IBM Developer
SKILLS NETWORK

Winning Space Race with Data Science

Shaishavkumar Amrutlal Patel
13th July 2024

Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- Summary of methodologies
    - Data Collection through API
    - Data Collection with Web Scraping
    - Data Wrangling
    - Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
    - Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
    - Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
    - Machine Learning Prediction
- Summary of all results
    - Exploratory Data Analysis result
    - Interactive analytics in screenshots
    - Predictive Analytics result

Introduction

- Project background and context
  - Space X advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because Space X can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against Space X for a rocket launch. This goal of the project is to create a machine learning pipeline to predict if the first stage will land successfully.
- Problems you want to find answers
  - What factors determine if the rocket will land successfully?
  - The interaction amongst various features that determine the success rate of a successful landing.
  - What operating conditions needs to be in place to ensure a successful landing program.

Section 1

Methodology

Methodology

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
  - Data was collected using SpaceX API and web scraping from Wikipedia.
- Perform data wrangling
  - One-hot encoding was applied to categorical features
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
  - How to build, tune, evaluate classification models

Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
  - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
  - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json_normalize().
  - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
  - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
  - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

Data Collection – SpaceX API

- We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/jupyter-labs-spacex-data-collection-api.ipynb

Task 1: Request and parse the SpaceX launch data using the

To make the requested JSON results more consistent, we will use the following static res

In [9]:
```
static_json_url='https://cf-courses-data.s3.us.cloud-object-storage.appdomai
```

We should see that the request was successfull with the 200 status response code

In [10]:
```
response.status_code
```

Out[10]: 200

Now we decode the response content as a Json using `.json()` and turn it into a Panc

In [11]:
```
# Use json_normalize meethod to convert the json result into a dataframe
data = pd.json_normalize(response.json())
```

In [24]:
```
# Create a data from launch_dict
data_falcon9 = pd.DataFrame(launch_dict)
```

Show the summary of the dataframe

In [25]:
```
# Show the head of the dataframe
data_falcon9.head()
```

Data Collection - Scraping

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/jupyter-labs-webscraping.ipynb

1. Apply HTTP Get method to request the Falcon 9 rocket launch page

In [4]:
```
static_url = "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List_of_Falcon_9_and_Falcon_Heavy_launches&oldid=1027686922"
```

In [5]:
```
# use requests.get() method with the provided static_url
# assign the response to a object
html_data = requests.get(static_url)
html_data.status_code
```

Out[5]:
```
200
```

2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

In [6]:
```
# Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content
soup = BeautifulSoup(html_data.text, 'html.parser')
```

Print the page title to verify if the `BeautifulSoup` object was created properly

In [7]:
```
# Use soup.title attribute
soup.title
```

Out[7]:
```
<title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>
```

3. Extract all column names from the HTML table header

In [10]:
```
column_names = []

# Apply find_all() function with `th` element on first_launch_table
# Iterate each th element and apply the provided extract_column_from_header() to get a column name
# Append the Non-empty column name (`if name is not None and len(name) > 0`) into a list called column_names

element = soup.find_all('th')
for row in range(len(element)):
    try:
        name = extract_column_from_header(element[row])
        if (name is not None and len(name) > 0):
            column_names.append(name)
    except:
        pass
```

4. Create a dataframe by parsing the launch HTML tables
5. Export data to csv

Data Wrangling



- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/labs-jupyter-spacex-Data%20wrangling.ipynb

EDA with Data Visualization

- We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.





- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/edadataviz.ipynb

EDA with SQL

- We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.
- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
  - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
  - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
  - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
  - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
  - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/jupyter-labs-eda-sql-coursera_sqllite.ipynb

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:
  - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
  - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/lab_jupyter_launch_site_location.ipynb

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- We built an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash
- We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites
- We plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/app.py

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/Shaishavap/-IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-SpaceX/blob/main/SpaceX_Machine%20Learning%20Prediction_Part_5.ipynb

Results

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results

Find the method performs best:

```
In [52]:
print('Accuracy for Logistics Regression method:', logreg_cv.score(X_test, Y_test))
print( 'Accuracy for Support Vector Machine method:', svm_cv.score(X_test, Y_test))
print('Accuracy for Decision tree method:', tree_cv.score(X_test, Y_test))
print('Accuracy for K nearsdt neighbors method:', knn_cv.score(X_test, Y_test))
```

```
Accuracy for Logistics Regression method: 0.8333333333333334
Accuracy for Support Vector Machine method: 0.8333333333333334
Accuracy for Decision tree method: 0.8333333333333334
Accuracy for K nearsdt neighbors method: 0.8333333333333334
```

Section 2

Insights drawn from EDA

Flight Number vs. Launch Site

- From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.



Payload vs. Launch Site

- The greater the payload mass for the launch site CCAFS SLC 40 the higher the success rate for the rocket.



Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

- From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.



Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

- The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.



Payload vs. Orbit Type

- We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits



Launch Success Yearly Trend

- From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



All Launch Site Names

- We used the keyword **DISTINCT** to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

In [12]:

```
q = pd.read_sql('select distinct Launch_Site from SPACEXTBL', con)
q
```

Out[12]:

	Launch_Site
0	CCAFS LC-40
1	VAFB SLC-4E
2	KSC LC-39A
3	CCAFS SLC-40

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

- We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA'

In [14]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select * from SPACEXTBL where Launch_Site like 'CCA%' limit 5", con)
q
```

Out[14]:

	Date	Time (UTC)	Booster_Version	Launch_Site	Payload	PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_	Orbit	Customer	Mission_Outcome	Landing_O
0	2010-06-04	18:45:00	F9 v1.0 B0003	CCAFS LC-40	Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit	0	LEO	SpaceX	Success	Failure (pa
1	2010-12-08	15:43:00	F9 v1.0 B0004	CCAFS LC-40	Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of...	0	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS) NRO	Success	Failure (pa
2	2012-05-22	7:44:00	F9 v1.0 B0005	CCAFS LC-40	Dragon demo flight C2	525	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS)	Success	No
3	2012-10-08	0:35:00	F9 v1.0 B0006	CCAFS LC-40	SpaceX CRS-1	500	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No
4	2013-03-01	15:10:00	F9 v1.0 B0007	CCAFS LC-40	SpaceX CRS-2	677	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No

Total Payload Mass

- We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

Task 3

Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

In [15]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select sum(PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_) from SPACEXTBL where Customer='NASA (CRS)'", con)
q
```

Out[15]:

	sum(PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_)
0	45596

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

- We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

Task 4

Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

In [16]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select avg(PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_) from SPACEXTBL where Booster_Version='F9 v1.1'", con)
q
```

Out[16]:

	avg(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_)
0	2928.4

First Successful Ground Landing Date

- We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

List the date when the first succesful landing outcome in ground pad was acheived.

Hint:Use min function

In [21]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select min(Date) from SPACEXTBL where Landing_Outcome='Success (ground pad)'", con)
q
```

Out[21]:

	min(Date)
0	2015-12-22

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

- We used the **WHERE** clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the **AND** condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

List the names of the boosters which have success in drone ship and have payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

In [22]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select distinct Booster_Version from SPACEXTBL where Landing_Outcome='Success (drone ship)' and PAYLOAD_MASS_
q
```

Out[22]:

	Booster_Version
0	F9 FT B1022
1	F9 FT B1026
2	F9 FT B1021.2
3	F9 FT B1031.2

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

- We used wildcard like ‘%’ to filter for **WHERE** MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

In [23]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select substr(Mission_Outcome,1,7) as Mission_Outcome, count(*) from SPACEXTBL group by 1", con)
q
```

Out[23]:

	Mission_Outcome	count(*)
0	Failure	1
1	Success	100

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

- We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the **WHERE** clause and the **MAX()** function.

List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery

In [25]:

```
q = pd.read_sql("select distinct Booster_Version from SPACEXTBL where PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_ = (select max(PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_) from
q
```

Out[25]:

	Booster_Version
0	F9 B5 B1048.4
1	F9 B5 B1049.4
2	F9 B5 B1051.3
3	F9 B5 B1056.4
4	F9 B5 B1048.5
5	F9 B5 B1051.4
6	F9 B5 B1049.5
7	F9 B5 B1060.2
8	F9 B5 B1058.3
9	F9 B5 B1051.6
10	F9 B5 B1060.3
11	F9 B5 B1049.7

2015 Launch Records

- We used a combinations of the **WHERE** clause, **LIKE**, **AND**, and **BETWEEN** conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015

In [26]:
```
q = pd.read_sql("select distinct Landing_Outcome, Booster_Version, Launch_Site from SPACEXTBL where Landing_Outcome='Failure (
q
```

Out[26]:

	Landing_Outcome	Booster_Version	Launch_Site
0	Failure (drone ship)	F9 v1.1 B1012	CCAFS LC-40
1	Failure (drone ship)	F9 v1.1 B1015	CCAFS LC-40
2	Failure (drone ship)	F9 v1.1 B1017	VAFB SLC-4E
3	Failure (drone ship)	F9 FT B1020	CCAFS LC-40
4	Failure (drone ship)	F9 FT B1024	CCAFS LC-40

Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

- We selected Landing outcomes and the **COUNT** of landing outcomes from the data and used the **WHERE** clause to filter for landing outcomes **BETWEEN** 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the **GROUP BY** clause to group the landing outcomes and the **ORDER BY** clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.

In [27]:

```
q = pd.read_sql("select Landing_Outcome, count(*) from SPACEXTBL where Date between '2011-06-04' and '2017-03-20' group by Lan
q
```

Out[27]:

	Landing_Outcome	count(*)
0	No attempt	10
1	Success (drone ship)	5
2	Failure (drone ship)	5
3	Success (ground pad)	3
4	Controlled (ocean)	3
5	Uncontrolled (ocean)	2
6	Precluded (drone ship)	1

Section 3

Launch Sites Proximities Analysis

All launch sites global map markers

Markers showing launch sites with color labels







Florida Launch Sites

Green Marker shows successful Launches and Red Marker shows Failures

California Launch Site

37

Launch Site distance to landmarks



- Are launch sites in close proximity to railways? No
- Are launch sites in close proximity to highways? No
- Are launch sites in close proximity to coastline? Yes
- Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities? Yes

Section 4

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site



We can see that KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches from all the sites

Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider





We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads

Section 5

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

Classification Accuracy

- The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy

```
In [44]:  print("tuned hpyerparameters :(best parameters) ",tree_cv.best_params_)
          print("accuracy :",tree_cv.best_score_)

tuned hpyerparameters :(best parameters)  {'criterion': 'gini', 'max_depth': 14, 'max_features': 'sqrt', 'min_samples_leaf': 1,
'min_samples_split': 10, 'splitter': 'random'}
accuracy : 0.8625
```

Confusion Matrix

- The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes. The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



Conclusions

We can conclude that:

- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.

Appendix

Include any relevant assets like Python code snippets, SQL queries, charts, Notebook outputs, or data sets that you may have created during this project

Thank you!