
A Streaming Algorithm for Graph Clustering

Supplementary Material

Alexandre Holloco
 INRIA
 Paris, France
 alexandre.holloco@inria.fr

Thomas Bonald
 Telecom-Paristech
 Paris, France
 thomas.bonald@telecom-paristech.fr

Marc Lelarge
 INRIA-ENS
 Paris, France
 marc.lelarge@ens.fr

Abstract

We here provide the proofs of the main results of the paper.

1 Proof of Lemma 1

This lemma gives an expression of Q_{t+1} in function of Q_t when a new edge (i, j) arrives.

Lemma 1. *If $e_{t+1} = (i, j)$ and if $P_{t+1} = P_t$, Q_{t+1} can be expressed in function of Q_t as follows*

$$Q_{t+1} = Q_t + 2 \left[\delta(i, j) - \frac{\text{Vol}_t(C(i)) + \text{Vol}_t(C(j)) + 1 + \delta(i, j)}{w} \right] \quad (1)$$

where $C(v)$ denotes the community of v in P_t , and $\delta(i, j) = 1$ if i and j belongs to the same community of P_t and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Given a new edge $e_{t+1} = (i, j)$, we have the following relation between quantities $\text{Int}(C)$ and $\text{Vol}(C)$ at times t and $t + 1$.

$$\text{Int}_{t+1}(C) = \text{Int}_t(C) + 1_{i \in C} 1_{j \in C} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\text{Vol}_{t+1}(C) = \text{Vol}_t(C) + 1_{i \in C} + 1_{j \in C}. \quad (3)$$

This gives us the following equation for $(\text{Vol}_{t+1}(C))^2$

$$(\text{Vol}_{t+1}(C))^2 = (\text{Vol}_t(C))^2 + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \neq C(i) \text{ and } C \neq C(j) \\ 2\text{Vol}_t(C(i)) + 1 & \text{if } C = C(i) \\ 2\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) + 1 & \text{if } C = C(j) \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

in the case $C(i) \neq C(j)$, and

$$(\text{Vol}_{t+1}(C))^2 = (\text{Vol}_t(C))^2 + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \neq C(i) \text{ and } C \neq C(j) \\ 4\text{Vol}_t(C(i)) + 4 & \text{if } C = C(i) = C(j) \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

in the case $C(i) = C(j)$.

Finally, the definition of Q_{t+1}

$$Q_{t+1} = \sum_{C \in P_{t+1}} \left[2\text{Int}_{t+1}(C) - \frac{(\text{Vol}_{t+1}(C))^2}{w} \right] \quad (6)$$

gives us the wanted result. □

Note that, if we consider the variation of Q_{t+1} , $\Delta Q_{t+1} = Q_{t+1}^{(a)} - Q_{t+1}^{(c)}$, between the state (c) where i and j are in disjoint communities $C(i)$ and $C(j)$ of P_t , and the state (a) where i joins the community $C(j)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q_{t+1} = & Q_t^{(a)} + 2 \left[1 - \frac{(\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) + w_i(t)) + (\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) + w_i(t)) + 2}{w} \right] \\ & - Q_t^{(b)} - 2 \left[0 - \frac{(\text{Vol}_t(C(i)) - w_i(t)) + (\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) + w_i(t)) + 1}{w} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

which gives us:

$$\Delta Q_{t+1} = \Delta Q_t + 2 \left[1 - \frac{\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) - \text{Vol}_t(C(i)) + 2w_i(t) + 1}{w} \right] \quad (8)$$

2 Proof of Lemma 2

Lemma 2 gives an expression for the variation of Q_t when node i joins community $C(j)$.

Lemma 2.

$$\Delta Q_t = Q_t^{(a)} - Q_t^{(c)} = 2 \left[L_t(i, C(j)) - L_t(i, C(i)) - \frac{(w_t(i))^2}{w} \right] \quad (9)$$

where

$$L_t(i, C) = \sum_{(i', j') \in S_t} \left[1_{i'=i} \left(1_{j' \in C} - \frac{w_t(i)}{w} \right) + 1_{j'=i} \left(1_{i' \in C} - \frac{w_t(i)}{w} \right) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Q_t is defined as a sum over all communities of partition P_t . Only terms depending on $C(i)$ and $C(j)$ are modified by action (a). Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q_t = & 2 [\text{Int}_t(C(i) \setminus \{i\}) + \text{Int}_t(C(j) \cup \{i\}) - \text{Int}_t(C(i)) - \text{Int}_t(C(j))] \\ & - \frac{(\text{Vol}_t(C(i)) - w_t(i))^2 + (\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) + w_t(i))^2 - (\text{Vol}_t(C(i)))^2 - (\text{Vol}_t(C(j)))^2}{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This leads to:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q_t = & 2 \sum_{(i', j') \in S_t} [1_{j'=i} (1_{i' \in C(j)} - 1_{i' \in C(i)}) + 1_{i'=i} (1_{j' \in C(j)} - 1_{j' \in C(i)})] \\ & - 2 \frac{w_t(i) \text{Vol}_t(C(j)) - w_t(i) \text{Vol}_t(C(i)) + (w_t(i))^2}{w}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Using the definition of Vol_t , we obtain the wanted expression for ΔQ_t . \square

3 Proof of Theorem 1

Theorem 1 gives a sufficient condition in order to have a positive variation ΔQ_{t+1} of the modularity when i joins $C(j)$.

Theorem 1. If $\text{Vol}_t(C(i)) \leq \text{Vol}_t(C(j))$, then:

$$\text{Vol}_t(C(j)) \leq v_t(i, j) \implies \Delta Q_{t+1} \geq 0 \quad (13)$$

where

$$v_t(i, j) = \frac{1 - (w_t(i) + 1)^2/w}{l_t(i, C(i)) - l_t(i, C(j))}. \quad (14)$$

Proof. Equation and Lemma 2 gives us the following expression for ΔQ_{t+1}

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q_{t+1} = & 2 \left[1 + \left(l_t(i, C(j)) - \frac{1}{w} \right) \text{Vol}_t(C(j)) - \left(l_t(i, C(i)) - \frac{1}{w} \right) \text{Vol}_t(C(i)) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{(w_t(i) + 1)^2}{w} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Thus, $\Delta Q_{t+1} \geq 0$ is equivalent to

$$\left(l_t(i, C(i)) - \frac{1}{w}\right) Vol_t(C(i)) - \left(l_t(i, C(j)) - \frac{1}{w}\right) Vol_t(C(j)) \leq 1 - \frac{(w_t(i) + 1)^2}{w}. \quad (16)$$

We use $u_t(i, j)$ to denote the left-hand side of this inequality. If $Vol_t(C(i)) \leq Vol_t(C(j))$, then we have

$$u_t(i, j) \leq [l_t(i, C(i)) - l_t(i, C(j))] Vol_t(C(j)) \quad (17)$$

Thus, the following inequality

$$[l_t(i, C(i)) - l_t(i, C(j))] Vol_t(C(j)) \leq 1 - \frac{(w_t(i) + 1)^2}{w} \quad (18)$$

implies inequality (16), which proves the theorem. \square