(00 - Assignment

(a) was 1.171875 gradient dexent algo in

whuse for (b), it was: 2.5536

of In (a) our step size reduced by factor of 0.5, while in (b) our step size it reduced by factor of 1.5, of beta = 0.01, in the backet achig algo.

Ly live started with initial slep size = 1 in both the cases but

In backtracking we iteratively oreduce stepsize until we get a orifficiently reduced value of f.

In both the parts (a) & (b),

when we backtrack in (a), we use β=0.1

which results in a very courde & broic search

while in (b) we use β=0.5, teeping

d value same in both (a) & (b), in (b), we

get a much les enude search compared to (a)

(1) c) The of values for Newton's descent is deff. Then gradent descent algo in (a). Since Newton's also applies much stronger constraints selature to gradeut descert, in duns it converges in far fewer steps and uses mon mjo than gradeint which generally enequire more no of iterations.

03)

minimule $f(x, y, z) = e^x + 2y^2 + 3z^2$ dubj to $x_1 - 5x = 1$ y + z = 4

We can form a KKT linear system as.

receining KKT is non signlar,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X n + \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta X n + \\ W \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\nabla f(x) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Was optimel dual uniable

we get, As unt = 0, $\nabla^2 f(x) \Delta x n_t + A^T w = - \nabla f(x)$

 $e^{20} \times 1 + 101 = -e^{20}$ $4 \times y + 100 = -4y$ $16 \times 2 - 501 + 100 = -62$ $4 \times 100 - 502 = 0$ $4 \times 100 - 502 = 0$ $4 \times 100 = -62$ $4 \times 1000 = -62$ $4 \times$

-	- e×	D	D	10	[XA]	1-ex7
	0	4	0	01	ay =	-44
	0	0	6	-5 1	09	-64
		0	-5	00	ω ₁	0
L	0		1	0 0		Lot

ne have got privil optimel values as (1.14491625, 3.97101625, 0.02898325)

Date:
Page No.

$$602 - 5(w_1) + w_2 = -6(0.03)$$

 $602 - 5w_1 + w_2 = -0.18$ 3

b) on solving this values,
$$L \in M$$
, we get $\omega_1 = -3.14$, L optical $\omega_2 = -15.88$. I dual var.