

# Audio CAPTCHA Transcription Report

## Methodology and Preprocessing Steps

The primary goal of this project was to transcribe audio CAPTCHAs into text using Whisper. Below are the key steps undertaken:

### 1. Dataset Handling:

- The dataset consists of 10,000 audio CAPTCHA files and corresponding images.
- Due to computational constraints, a subset of 500 audio CAPTCHA files was selected for processing.

### 2. Preprocessing Steps:

- **Loading Audio:** Each file was loaded at a sampling rate of 16 kHz using `librosa`.
- **Noise Reduction:** Applied `noisereduce` to enhance clarity and improve transcription accuracy.
- **Normalization:** Audio was normalized to ensure consistent amplitude across all samples.
- **Feature Extraction:**
  - **MFCCs (Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients):** Extracted to represent important speech features.
  - **Spectrograms:** Derived using Short-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) to analyze frequency patterns.

### 3. Model Selection:

Multiple models were tested to determine the best approach:

- wav2vec2
- Conqui SST
- OpenAI's Whisper
- Speech2Text

Each model was evaluated on a sample of 500 CAPTCHAs. **OpenAI's Whisper** outperformed other models in accuracy, though it struggled with correctly identifying numbers. To mitigate this issue, preprocessing techniques such as noise reduction and normalization were applied.

### 4. Transcription:

- Whisper's "Medium" model was used for transcribing audio into text.
- Outputs were formatted into "capital X, small y" notation for readability.

---

## Challenges Encountered and Solutions

### 1. Noise and Distortion in Audio Captchas

- Some CAPTCHA files contained significant background noise.
- **Solution:** Applied `noisereduce` to enhance signal clarity before feeding it into Whisper.

### 2. Processing Large Dataset

- Running Whisper on 10,000 files would have been computationally expensive.
- **Solution:** Sampled the first 500 files for evaluation.

### 3. Text Formatting for Better Readability

- Whisper's raw output was not structured for CAPTCHA-like transcriptions.
- **Solution:** Applied post-processing to format output into "capital X, small y" format.

---

## Evaluation and Error Analysis

### 1. Manual Evaluation Approach:

- Due to the lack of ground truth labels in a digital format and the computational limitations of Google Colab's free tier, Optical Character Recognition (OCR) was not used to extract the ground truth labels.
- Instead, manual verification was conducted by comparing the transcribed text with the corresponding CAPTCHA images.

### 2. Observations:

- The model performed well on clear speech but struggled with distorted audio.
  - Capitalization errors were common, requiring post-processing adjustments.
  - Some characters (e.g., "O" vs. "0") were misclassified, leading to minor transcription errors.
-

# Potential Improvements and Limitations

## Potential Improvements

1. **Fine-Tuning Whisper on CAPTCHA-Specific Data**
  - Training Whisper on a labeled CAPTCHA dataset could improve recognition accuracy.
2. **Advanced Noise Filtering**
  - Experimenting with different noise reduction techniques (e.g., spectral subtraction) could further enhance transcription quality.
3. **Contextual Post-Processing**
  - Implementing rule-based corrections for commonly misrecognized characters (e.g., "O" vs. "0").

## Limitations

- **Dependence on Whisper's Pretrained Model:** Since Whisper is not explicitly trained on CAPTCHA audio, it may not generalize perfectly.
  - **Computational Constraints:** Processing the full 10,000 dataset would require significant computational resources.
  - **Potential Misinterpretation of Special Symbols:** If CAPTCHAs include symbols or numbers, additional processing would be needed.
- 

## Conclusion

This project transcribed audio CAPTCHAs using Whisper while applying noise reduction and feature extraction. Future work could focus on fine-tuning models, expanding dataset processing, and improving character recognition accuracy.

## AI Acknowledgment

During the development of this project, AI-powered assistants, including **ChatGPT, Claude, and DeepSeek**, were used to aid in writing, debugging, and optimizing the code. These tools provided support in:

- **Code Assistance:** Generating and refining Python scripts for preprocessing, feature extraction, and transcription.
- **Debugging:** Identifying and fixing errors in the implementation.
- **Documentation:** Structuring the report, README, and refining explanations for clarity.

While AI-assisted tools played a role in enhancing efficiency, all final decisions, modifications, and validations were performed manually to ensure accuracy and alignment with project requirements.