

Appendix A

An Expectation-Maximization Algorithm for the SISM Model

By referring to the derivation of formulas for the expectation-maximization algorithm illustrated in de la Torre (2009), the probability of correctly answering item j can be reexpressed as

$$P_j(\alpha_l) = \begin{cases} h_j & \text{if } \eta_j = 1, \gamma_j = 0 \\ \omega_j & \text{if } \eta_j = 1, \gamma_j = 1 \\ g_j & \text{if } \eta_j = 0, \gamma_j = 0 \\ \varepsilon_j & \text{if } \eta_j = 0, \gamma_j = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

According to the de la Torre (2009), $\partial l(X) / \partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}$ can be defined as

$$\frac{\partial l(X)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} = \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l], \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $\beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)} = h_j$ if $\eta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$; $\beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)} = \omega_j$ if $\eta = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$; $\beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)} = g_j$ if $\eta = 0$

and $\gamma = 0$; and $\beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)} = \varepsilon_j$ if $\eta = 0$ and $\gamma = 1$. $I_l = \sum_{i=1}^I p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i)$ is the expected number of

students whose attribute pattern is α_l , and $R_{jl} = \sum_{i=1}^I p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) X_{ij}$ is the expected number of

students who have the attribute pattern α_l and answer item j correctly. $p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) = L(\mathbf{X}_i | \alpha_l) p(\alpha_l)$

is the posterior probability of the attribute pattern α_l , and $L(\mathbf{X}_i | \alpha_l)$ and $p(\alpha_l)$ are the likelihood function of \mathbf{X}_i conditioned on α_l and the prior probability, respectively.

To obtain estimators of parameters, Equation A2 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l(\mathbf{X})}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} = & \sum_{\{\alpha_l : \eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=0\}} \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l] + \\ & \sum_{\{\alpha_l : \eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=1\}} \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l] + \\ & \sum_{\{\alpha_l : \eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0\}} \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l] + \\ & \sum_{\{\alpha_l : \eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1\}} \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\{\alpha_l: \eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0\}} \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l] + \\
& \sum_{\{\alpha_l: \eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1\}} \frac{\partial P_j(\alpha_l)}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{P_j(\alpha_l)[1 - P_j(\alpha_l)]} \right] [R_{jl} - P_j(\alpha_l)I_l] \\
& = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{h_j[1 - h_j]} \right] \sum_{\{\alpha_l: \eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=0\}} [R_{jl} - h_j I_l] + \\
& \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{\omega_j[1 - \omega_j]} \right] \sum_{\{\alpha_l: \eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=1\}} [R_{jl} - \omega_j I_l] + \\
& \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{g_j[1 - g_j]} \right] \sum_{\{\alpha_l: \eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0\}} [R_{jl} - g_j I_l] + \\
& \frac{\partial \varepsilon_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j[1 - \varepsilon_j]} \right] \sum_{\{\alpha_l: \eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1\}} [R_{jl} - \varepsilon_j I_l] + \\
& = \frac{\partial h_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{h_j[1 - h_j]} \right] [R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=0} - h_j I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=0}] + \\
& \frac{\partial \omega_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{\omega_j[1 - \omega_j]} \right] [R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=1} - \omega_j I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=1}] + \\
& \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{g_j[1 - g_j]} \right] [R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0} - g_j I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0}] + \\
& \frac{\partial \varepsilon_j}{\partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon_j[1 - \varepsilon_j]} \right] [R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1} - \varepsilon_j I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1}], \tag{A3}
\end{aligned}$$

where $I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=0}$ is the expected number of students whose $\eta_{lj}=1$ and $\gamma_{lj}=0$; $I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=1}$ is the expected number of students whose $\eta_{lj}=1$ and $\gamma_{lj}=1$; $I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0}$ is the expected number of students whose $\eta_{lj}=0$ and $\gamma_{lj}=0$; and $I_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1}$ is the expected number of students whose $\eta_{lj}=0$ and $\gamma_{lj}=1$. The parameters $R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=0}$, $R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=1, \gamma_{lj}=1}$, $R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=0}$, and $R_{jl}^{\eta_{lj}=0, \gamma_{lj}=1}$ respectively

represent the expected number of students among $I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0}$, $I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=1}$, $I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=0}$, and $I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=1}$ who correctly answer item j .

When $\eta_{ij} = 1$ and $\gamma_{ij} = 0$, $\beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)} = h_j$, the first term $\partial h_j / \partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}$ of Equation A3 is 1 and the other terms are 0s. Thus, maximization $\partial l(X) / \partial \beta_{j(\eta, \gamma)}$ simplifies to solving for h_j in the

equation $\left[\frac{1}{h_j[1-h_j]} \right] [R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0} - h_j I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0}] = 0$, which gives the estimator of

$\hat{h}_j = R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0} / I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0}$. Similarly, the estimators of $\hat{\omega}_j$, \hat{g}_j , and $\hat{\varepsilon}_j$ are $R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=1} / I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=1}$,

$R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=0} / I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=0}$, and $R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=1} / I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=1}$.

Because $I_l = \sum_{i=1}^I p(\alpha_l | X_i)$ and $R_{jl} = \sum_{i=1}^I p(\alpha_l | X_i) X_{ij}$, the formulas of \hat{h}_j , $\hat{\omega}_j$, \hat{g}_j , and $\hat{\varepsilon}_j$

can be written as

$$\hat{h}_j = \frac{R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0}}{I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=0}} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) X_{ij} \eta_{ij} (1 - \gamma_{ij})}{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) \eta_{ij} (1 - \gamma_{ij})}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\hat{\omega}_j = \frac{R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=1}}{I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=1, \gamma_{ij}=1}} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) X_{ij} \eta_{ij} \gamma_{ij}}{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) \eta_{ij} \gamma_{ij}}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\hat{g}_j = \frac{R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=0}}{I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=0}} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) X_{ij} (1 - \eta_{ij}) (1 - \gamma_{ij})}{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) (1 - \eta_{ij}) (1 - \gamma_{ij})}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_j = \frac{R_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=1}}{I_{jl}^{\eta_{ij}=0, \gamma_{ij}=1}} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) X_{ij} (1 - \eta_{ij}) \gamma_{ij}}{\sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i=1}^N p(\alpha_l | \mathbf{X}_i) (1 - \eta_{ij}) \gamma_{ij}}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

For details regarding the estimation process using EM algorithm for estimating the SISIM model

parameters, refer to Appendix 5 of Kuo et al. (2016).

Standard Errors (SEs) for Item Parameter Estimates

Let $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ denote the vector of the item parameters of the SISIM model. The information matrix $I(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ is defined as $I(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = -E[\partial^2 l(\mathbf{X}) / \partial^2 \boldsymbol{\beta}^2]$, where $l(\mathbf{X})$ is the log-marginalized likelihood of the observed data \mathbf{X} (de la Torre, 2009). Let β and β' respectively denote $P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)$ and $P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)$. The second derivative of the log-marginalized likelihood regarding to $P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)$ and $P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 l(\mathbf{X})}{\partial P_j(\mathbf{a}_l) \partial P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)} = & - \sum_{i=1}^I \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L p(\mathbf{a}_l | \mathbf{X}_i) \frac{X_{ij} - P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)}{P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)[1 - P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)]} \frac{\partial P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)}{\partial \beta} \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L p(\mathbf{a}_l | \mathbf{X}_i) \frac{X_{ij'} - P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)}{P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)[1 - P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)]} \frac{\partial P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)}{\partial \beta'} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

After $p_j(\eta, \gamma | \mathbf{X}_i) = \sum_{\{\mathbf{a}_l : \eta_{ij} = \eta, \gamma_{ij} = \gamma\}} p(\mathbf{a}_l | \mathbf{X}_i)$ and $P_j(\eta, \gamma) = P_j(\mathbf{a}_l)$ are defined, Equation A8 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 l(\mathbf{X})}{\partial P_j(\mathbf{a}_l) \partial P_{j'}(\mathbf{a}_l)} = & - \sum_{i=1}^I \left\{ \sum_{\eta=0}^1 \sum_{\gamma=0}^1 p_j(\eta, \gamma | \mathbf{X}_i) \frac{X_{ij} - P_j(\eta, \gamma)}{P_j(\eta, \gamma)[1 - P_j(\eta, \gamma)]} \frac{\partial P_j(\eta, \gamma)}{\partial \beta} \right\} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{\eta'=0}^1 \sum_{\gamma'=0}^1 p_{j'}(\eta', \gamma' | \mathbf{X}_i) \frac{X_{ij'} - P_{j'}(\eta', \gamma')}{P_{j'}(\eta', \gamma')[1 - P_{j'}(\eta', \gamma')]} \frac{\partial P_{j'}(\eta', \gamma')}{\partial \beta'} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

which sums the products of the expected values according to the posterior probabilities of students with η and γ for item j . $I(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ can be approximated by $I(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$, where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ represents the estimated item parameters. Therefore, the estimated item parameter standard error $SE(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ can be obtained by calculating the square roots of the diagonal elements of the estimated item parameter covariance matrix $Cov(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$, which is approximated by the inverse information matrix $I^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$.

Appendix B

Table B1. The Q-matrix for the short test.

Item	Attribute						
	Skill				Misconception		
	S1	S2	S3	S4	B1	B2	B3
1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
10	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
11	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
12	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
13	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
14	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
15	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
16	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
17	0	0	1	1	0	1	1
18	1	0	1	0	1	1	0
19	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
20	0	1	1	1	1	1	0

Note. S1, S2, S3, and S4 are skills. B1, B2, and B3 are misconceptions.

Table B2. The Q-matrix for the Fraction Multiplication Data.

Item	Attribute						
	Skill				Misconception		
	S1	S2	S3	S4	B1	B2	B3
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
3	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
4	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
6	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
7	0	1	1	1	0	1	0

Table B3. Q-matrices for the third and fourth real data-based simulation studies (modifications highlighted)

Item	Q3							Items	Q4						
	S1	S2	S3	S4	B1	B2	B3		S1	S2	S3	S4	B1	B2	B3
1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
4	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
6	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
7	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	8	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
9	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	9	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
10	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	10	0	1	0	1	0	1	1
11	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	11	1	1	0	1	1	1	0
12	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	12	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
13	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	13	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
14	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	14	0	1	1	1	0	1	0

Appendix C

(2) 3. There are two variables A and B. The value of A is $3\frac{1}{3}$, and B is one-fifth of

A. What is the product of A and B?

- ① $2\frac{2}{9}$ ② 4 ③ $2\frac{13}{16}$ ④ $3\frac{3}{20}$

Please write down your problem solving process:

$$\begin{aligned} & 3\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{5} = 3\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{5} = \frac{2}{15} + \frac{2}{3} \\ & \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{2}{15} = \frac{2}{15} \quad A: 4 \end{aligned}$$

Figure C1. An example of Item 3.