

1.) Heap sort is not a divide and conquer algorithm.

2. We know that the above algorithm is calculating the gcd of the two numbers x and y .

• It is based on the fact that when smaller number is divided from larger number, gcd b/w the two numbers remain same.

• Now, we can take a simple example to get time complexity of above code.

let $x=10$ $y=1$

we know their gcd is 1.

So, we need to subtract y from x 9 times.
which is $O(n)$.

Hence, time complexity of above algorithm is $O(n)$.

3) Now, $p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + a_3x^3$.

from above representation of the polynomial it is clear that there are 3 multiplication steps involved.

Hence, minimum number of multiplication steps = 3.

4. Maximum subarray sum problem using divide and conquer has a worst case time complexity of $O(n \log n)$.

5. We can create a power function with the best time complexity of $O(\log n)$.

6. Since b_1 has all array elements sorted, for t_1 we have a worst case time complexity as compared to an average time complexity for t_2 .

$t_1 \rightarrow O(n^2)$, $t_2 \rightarrow O(n \log n)$
Hence, $t_1 > t_2$

7. Time complexity will remain $O(n^2)$ because of swaps required to be carried out.
8. Randomized quick sort worst case = $O(n^2)$
because we may randomly pick corner element each time.
9. Pseudo code of binary search is as follows:

Procedure. binary search:

$A \leftarrow$ Sorted array
 $n \leftarrow$ Size of array
 $x \leftarrow$ Value to be searched

let lower bound = 1
let upper bound = n

while x not found

if upper bound < lower bound
Exit : x doesn't exist

let mid point = $\frac{\text{Lower bound} + \text{Upper bound}}{2}$

If $A[\text{mid point}] < x$
let lower bound = mid point + 1

If $A[\text{mid point}] > x$
let upper bound = mid point - 1

If $A[\text{mid point}] = x$
Exit : x found at location mid point

end while.
end procedure.

Now, since we are dividing the array in half and trying to obtain a solution binary search is a divide and conquer algorithm with recurrence relation as follows:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + 1$$

using master method,

$$a=1 \quad b=2 \quad d=0 \Rightarrow b=1$$

$$a > b^d$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(n^a \log n)$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

Hence binary search has a time complexity of $O(\log n)$

Best case: mid point is the solution

$$T(n) = O(1), \text{ Eg. Search 2 in } \{1, 2, 3\}$$

Average / worst case: mid point is not the solution

$$T(n) = O(\log n)$$

Eg. \rightarrow Search 2 in $\{1, 2, 3\}$

~~A = 10~~

10.) Quick sort algo. is as follows:

Algo for partition:

Step 1: Choose the highest index value as pivot.

Step 2: Take 2 variables to point left and right of the list excluding pivot

Step 3: left points to low index.

Step 4: Right points to high index.

Step 5: While value at left is less than pivot move right

Step 6: While value at right is higher than pivot move left

Step 7: If both step 5 and 6 don't matches, swap left and right.

Step 8: If left > right, the point where they met is new pivot

▷ Algo for quick Sort:

- 1> Make the right most index value pivot
- 2> Partition the array using pivot value
- 3> quicksort left partition recursively
- 4> quicksort right partition recursively

Now, since we are recursively calling quicksort, by dividing the array in 2 parts i.e. left right and trying to obtain a solution, quick sort is a D & C algo with recurrence relation as follows

In best case middle element is always taken as pivot:

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n) \quad \rightarrow \text{best case}$$

Using Master's Algo Theorem

$$a=2, b=2, d=1 \Rightarrow b^d = 2$$

$$\therefore a = b^d$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

For worst case, smallest or largest element is always chosen as pivot (i.e. generally when array is already sorted)

$$\therefore \text{for worst case, } T(n) = O(n^2)$$

$$\text{eg } \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \text{ because } T(n) = T(n-1) + O(n)$$

11. Merge sort algo is as follows:

Algo for merge sort:

- 1.) If size of array passed to function, is 1 then return array element
- 2.) Divide array into two parts as left array and right array
- 3.) Recursively call mergesort for left array and right-array
- 4.) Merge left array and right array

→ Algo for merge:

- 1.) Create a new array merged array having size equal to size of left array and right-array
- 2.) While both arrays are not iterated execute step-3
- 3.) Give current element of merged array as the max value b/w the current element values of left array and right array and increase current element value of merged array and the max (left array, right-array)
- 4.) Empty left-array, remaining elements into merged array.
- 5.) Empty right-array remaining elements into merged array
- 6.) Return merged-array.

Now since, at each step merge-sort divides the array into 2 parts and merges those two parts in linear time to give sorted array it is a D&C algo with the following recurrence relation

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

This soln is same as for best, worst & average cases because merge sort doesn't discriminate b/w the array elements & runs a complete algorithm every step.

From master's theorem,

$$a=2, \quad b=2, \quad d=1$$

$$\therefore a = b^d$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(n^2 \log n)$$

$$\therefore T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

- 12) Yes, we can improve the time complexity of multiplying large integer using Karatsuba Algorithm (Divide & Conquer)

Karatsuba Algorithm says that if we represent a binary string of input numbers then we can divide the binary strings into 2 parts and obtaining a solution for multiplication. Eg. Assume X and Y for 2 numbers binary representation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } X_l &= \text{leftmost } n/2 \text{ bits} \\ X_r &= \text{Rightmost } n/2 \text{ bits} \\ Y_l &= \text{leftmost } n/2 \text{ bits} \\ Y_r &= \text{Rightmost } n/2 \text{ bits.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } X = (X_l)2^{n/2} + X_r \quad Y = (Y_l)2^{n/2} + Y_r$$

$$\therefore XY = 2^n (X_l Y_l) + 2^{n/2} (X_l Y_r + X_r Y_l) + X_r Y_r$$

$$\therefore XY = 2^n (X_l Y_l) + 2^{n/2} ((X_l + X_r)(Y_l + Y_r)) - X_l Y_l - X_r Y_r$$

\therefore Since, n may be odd,

$$XY = 2^{2 \lceil n/2 \rceil} (X_l Y_l) + 2^{\lceil n/2 \rceil} [(X_l + X_r)(Y_l + Y_r) - X_l Y_l - X_r Y_r] + X_r Y_r$$

This is Karatsuba Algorithm.

Eg. Multiplicity 981 and 1234.

$$\therefore 981 = 0981$$

$$\therefore 0 = 09 \times 10^2 + 81$$

$$b = 12 \times 10^2 + 34$$

$$a_H = 09$$

$$a_L = 81$$

$$b_H = 12$$

$$b_L = 34$$

$$a_L \times b_L = 81 \times 34 = 2754 = C_0$$

$$a_H \times b_H = 09 \times 12 = 108 = C_1$$

$$(a_L + a_H)(b_L + b_H) = a_L \times b_L + a_L \times b_H + a_H \times b_L + a_H \times b_H$$

$$(90)(46) = 2754 + 108 + 4050 + 1234 = 12786$$

$$951 \times 1234 = (1 \times 10^3 + 2 \times 10^2 + 5 \times 10^1 + 1 \times 10^0) \times 1234$$

$$= 1210554$$

13. The highest upper bound for the worst case per-
formance of quicksort is $O(n^2)$.