

CS 439 Exam 02 Cheat Sheet

Linear Algebra Foundations

Vector Operations $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = \sum v_i w_i$, $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \sqrt{\sum v_i^2}$

Orthogonal Two vectors orthogonal if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0$

Linear Independence Set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ independent if no vector is linear combination of others.

Eigenvalues/Eigenvectors $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ where λ is eigenvalue, \mathbf{v} is eigenvector.

Rank Max number of linearly independent rows or columns.

Span Set of all linear combinations of a set of vectors.

Basis Set of vectors that span a vector space.

SVD and PCA

SVD $X_{n \times m} = U_{n \times n} \Sigma_{n \times m} V_{m \times m}^T$ where U and V are orthogonal; Σ diagonal with singular values (square roots of eigenvalues of $X^T X$).

Rank-k Approximation Use first k singular values:

$$X_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

PCA Dimensionality reduction by projecting onto principal components (directions of max variance). Steps: center data, compute covariance matrix, find eigenvalues/eigenvectors, sort by eigenvalues, select top k eigenvectors, project data.

Why? Reduces dimensionality, removes noise, improves computational efficiency.

Explained Variance Proportion of variance captured by each principal component: $\frac{\lambda_i}{\sum \lambda_j}$ where λ_i are eigenvalues.

Covariance Matrix $C = \frac{1}{n-1} X^T X$ where X is centered data matrix.

Probability & Bayes

Conditional Probability $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$

(Marginal) Independence $P(A, B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$ (test by checking if $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$)

Bayes Theorem $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$ where

$P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\neg A)P(\neg A)$ (law of total probability)

Marginal Probability $P(A) = \sum_i P(A, B_i) = \sum_i P(A|B_i)P(B_i)$

Joint Probability $P(A, B)$ vs $P(A) = \sum_B P(A, B)$

Conditional Independence $P(A, B|C) = P(A|C)P(B|C)$

Product Rule $P(A, B) = P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)$

Chain Rule $P(A, B, C) = P(A|B, C)P(B|C)P(C)$

Naive Bayes Classification

Assumption Features conditionally independent given class:
 $P(X_1, \dots, X_n|Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i|Y)$. Can ignore denominator $P(X)$ when comparing classes.

Classification Rule $\hat{y} = \arg \max_y P(Y = y) \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i|Y = y)$

Steps 1) Calculate priors: $P(Y) = \frac{\text{count}(Y)}{\text{total}}$. 2) Calculate likelihoods:

$P(X_i|Y) = \frac{\text{count}(X_i \cap Y)}{\text{count}(Y)}$. 3) Compute scores (drop denominator). 4)

Choose class with max score.

Laplace Smoothing Add 1 to counts to handle zero probabilities:

$$P(X_i|Y) = \frac{\text{count}(X_i \cap Y) + 1}{\text{count}(Y) + |V|}$$

Types of NB: Gaussian (continuous features), Multinomial (discrete counts), Bernoulli (binary features).

Linear Regression

Assumptions Linearity, independence, homoscedasticity, normality of errors.

Hypothesis $h_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \dots + \theta_n x_n = \theta^T \mathbf{x}$

MSE (L2) $L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2$

MAE (L1) $L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}|$

Huber Loss

$$L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)})^2 & \text{if } |h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}| \leq \delta \\ \delta (|h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}| - \frac{1}{2} \delta) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{It is}$$

quadratic for small errors and linear for large errors: robust to outliers.

Normal Equation $\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}$ (closed-form solution)

Simple Linear Reg $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$ where

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{var}(X)} = \frac{\sum (X - \bar{X})(Y - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_0 = \bar{Y} - \beta_1 \bar{X}$$

$$R^2 \text{ Score } R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum (y - \hat{y})^2}{\sum (y - \bar{y})^2} \quad (\text{closer to 1 is better})$$

Model Eval Use train/test split (e.g., 80/20) or cross-validation to assess generalization. Look at residual plots for patterns. R^2 can be used to evaluate model performance.

$$\text{Lin Reg Shortcut } \beta_1 = \frac{\text{cov}(X, Y)}{\text{var}(X)} = \frac{\sum (X - \bar{X})(Y - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{\sum XY - n\bar{X}\bar{Y}}{\sum X^2 - n\bar{X}^2}.$$

$$\beta_0 = \bar{Y} - \beta_1 \bar{X}$$

Worked Example: Points (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 5) We fit $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$.

$$\text{Calculate } \beta_1 = \frac{(1-2)(2-10/3) + (2-2)(3-10/3) + (3-2)(5-10/3)}{(1-2)^2 + (2-2)^2 + (3-2)^2} =$$

$$\frac{(-1)(-4/3) + 0 + (1)(5/3)}{1 + 0 + 1} = \frac{4/3 + 5/3}{2} = \frac{9/3}{2} = \frac{3}{2} = 1.5. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\beta_0 = \bar{Y} - \beta_1 \bar{X} = 10/3 - 1.5 * 2 = 10/3 - 3 = 1/3.$$

Gradient Descent

Update Rule $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_j}$ (simultaneously update all θ_j , i.e., calculate all gradients first)

For Linear Reg $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$

Learning Rate (α) Too large \rightarrow oscillation/divergence. Too small \rightarrow slow convergence. Plot loss vs iterations to check.

Convergence Stop when $|L_{t+1} - L_t| < \epsilon$ or max iterations reached. Loss should decrease monotonically. Feature scaling $[-1, 1]$ or $[0, 1]$ helps.

Batch GD Use all training examples. **Stochastic GD** Use one example at a time. **Mini-batch GD** Use subset of examples.

Worked Example $f(\theta) = (\theta - 4)^2$, $\frac{df}{d\theta} = 2(\theta - 4)$. Start $\theta = 0$,

$\alpha = 0.1$. Iter 1: $\theta = 0 - 0.1 * 2(0 - 4) = 0 + 0.8 = 0.8$. Iter 2:

$\theta = 0.8 - 0.1 * 2(0.8 - 4) = 0.8 + 0.64 = 1.44$. Iter 3:

$\theta = 1.44 - 0.1 * 2(1.44 - 4) = 1.44 + 0.512 = 1.952$. Continue until convergence.

Model Complexity & Regularization

Bias-Variance Tradeoff High bias (underfitting): model too simple. High variance (overfitting): model too complex, fits noise.

Regularization Add penalty to prevent overfitting:

$$L(\theta) = \text{MSE} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j^2 \quad (\text{don't penalize } \theta_0)$$

Why? Constrains model complexity to improve generalization.

L2 (Ridge) Penalty $= \lambda \sum \theta_j^2$ (shrinks coefficients)

L1 (Lasso) Penalty $= \lambda \sum |\theta_j|$ (feature selection, sets some θ to 0)

λ Selection $\lambda = 0$: no regularization (may overfit). Large λ : more regularization (may underfit). Use cross-validation. Try 0, .01, 0.1, 1, 10, 100.

Train/Test Split Typically 80-20 or 70-30. Train on training set, evaluate on test set. Never train on test data!

Cross-Validation K-fold: split data into k subsets, train on $k - 1$, test on 1, repeat k times, average results. Split into 60 - 80% train, 10 - 20% validation, 10 - 20% test for hyperparameter tuning.

Workflow: 1) Split data into train/validation/test sets. 2) Train model on training set. 3) Tune hyperparameters using validation set. 4) Evaluate final model on test set. 5) Use cross-validation for more robust performance estimates.

Gradient Descent Regularization Update Rule:

$$\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} + \frac{\lambda}{n} \theta_j \right) \quad (\text{for L2}$$

regularization, do not regularize θ_0)

Logistic Regression

Sigmoid Function $g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$ (output between 0 and 1).

$$g(0) = 0.5, \quad g(z) = 1 - g(-z), \quad g'(z) = g(z)(1 - g(z))$$

Hypothesis $h_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = g(\theta^T \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T \mathbf{x}}}$

Interpretation $h_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = P(y = 1 | \mathbf{x}, \theta)$ (probability of class 1)

Decision Rule Predict $y = 1$ if $h_\theta(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0.5$ (equivalently, $\theta^T \mathbf{x} \geq 0$). Predict $y = 0$ if $h_\theta(\mathbf{x}) < 0.5$.

Logistic Loss $L(h, y) = -y \log(h) - (1 - y) \log(1 - h)$ or $L = -\frac{1}{n} \sum [y^{(i)} \log(h(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log(1 - h(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))]$ used because MSE is not convex for logistic regression.

Decision Boundary Line/curve where $h_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = 0.5$, i.e., $\theta^T \mathbf{x} = 0$. For 2D: $\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 = 0$.

Non-linear Decision Boundary Use polynomial features (e.g., $x_1^2, x_2^2, x_1 x_2$) to create non-linear boundaries.

Gradient Descent $\theta_j := \theta_j - \alpha \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (h_\theta(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - y^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)}$ (same form as linear regression!)

Worked Example Data: (1,0), (2,0), (3,1), (4,1). Start $\theta = [0, 0]$, $\alpha = 0.1$. Iter 1: Compute h_θ for each point, calculate gradients, update θ . Repeat until convergence.

Classification Metrics

Confusion Matrix TP (true pos), FP (false pos), TN (true neg), FN (false neg)

Precision Accuracy of positive predictions: $\frac{TP}{TP + FP}$ (how many predicted positives are correct?)

Recall (Sensitivity) Coverage of actual positives: $\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$ (how many actual positives did we catch?)

Accuracy Overall correctness: $\frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$

F1-Score Harmonic mean of precision and recall:

$$F1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{Precision} \cdot \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}$$

Specificity True negative rate: $\frac{TN}{TN + FP}$

Trade-off High precision \rightarrow fewer false positives (conservative). High recall \rightarrow fewer false negatives (aggressive).

Use Case Accuracy good for balanced classes. Precision: when false positives costly. Recall: when false negatives costly. F1: balance precision and recall when classes imbalanced.

ROC AUC ROC: Plot TPR (recall) vs FPR ($\frac{FP}{FP + TN}$) at different thresholds. AUC: Area under ROC curve (closer to 1 is better).

Multiclass Classification

One-vs-All (OvA) For k classes, train k binary classifiers. Classifier i : class i (is positive) vs. all others (negative). Predict:
 $\hat{y} = \arg \max_i h_{\theta}^{(i)}(\mathbf{x})$.

Softmax Regression $P(y = k|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\theta_k^T \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{\theta_j^T \mathbf{x}}}$ (generalizes logistic regression to multiple classes)
Example: For 10 digit classes (0-9), train 10 classifiers in OvA or use softmax regression to predict digit.

K-means Clustering

Algorithm 1) Initialize: choose k random centers μ_1, \dots, μ_k . 2) Assignment: assign each point to nearest center $c^{(i)} = \arg \min_j \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu_j\|$. 3) Update: recompute centers as mean of assigned points $\mu_j = \frac{1}{|C_j|} \sum_{i \in C_j} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$. 4) Repeat 2-3 until convergence.
Loss Function $J = \sum_{i=1}^m \|\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \mu_{c(i)}\|^2$ (minimize sum of squared distances)
Convergence Loss decreases monotonically. Stop when change $< \epsilon$ or max iterations. May converge to local optimum (sensitive to initialization).

Distance Metrics Euclidean: $\sqrt{\sum (x_i - y_i)^2}$. Manhattan: $\sum |x_i - y_i|$. Cosine: $1 - \frac{\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{y}\|}$.
Initialization Sensitivity Different initial centers can lead to different clusters. Run multiple times with different seeds and choose best result.

K-means++ Better initialization: choose centers with probability proportional to distance from existing centers. Formula:
 $P(x) = \frac{D(x)^2}{\sum D(x_i)^2}$ where $D(x)$ is distance to nearest center.
Choosing k Elbow method: plot loss vs. k , look for "elbow" where improvement slows.

Hierarchical Clustering

Agglomerative (Bottom-up) Start with each point as own cluster. Merge closest pair. Repeat until single cluster or desired k clusters.

Divisive (Top-down) Start with all points in one cluster. Recursively split until each point is own cluster or desired k clusters.
Linkage Methods Single: $\min\{d(x, y) : x \in C_1, y \in C_2\}$ (min distance between any two points). Complete: $\max\{d(x, y) : x \in C_1, y \in C_2\}$ (max distance). Average: $\frac{1}{|C_1||C_2|} \sum_{x \in C_1} \sum_{y \in C_2} d(x, y)$ (avg distance).
Dendrogram Tree diagram showing merge history. Height of merge indicates distance. Cut at desired height to get k clusters.
Advantages No need to specify k upfront. Captures nested clusters. Can visualize cluster hierarchy. Useful for small datasets.

Feature Engineering

Feature Selection Remove irrelevant/redundant features. Methods: filter (correlation), wrapper (forward/backward selection), embedded (regularization).
Feature Extraction Create new features from existing: polynomial features ($x^2, x^3, x_1 x_2$), domain-specific (ratios, differences).
Scaling/Normalization Z-score: $z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}$. Min-max: $x' = \frac{x - \min}{\max - \min}$. Important for gradient descent and distance-based methods.
One-Hot Encoding Convert categorical to binary features. Category with n values $\rightarrow n$ binary features.

Important Concepts

Overfitting Model fits training data too well, performs poorly on test data. Solutions: regularization, more data, simpler model, cross-validation.
Underfitting Model too simple, performs poorly on both training and test data. Solutions: more features, more complex model, reduce regularization.
Parametric Models Fixed number of parameters (linear/logistic regression). Assumptions about data distribution.
Non-parametric Models Number of parameters grows with data (k-NN, decision trees). Fewer assumptions.
Supervised Learning Training data has labels (classification, regression).

Unsupervised Learning Training data has no labels (clustering, dimensionality reduction).
Learning Curves Plot of training/test error vs. training set size. Diagnose bias/variance issues.
Hyperparameter Tuning Use grid search or random search with cross-validation to find best hyperparameters (e.g., λ, k in K-means).
Curse of Dimensionality As dimensions increase, data becomes sparse. Distance metrics become less meaningful. Use dimensionality reduction (PCA) or feature selection.

Common Mistakes to Avoid

- × Forgetting to calculate $P(B)$ in Bayes (use law of total probability)
- × Not dropping denominator in Naive Bayes comparisons
- × Penalizing θ_0 in regularization
- × Not updating gradient descent parameters simultaneously
- × Thinking K-means loss can increase (it can't!)
- × Training on test data or using test data for hyperparameter tuning
- × Forgetting to standardize features for distance-based methods
- × Data Leakage, using future information in training

Quick Formula Reference

Bayes: $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$
Naive Bayes: $P(Y|\mathbf{X}) \propto P(Y) \prod P(X_i|Y)$
Linear Reg: $h = \theta^T \mathbf{x}$, $\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (h - y)^2$
Gradient: $\theta := \theta - \alpha \nabla L$
Regularized: $L = \text{MSE} + \lambda \sum \theta^2$
Sigmoid: $g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$
Logistic: $h = g(\theta^T \mathbf{x})$, $\text{Loss} = -y \log(h) - (1 - y) \log(1 - h)$
Precision: $\frac{TP}{TP + FP}$, **Recall:** $\frac{TP}{TP + FN}$
K-means: $J = \sum \|\mathbf{x} - \mu_c\|^2$
Normal Equation: $\theta = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}$
Normal Equation with L2 Reg : $\theta = (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y}$
 $R^2 : 1 - \frac{\sum (y - \hat{y})^2}{\sum (y - \bar{y})^2}$
Softmax : $P(y = k|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{e^{\theta_k^T \mathbf{x}}}{\sum_j e^{\theta_j^T \mathbf{x}}}$