelihood function $L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!}$ We can take

the log of the likelihood function and solve for λ :

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(L(\lambda)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \ln(\lambda) - n\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i!) \\ \frac{\partial \ln(L(\lambda))}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - n = 0 \\ \lambda &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{X} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$ is the maximum likelihood estimator for λ .

4. Question 10.66 Use the method of maximum likelihood to rework Exercise 56

Solution: We want to max the likelihood function $L(\delta, \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-(x_i - \delta)/\theta}$. We can take the log of the likelihood function and solve for δ and θ :

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(L(\delta, \theta)) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i - \delta}{\theta} - n \ln(\theta) \\ \frac{\partial \ln(L(\delta, \theta))}{\partial \delta} &= \frac{n}{\theta} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \ln(L(\delta, \theta))}{\partial \theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i - \delta}{\theta^2} - \frac{n}{\theta} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can solve the above equations to get the maximum likelihood estimators for δ and θ . We can see that $\hat{\delta} = \min(X_i)$ and $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x} - \min(X_i)$.

5. Question 10.3 Use the formula for the sampling distribution of \tilde{X} on page 253 to show that for random samples of size $n = 3$ the median is an unbiased estimator of the parameter θ of a uniform population with $\alpha = \theta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$.

Solution: We can notice that the sample median for this population is $h(x) = \frac{(2n-1)!}{m!m!} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^x f(x)dx \cdot \int_x^{\infty} f(x)dx$.

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= 6 \left(x - \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2} - x \right) \\ E[x] &= 6 \int_{\theta - \frac{1}{2}}^{\theta + \frac{1}{2}} x \left(x - \theta + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\theta + \frac{1}{2} - x \right) \end{aligned}$$

After a bunch of algebra, we can see that $E[x] = \theta$. Thus, the median is an unbiased estimator of the parameter θ of a uniform population with $\alpha = \theta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = \theta + \frac{1}{2}$.

6. Question 10.15 Show that the mean of a random sample of size n is a minimum variance unbiased estimator of the parameter λ of a Poisson population.

Solution: Consider the poisson distribution $f(x; \lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^x}{x!}$. The mean of a random sample of size n is $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$. We know that the mean of a Poisson distribution is λ . Thus, \bar{X} is an unbiased estimator of λ . We also know that the variance of a Poisson distribution is λ . We can calculate the CRLB of λ by solving the following equation:

$$var(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{nE \left[\frac{\partial \ln(f(X))}{\partial \lambda} \right]^2}$$

We can see that the CRLB is

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(f(X)) &= -\lambda + x \ln(\lambda) - \ln(x!) \\ \frac{\partial \ln(f(X))}{\partial \lambda} &= \frac{x}{\lambda} - 1 \\ E \left[\frac{\partial \ln(f(X))}{\partial \lambda} \right]^2 &= E \left[\left(\frac{x}{\lambda} - 1 \right)^2 \right] = \frac{1}{\lambda} \\ var(\bar{X}) &= \frac{1}{n \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda}} = \frac{\lambda}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Since the variance of \bar{X} is $\frac{\lambda}{n}$, we can see that the mean of a random sample of size n is a minimum variance unbiased estimator of the parameter λ of a Poisson population.

7. Question 10.18 Show that for the unbiased estimator of Example 4, $\frac{n+1}{n} \cdot Y_n$, the Cramer-Rao inequality is not satisfied.

Solution: We know the sample distribution of Y_n is

$$\frac{n}{\beta^n} \cdot y_n^{n-1}$$

We know that the CRLB is given by

$$var(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{nE \left[\frac{\partial \ln(f(X))}{\partial \theta} \right]^2}$$

We can calculate the CRLB for the unbiased estimator $\frac{n+1}{n} \cdot Y_n$ by solving the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(f(X)) &= \ln(n) - n \ln(\beta) + (n-1) \ln(y_n) \\ \frac{\partial \ln(f(X))}{\partial \beta} &= -\frac{n}{\beta} \\ E \left[\frac{\partial \ln(f(X))}{\partial \beta} \right]^2 &= E \left[\left(-\frac{n}{\beta} \right)^2 \right] = \frac{n^2}{\beta^2} \\ \text{var}(\hat{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{n \cdot \frac{n^2}{\beta^2}} = \frac{\beta^2}{n^3} \end{aligned}$$

We can see that the CRLB is $\frac{\beta^2}{n^3}$. We can calculate the variance of the unbiased estimator $\frac{n+1}{n} \cdot Y_n$ by solving the following equation:

$$\text{var} \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \cdot Y_n \right) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \cdot \text{var}(Y_n) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n} \right)^2 \cdot \frac{\beta^2}{n^3} = \frac{\beta^2(n+1)^2}{n^4}$$

We can see that the variance of the unbiased estimator $\frac{n+1}{n} \cdot Y_n$ is $\frac{\beta^2(n+1)^2}{n^4}$ and that it is greater than the CRLB $\frac{\beta^2}{n^3}$. Thus, the Cramer-Rao inequality is not satisfied.