

METHODS OF PROOF

PROOFS

- ▶ an argument supporting the validity of the statement
- ▶ proof of the theorem:
 - ▶ shows that the **conclusion** follows from **premises**
 - ▶ may use:
 - ▶ Premises
 - ▶ Axioms (***Axiom*** is a rule or a statement that is accepted as true without proof. An ***axiom*** is also called a postulate)
 - ▶ Results of other theorems

Formal proofs:

- ▶ steps of the proofs follow logically from the set of premises and axioms
- ▶ we assume ***formal proofs*** in propositional logic

Direct Proof

- ▶ Direct Proofs lead from premises of a theorem to the conclusion.

Example:

$P \rightarrow Q$

- ▶ We only need to consider the case P is true because when its false, the argument is true (by default)
- ▶ Assume that P is true. Next, we use axioms, definitions, and previously proven theorems, together with the rules of inference, to show that Q is true.
- ▶ If we can deduce that Q is true, therefore $P \rightarrow Q$ is true.

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Direct Proof

Example:

Give a direct proof “If n is an odd integer, then n^2 is odd”

- ▶ Assume hypothesis “ n is an odd integer” is true
- ▶ Definition of odd integer is $n = 2k + 1$, where k is some integer
- ▶ Show that n^2 is odd :

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 &= (2k + 1)^2 \\&= 4k^2 + 4k + 1 \\&= 2(2k^2 + 2k) + 1\end{aligned}$$

Therefore n^2 is odd.

Consequently, we have proven that “If n is an odd integer, then n^2 is odd” is true.

Direct Proof

Example:

Give a direct proof “If m, n are odd integers, then $m \times n$ is odd”

- ▶ Assume hypothesis “ m, n are odd integers” is true
- ▶ Definition of odd integer is $n = 2k + 1, m = 2l + 1$ where k, l is some integer
- ▶ Show that $m \times n$ is odd:

$$\begin{aligned}m \times n &= (2k + 1) \times (2l + 1) \\&= 2kl + 2k + 2l + 1 \\&= 2(kl + k + l) + 1\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $m \times n$ is odd.

Consequently, we have proven that “If m, n are odd integers, then $m \times n$ is odd” is true.

Indirect Proof

- Proof by contraposition.

Example:

$$P \rightarrow Q \Leftrightarrow \neg Q \rightarrow \neg P$$

- Assume that $\neg Q$ is true. Next, we use axioms, definitions, and previously proven theorems, together with the rules of inference, to show that $\neg P$ is true.
- If we can deduce that $\neg P$ is true, therefore $P \rightarrow Q$ is true.

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Indirect Proof

Example:

Give an indirect proof “*If $3n + 2$ is odd then n is odd*”

- ▶ Assume hypothesis “ n is even” is true
- ▶ Definition of even integer is $n = 2k$, where k is some integer
- ▶ Show that $3n + 2$ is even:

$$\begin{aligned} 3n + 2 &= 3(2k) + 2 \\ &= 6k + 2 \\ &= 2(3k + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $3n + 2$ is even.

Consequently, we have proven that “*If $3n + 2$ is odd then n is odd*” is true.

Proof by Cases

$P \rightarrow Q$, where $P = P_1 \vee P_2 \vee P_3 \vee P_4 \vee P_5 \vee \dots \vee P_n$

- ▶ if the hypothesis naturally breaks down into parts $(P_1 \vee P_2 \vee P_3 \vee P_4 \vee P_5 \vee \dots \vee P_n)$, we prove $P_1 \rightarrow Q$, $P_2 \rightarrow Q$, $P_3 \rightarrow Q, \dots, P_n \rightarrow Q$
- ▶ Hence, P (the whole parts) is true, so the proposition is correct.

Proof by Cases

Example: Show that $|x| |y| = |xy|$

Proof:

► 4 cases:

► $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ $xy \geq 0$ and $|xy| = xy = |x| |y|$

► $x \geq 0, y < 0$ $xy < 0$ and $|xy| = -xy = x (-y) = |x| |y|$

► $x < 0, y \geq 0$ $xy < 0$ and $|xy| = -xy = (-x) y = |x| |y|$

► $x < 0, y < 0$ $xy > 0$ and $|xy| = (-x)(-y) = |x| |y|$

All cases proved.

Proving Universally Quantified Statements

- ▶ To prove $\forall x P(x)$ is true, we have to **exhaustively** show that for every x in the universe of discourse, $P(x)$ is true.
- ▶ To prove $\forall x P(x)$ is false, we provide proof there exist a value for x in the universe of discourse, that makes $P(x)$ false.

Proving Existentially Quantified Statements

- ▶ To prove $\exists x P(x)$ is true, we provide proof there exist a value for x in the universe of discourse, that makes $P(x)$ true.
- ▶ To prove $\exists x P(x)$ is false, we have to **exhaustively** show that for every x in the universe of discourse, $P(x)$ is false.