
# Autoencoders


> Autoencoders (AE) are neural networks that aims to copy their inputs to their outputs.

- > Autoencoders (AE) are neural networks that aims to copy their inputs to their outputs.
- > They work by compressing the input into a latentspace representation, and then reconstructing the output from this representation.

> This kind of network is composed of two parts:




> This kind of network is composed of two parts:




 $\triangleright$  Encoder: This is the part of the network that compresses the input into a latent-space representation. It can be represented by an encoding function h=f(x).

> This kind of network is composed of two parts:



- $\triangleright$  Encoder: This is the part of the network that compresses the input into a latent-space representation. It can be represented by an encoding function h=f(x).
- $\triangleright$  Decoder: This part aims to reconstruct the input from the latent space representation. It can be represented by a decoding function r=g(h).

> This kind of network is composed of two parts:



- > Encoder: This is the part of the network that compresses the input into a latent-space representation. It can be represented by an encoding function h=f(x).
- > Decoder: This part aims to reconstruct the input from the latent space representation. It can be represented by a decoding function r=g(h).
- >The autoencoder as a whole can thus be described by the function , q(f(x)) = r where you want r as close as the original input x.

> If the only purpose of autoencoders was to copy the input to the output, they would be useless.

- > If the only purpose of autoencoders was to copy the input to the output, they would be useless.
- > Indeed, we hope that, by training the autoencoder to copy the input to the output, the latent representation 'h' will take on useful properties.

> This can be achieved by creating constraints on the copying task.

- > This can be achieved by creating constraints on the copying task.
- > One way to obtain useful features from the autoencoder is to constrain 'h' to have smaller dimensions than 'x', in this case the autoencoder is called undercomplete.

- > This can be achieved by creating constraints on the copying task.
- $\triangleright$  One way to obtain useful features from the autoencoder is to constrain h to have smaller dimensions than x, in this case the autoencoder is called undercomplete.
- > By training an undercomplete representation, we force the autoencoder to learn the most salient features of the training data.

- > This can be achieved by creating constraints on the copying task.
- $\triangleright$  One way to obtain useful features from the autoencoder is to constrain h to have smaller dimensions than x, in this case the autoencoder is called undercomplete.
- > By training an undercomplete representation, we force the autoencoder to learn the most salient features of the training data.
- Fig 16 If the autoencoder is given too much capacity, it can learn to perform the copying task without extracting any useful information about the distribution of the data.

This can also occur if the dimension of the latent representation is the same as the input, and in the overcomplete case, where the dimension of the latent representation is greater than the input.

- This can also occur if the dimension of the latent representation is the same as the input, and in the overcomplete case, where the dimension of the latent representation is greater than the input.
- > In these cases, even a linear encoder and linear decoder can learn to copy the input to the output without learning anything useful about the data distribution.

- This can also occur if the dimension of the latent representation is the same as the input, and in the overcomplete case, where the dimension of the latent representation is greater than the input.
- > In these cases, even a linear encoder and linear decoder can learn to copy the input to the output without learning anything useful about the data distribution.
- ➤ Ideally, one could train any architecture of autoencoder successfully, choosing the code dimension and the capacity of the encoder and decoder based on the complexity of distribution to be modelled.

- > Vanilla autoencoder
- > Multilayer autoencoder
- > Convolutional autoencoder
- > Regularized autoencoder

#### > Vanilla autoencoder

> In its simplest form, the autoencoder is a three layers net, i.e. a neural net with one hidden layer.

- > In its simplest form, the autoencoder is a three layers net, i.e. a neural net with one hidden layer.
- > The input and output are the same, and we learn how to reconstruct the input, for example using the adam optimizer and the mean squared error loss function.

```
input size = 784
      hidden size = 64
      output size = 784
 4
 5
      x = Input(shape=(input_size,))
     # Encoder
      h = Dense(hidden_size, activation='relu')(x)
 8
 9
10
     # Decoder
      r = Dense(output size, activation='sigmoid')(h)
11
12
13
      autoencoder = Model(input=x, output=r)
      autoencoder.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mse')
14
```

- > Vanilla autoencoder
  - > Practical Advise:
    - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.

- > Vanilla autoencoder
  - > Practical Advise:
    - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.
    - > We can make it very powerful by increasing the number of layers, nodes per layer and most importantly the code size.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.
  - > We can make it very powerful by increasing the number of layers, nodes per layer and most importantly the code size.
  - > Increasing these hyperparameters will let the autoencoder to learn more complex codings.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.
  - > We can make it very powerful by increasing the number of layers, nodes per layer and most importantly the code size.
  - > Increasing these hyperparameters will let the autoencoder to learn more complex codings.
  - > But we should be careful to not make it too powerful.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.
  - > We can make it very powerful by increasing the number of layers, nodes per layer and most importantly the code size.
  - > Increasing these hyperparameters will let the autoencoder to learn more complex codings.
  - > But we should be careful to not make it too powerful.
  - > Otherwise the autoencoder will simply learn to copy its inputs to the output, without learning any meaningful representation.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.
  - > We can make it very powerful by increasing the number of layers, nodes per layer and most importantly the code size.
  - > Increasing these hyperparameters will let the autoencoder to learn more complex codings.
  - > But we should be careful to not make it too powerful.
  - > Otherwise the autoencoder will simply learn to copy its inputs to the output, without learning any meaningful representation.
  - > It will just mimic the identity function.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > We have total control over the architecture of the autoencoder.
  - > We can make it very powerful by increasing the number of layers, nodes per layer and most importantly the code size.
  - > Increasing these hyperparameters will let the autoencoder to learn more complex codings.
  - > But we should be careful to not make it too powerful.
  - > Otherwise the autoencoder will simply learn to copy its inputs to the output, without learning any meaningful representation.
  - > It will just mimic the identity function.
  - > The autoencoder will reconstruct the training data perfectly, but it will be overfitting without being able to generalize to new instances, which is not what we want.

- > Vanilla autoencoder
  - > Practical Advise:
    - > This is why we prefer a "sandwitch" architecture, and deliberately keep the code size small.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > This is why we prefer a "sandwitch" architecture, and deliberately keep the code size small.
  - > Since the coding layer has a lower dimensionality than the input data, the autoencoder is said to be undercomplete.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > This is why we prefer a "sandwitch" architecture, and deliberately keep the code size small.
  - > Since the coding layer has a lower dimensionality than the input data, the autoencoder is said to be undercomplete.
  - > It won't be able to directly copy its inputs to the output, and will be forced to learn intelligent features.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > This is why we prefer a "sandwitch" architecture, and deliberately keep the code size small.
  - > Since the coding layer has a lower dimensionality than the input data, the autoencoder is said to be undercomplete.
  - > It won't be able to directly copy its inputs to the output, and will be forced to learn intelligent features.
  - ➤ If the input data has a pattern, for example the digit "1" usually contains a somewhat straight line and the digit "0" is circular, it will learn this fact and encode it in a more compact form.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > This is why we prefer a "sandwitch" architecture, and deliberately keep the code size small.
  - > Since the coding layer has a lower dimensionality than the input data, the autoencoder is said to be undercomplete.
  - > It won't be able to directly copy its inputs to the output, and will be forced to learn intelligent features.
  - > If the input data has a pattern, for example the digit "1" usually contains a somewhat straight line and the digit "0" is circular, it will learn this fact and encode it in a more compact form.
  - > If the input data was completely random without any internal correlation or dependency, then an undercomplete autoencoder won't be able to recover it perfectly.

- > Practical Advise:
  - > This is why we prefer a "sandwitch" architecture, and deliberately keep the code size small.
  - > Since the coding layer has a lower dimensionality than the input data, the autoencoder is said to be undercomplete.
  - > It won't be able to directly copy its inputs to the output, and will be forced to learn intelligent features.
  - > If the input data has a pattern, for example the digit "1" usually contains a somewhat straight line and the digit "0" is circular, it will learn this fact and encode it in a more compact form.
  - > If the input data was completely random without any internal correlation or dependency, then an undercomplete autoencoder won't be able to recover it perfectly.
  - > But luckily, in the real-world there is a lot of dependency.

#### > Multilayer autoencoder

```
input_size = 784
 1
      hidden size = 128
      code size = 64
 4
 5
      x = Input(shape=(input size,))
 6
      # Encoder
      hidden 1 = Dense(hidden size, activation='relu')(x)
 8
      h = Dense(code size, activation='relu')(hidden 1)
 9
10
      # Decoder
11
      hidden 2 = Dense(hidden size, activation='relu')(h)
12
      r = Dense(input size, activation='sigmoid')(hidden 2)
13
14
      autoencoder = Model(input=x, output=r)
15
      autoencoder.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mse')
16
```

- > Multilayer autoencoder
  - > Now our implementation uses 3 hidden layers instead of just one.

- > Multilayer autoencoder
  - > Now our implementation uses 3 hidden layers instead of just one.
  - > Any of the hidden layers can be picked as the feature representation but we will make the network symmetrical and use the middle-most layer.

#### > Convolutional autoencoder

```
x = Input(shape=(28, 28,1))
1
 3
     # Encoder
     conv1_1 = Conv2D(16, (3, 3), activation='relu', padding='same')(x)
     pool1 = MaxPooling2D((2, 2), padding='same')(conv1_1)
     conv1_2 = Conv2D(8, (3, 3), activation='relu', padding='same')(pool1)
 6
     pool2 = MaxPooling2D((2, 2), padding='same')(conv1_2)
     conv1_3 = Conv2D(8, (3, 3), activation='relu', padding='same')(pool2)
8
     h = MaxPooling2D((2, 2), padding='same')(conv1_3)
9
10
11
12
     # Decoder
13
     conv2_1 = Conv2D(8, (3, 3), activation='relu', padding='same')(h)
     up1 = UpSampling2D((2, 2))(conv2_1)
14
     conv2_2 = Conv2D(8, (3, 3), activation='relu', padding='same')(up1)
15
     up2 = UpSampling2D((2, 2))(conv2_2)
16
     17
     up3 = UpSampling2D((2, 2))(conv2_3)
18
     r = Conv2D(1, (3, 3), activation='sigmoid', padding='same')(up3)
19
20
     autoencoder = Model(input=x, output=r)
21
22
     autoencoder.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mse')
```

- > Regularized autoencoder
  - > There are other ways we can constraint the reconstruction of an autoencoder than to impose a hidden layer of smaller dimension than the input.

#### > Regularized autoencoder

- > There are other ways we can constraint the reconstruction of an autoencoder than to impose a hidden layer of smaller dimension than the input.
- Rather than limiting the model capacity by keeping the encoder and decoder shallow and the code size small, regularized autoencoders use a loss function that encourages the model to have other properties besides the ability to copy its input to its output.

#### > Regularized autoencoder

- > There are other ways we can constraint the reconstruction of an autoencoder than to impose a hidden layer of smaller dimension than the input.
- Rather than limiting the model capacity by keeping the encoder and decoder shallow and the code size small, regularized autoencoders use a loss function that encourages the model to have other properties besides the ability to copy its input to its output.
- > In practice, we usually find two types of regularized autoencoder:
  - > the sparse autoencoder and
  - > the denoising autoencoder.

#### > Sparse autoencoder

 $\triangleright$  Please recall, when we apply weight regularizer, it is like adding a term in loss function like  $\sum_i \sum_j |w_{ij}|$  for L1 regularization and  $\sum_i \sum_j w_{ij}^2$  for L2 regularization. This ensures that weights are very small and therefore our model is simple and we can avoid overfitting.

$$\mathcal{L}\left(x,\hat{x}
ight) + \lambda \sum_{i}\left|a_{i}^{(h)}
ight|$$

#### > Sparse autoencoder

 $\triangleright$  Please recall, when we apply weight regularizer, it is like adding a term in loss function like  $\sum_i \sum_j |w_{ij}|$  for L1 regularization and  $\sum_i \sum_j w_{ij}^2$  for L2 regularization. This ensures that weights are very small and therefore our model is simple and we can avoid overfitting.

$$\mathcal{L}\left(x,\hat{x}
ight) + \lambda \sum_{i}\left|a_{i}^{(h)}
ight|$$

>Here, in sparse autoencoder, we regularize output (that is activations) of neurons and therefore they are small and many are zero leading to a sparse representation.

#### > Sparse autoencoder

```
input size = 784
      hidden_size = 64
      output size = 784
      x = Input(shape=(input size,))
 5
 6
      # Encoder
      h = Dense(hidden_size, activation='relu', activity_regularizer=regularizers.l1(10e-5))(x)
 8
 9
      # Decoder
10
      r = Dense(output size, activation='sigmoid')(h)
11
12
      autoencoder = Model(input=x, output=r)
13
      autoencoder.compile(optimizer='adam', loss='mse')
14
```

autoencoder.fit(x\_train, x\_train, epochs=5)
reconstructed = autoencoder.predict(x\_test)


- > Denoising autoencoder
  - > Keeping the code layer small forced our autoencoder to learn an intelligent representation of the data.

- > Keeping the code layer small forced our autoencoder to learn an intelligent representation of the data.
- > There is another way to force the autoencoder to learn useful features, which is adding random noise to its inputs and making it recover the original noise-free data.


- > Denoising autoencoder
  - > Keeping the code layer small forced our autoencoder to learn an intelligent representation of the data.
  - There is another way to force the autoencoder to learn useful features, which is adding random noise to its inputs and making it recover the original noise-free data.
  - > This way the autoencoder can't simply copy the input to its output because the input also contains random noise.

- > Keeping the code layer small forced our autoencoder to learn an intelligent representation of the data.
- > There is another way to force the autoencoder to learn useful features, which is adding random noise to its inputs and making it recover the original noise-free data.
- > This way the autoencoder can't simply copy the input to its output because the input also contains random noise.
- > We are asking it to subtract the noise and produce the underlying meaningful data. This is called a denoising autoencoder.


> Denoising autoencoder




> The top row contains the original images.



- > The top row contains the original images.
- > We add random Gaussian noise to them and the noisy data becomes the input to the autoencoder.




- > The top row contains the original images.
- > We add random Gaussian noise to them and the noisy data becomes the input to the autoencoder.
- > The autoencoder doesn't see the original image at all.




- > The top row contains the original images.
- > We add random Gaussian noise to them and the noisy data becomes the input to the autoencoder.
- The autoencoder doesn't see the original image at all.
- > But then we expect the autoencoder to regenerate the noise-free original image.

> Denoising autoencoder




> There is only one small difference between the implementation of denoising autoencoder and the regular one. The architecture doesn't change at all, only the fit function.

> Denoising autoencoder




- > There is only one small difference between the implementation of denoising autoencoder and the regular one. The architecture doesn't change at all, only the fit function.
- > We trained the regular autoencoder as follows:


>autoencoder.fit(x\_train, x\_train)




- > There is only one small difference between the implementation of denoising autoencoder and the regular one. The architecture doesn't change at all, only the fit function.
- > We trained the regular autoencoder as follows:
  - >autoencoder.fit(x\_train, x\_train)
- > Denoising autoencoder is trained as:
  - >autoencoder.fit(x\_train\_noisy, x\_train)

#### Stacked Autoencoders [4]








model1.fit(x\_train\_784, x\_train\_784) model2.fit(h\_100, h\_100) model3.fit(h\_50, y\_train\_10)

### Stacked Autoencoders [4]



### Fine Tuning Stacked Autoencoders [4]

model.fit(x\_train, y\_train)

# References

- 1. <a href="https://towardsdatascience.com/deep-inside-autoencoders-7e41f319999f">https://towardsdatascience.com/deep-inside-autoencoders-7e41f319999f</a>
- 2. <a href="https://towardsdatascience.com/applied-deep-learning-part-3-autoencoders-1c083af4d798">https://towardsdatascience.com/applied-deep-learning-part-3-autoencoders-1c083af4d798</a>
- 3. <a href="http://ufldl.stanford.edu/wiki/index.php/Stacked\_Autoe">http://ufldl.stanford.edu/wiki/index.php/Stacked\_Autoe</a> <a href="https://ncex.php/stacked\_Autoe">ncoders</a>
- 4. <a href="https://in.mathworks.com/help/deeplearning/examples/train-stacked-autoencoders-for-image-classification.html">https://in.mathworks.com/help/deeplearning/examples/train-stacked-autoencoders-for-image-classification.html</a>

## Disclaimer

These slides are not original and have been prepared from various sources for teaching purpose.