

**Figure 4. All Pairs of pIC**<sub>50</sub> **values extracted from ChEMBL.** The two outer diagonal lines indicate the 2.5 log unit threshold, outside which the probability for finding faulty pairs of measurements is very high. The extreme disagreements are all due to clear errors. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061007.g004

erroneous pairs of measurements do not have a large effect on the overall result

Similar performance was obtained considering only  $IC_{50}$  data with ChEMBL confidence score of nine (data not shown). As ChEMBL contains data from both human input and automatic extraction processes, we also looked if there was a difference between the two. Equally to the confidence score filtering, the results were similar with both data types.

We checked whether the  $\Delta pIC_{50}$  depends on the overall activity measured or on physicochemical ligand properties like logP, logD, molecular weight (MW), polar surface area (PSA), the number hydrogen bond acceptors (HBA), the number hydrogen bond donors (HBD) or the number of rotatable bonds. Boxplots of all those properties versus the  $\Delta pIC_{50}$  are shown in Figure 6. The

**Table 3.** Standard deviation of a Gaussian distribution fitted to the inner part of the distribution of  $\Delta plC_{50}$  and  $\Delta pK_i$ .

Upper threshold	1.5	2.0	2.5
∆plC <sub>50</sub>	$\sigma = 0.80$	$\sigma = 0.84$	$\sigma = 0.86$
$\Delta p K_i$	$\sigma = 0.66$	$\sigma = 0.68$	$\sigma = 0.68$

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0061007.t003

 $\Delta pIC_{50}$ 's depend neither on the average measured  $pIC_{50}$  nor on any of the ligand properties examined.

We also examined whether the  $\Delta pIC_{50}$  depends on the combination of average activity and logP, since one might expect large deviations in measured  $pIC_{50}$ 's for compounds with low activity and high logP due to solubility issues. Here we also did not find a clear trend (Figure S3).

## Can ChEMBL K<sub>i</sub> and IC<sub>50</sub> Data be Mixed?

Empirical statistical models and SAR interpretations improve with the amount of data. Above, we have shown that the variability of heterogeneous  $IC_{50}$  data is roughly 25% worse than that of  $K_i$  data. Therefore it is not recommendable to add  $IC_{50}$  data to  $K_i$  data as this would lower the quality of the data. However, since there is much more  $IC_{50}$  data than  $K_i$  data available, it is interesting to see what happens by augmenting the  $IC_{50}$  dataset with additional  $K_i$  data. Figure 7 shows the distribution of  $pK_i$  and  $pIC_{50}$  data extracted from ChEMBL with the filters mentioned in Table 1. Overall,  $pIC_{50}$  and  $pK_i$  data show a similar distribution with the  $pK_i$  data slightly shifted towards higher values.

For identical protein-ligand systems, we extracted all pairs of  $pK_i$  and  $pIC_{50}$  data that have passed the filters individually. This yields 11.556 pairs of measurements on 670 protein-ligand systems. A plot of measured  $pIC_{50}$  versus  $pK_i$  is shown in Figure 8.