Due 23:59 Dec 6 (Tuesday). There are 100 points in this assignment.

Submit your answers (must be typed) in pdf file to CourSys

https://coursys.sfu.ca/2022fa-cmpt-705-x1/. Submissions received after 23:59 of Dec 6 will get penalty of reducing points: 20 and 50 points deductions for submissions received at [00:00,00:10] and (00:10,00:30] of Dec 6, respectively; no points will be given to submissions after 00:30 of Dec 6.

1. (Chapter 10 Problem 1 of the text book) 20 points

The Hitting Set problem is defined as follows: Let $A = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ and $B_1, ..., B_m$ be a collection of subsets of A, a subset H of A is a hitting set for $B_1, ..., B_m$ is $H \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m$. The Hitting Set problem is that given A and $B_1, ..., B_m$, and integer k > 0, whether there is a hitting set of size k or not. The problem is NP-complete. Assume that $|B_i| \leq c$ for some constant c > 0. Give an algorithm that solves the problem with a running time of $O(f(c,k) \cdot p(n,m))$, where p(n,m) is a polynomial in n and m, and f(c,k) is an arbitrary function depending only on c and k, not on n or m.

Answer We can prove that Hitting Set problem is NP complete by reducing another problem, Vertex Cover, to be solvable within polynomial time. We pose the problem as follows: a is an element in A ($a \in A$), which can be deleted from the set A and then deleting all sets from the other set B_i which contain a. This can easily be proven to be under polynomial time. We see that if we have a set B_i which is any of the sets in the Hitting sets ($B_i = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_c\} \subseteq A$). With this given, we can safely say that we have at least one of these belong to the Hitting set. With this stated, we can say that B_i can be hit by k length k set if and only if for some value of k (iterator/subscript k is a Hitting set k of length k.

We can model our algorithm to pick any set $B_i = \{x_1, x_2, ... x_i, ..., x_c\}$ and for each x_i we recursively check if the instance reduced by x_i has a Hitting set of k-1 elements. If any of these recursive calls result in True, we return True. On worst case we will run the recursion a total of c times and each takes running complexity of O(c) which makes it $O(c^{k+1})$, We need to do this a total of k times as we are checking if the set E is of size E or not. So the total complexity ends up being E of E is the contribution of a function E of set E is E and there are a total of E collection of subsets of E, namely E. The function E is also a polynomial function. So to conclude, the running time is within the asked range.

2. (Chapter 10 Problem 3 of the text book) 15 points

Give a digraph G of $V(G) = \{v_1, ..., v_n\}$, we want to decide if G has a Hamiltonian path from v_1 to v_n . Give an $O(2^n p(n))$ time algorithm to solve the Hamiltonian path problem in G, where p(n) is a polynomial in n.

Answer

In a Hamiltonian Path we have to remember the walk of the tree, but not the order of the walk. For this we have to construct a table (T) with indices $2^n \times n$. If we have a path in a subset of the graph G, lets call it G[S]. Say, we have two vertices $v_i \& v_j$ which are in this subset. The existence of paths in the set S can be denote by $T(S, v_i, v_j)$, essentially we are looking for the answer to T(V, 1, n) (Path to all vertices). To determine if there is a path between any vertices $v_i \& v_j$, we have to look at all the nodes which have an edge (v_i, v_k) to another vertex v_k which then looks for a path to v_j which is only True if there exists a path between v_k and v_j . This will take a running time of O(n). To fill out the table T, we look for the smallest sets which have a Hamiltonian Path and then work our way up to bigger and bigger sets, till we reach to the answer to T(V, 1, n). As we have n vertices, we will have to check for a path between v_i and v_j , n times. In the end we have to pass through the whole table T, which already takes $2^n \times n$ steps. The total running time for this algorithm is $O(2^n \times n^3)$, which is what was required.

We can pose this problem differently, where we find path which flows from vertices not more than once. The algorithm runs as follows:

```
Initialize value of T(v1, v) to 1 and the rest of them to be 0. for i in 2 to n: for all S (subsets of V) such that len(S) = i - 1 for all v_j \in V if T(S, v_j) = 1 for all v_k \notin S such that (v_j, v_k) are edges T(S \cup v_k, v_j) = 1 if T(n, v_i) = 1 for v_i \in V return True return False
```

3. (Chapter 10 Problem 5 of the text book) 15 points

A dominating set D of a graph G is a subset of V(G) such that for every node u of G, either $u \in D$ or u is adjacent to a node $v \in D$. The minimum dominating set problem in G is to find a minimum dominating set D of G (the problem is NP-complete). Give a polynomial time algorithm for the minimum dominating set problem in a tree.

Answer

4. (15 points)

Below is an algorithm to create a random permutation of n elements in an array A[1..n]. RANDOM-PERMUTE(A, n)

for
$$i = 1$$
 to n

select j uniformly at random from $\{i, i+1, ..., n\}$ and exchange A[i] with A[j];

Prove that the algorithm creates every permutation of A[1..n] with probability 1/n!.

Answer

5. (20 points)

Given a graph G, we consider the following problem: color the nodes of G using k colors; an edge $e = \{u, v\}$ is called satisfied if u and v are colored by different colors; and we want to color the nodes to satisfy as many edges as possible.

- (a) (14 points) A randomized algorithm RA for the maximization problem is as follows: for every node v of G, select one of the k colors independently with probability 1/k and assign the color to v. Prove that the expected number of edges satisfied by RA is (1-1/k)m, where m is the number of edges in G. Assume (1-1/k)m is an integer, prove that the probability that RA satisfies at least (1-1/k)m edges is at least 1/m.
- (b) (6 points) Assume (1 1/k)m is an integer. Give a Monte Carlo algorithm which satisfies at least (1 1/k)m edges with probability at least 1 1/m. Give a Las Vegas algorithm which satisfies at least (1 1/k)m edges.

Answer

6. (Chapter 13 Problem 11 of the text book) 15 points

There are k machines and k jobs. Each job is assigned to one of the k machines independently at random (with each machine equally likely).

- (a) Let N(k) be the expected number of machines that do not receive any jobs, so N(k)/k is the expected fraction of machines with no job. What is the limit $\lim_{k\to\infty} N(k)/k$? Give a proof of your answer.
- (b) Suppose that machines are not able to queue up excess jobs, so if the random assignment of jobs to machines sends more than one job to a machine M, then M will do the first of the jobs it receives and reject the rest. Let R(k) be the expected number of rejected jobs; so R(k)/k is the expected fraction of rejected jobs. What is $\lim_{k\to\infty} R(k)/k$? Give a proof of your answer.
- (c) Now assume that machines have slightly larger buffers; each machine M will do the first two jobs it receives, and reject any additional jobs. Let $R_2(k)$ denote the expected number of rejected jobs under this rule. What is $\lim_{k\to\infty} R_2(k)/k$? Give a proof of your answer.

| Answer | \mathbf{A} | ns | w | er |
|--------|--------------|----|---|----|
|--------|--------------|----|---|----|