

Cyberpolicing the India-abusive Fake Government of Telangana

K.Pavana Johar, Research Associate, PAIRS Foundation, Hyderabad, hijohar@gmail.com
M.Gouri Sankar, Research Scholar, JNTUK, m.gouris@gmail.com
S.Ravi Kumar, Research Scholar, Krishna University, Machilipatnam, ravi.saddala@gmail.com
Madan Mohan, Research Scholar, JNTUH, Hyderabad, madan.keturu@gmail.com
P.Punitha, Research Scholar, JNTUH University, Hyderabad, punithapendem@yahoo.com
N.Santhoshi, Research Scholar, JNTUH University, Hyderabad, santhoshinomula@yahoo.co.in
B.Malathi, Research Scholar, JNTUH University, Hyderabad, malathi.bio10@gmail.com
Ramesh Babu, Research Scholar, JNTUA, Anantapuramu, ramesh.jonnala@gmail.com
Chandra Sekharaiah, Professor in School of IT, JNTUH, Hyderabad, chandrasedkharaiiahk@gmail.com

Extended Abstract

In nearly 20+ earlier well-indexed publications, we brought to limelight, based on cyberforensic, open source web robot *wayback machine*, the twin cybercriminal organizations (CCOs) viz. Fake Government of Telangana (FGoT), and JNTUHJAC prevalent in JNTUH academic environment during 2011-14, the resultant deleterious effects and our remedial analytics. The Government of Telangana (GoT) 2014-2018 is an offshoot of the FGoT. In the backdrop of the prevalence of the two CCOs, the AP Reorganization Act 2014 was effected. We lodged police complaints against the two CCOs. W.r.t. our first police complaint, the FIR was filed in Jan 2014 in KPHB PS against the violation of the State Emblem of India (Prevention of Improper Use) Act 2005, the witnesses produced by the police in the court trial turned as 'hostile evidence' and the Accused A1 was acquitted. 'A1' and 'Govt. of AP thru KPHB' PS were the parties to the judgment. It is unknown whether Government of AP got a copy of the judgment. Several moot questions are: Is it not absurd that KPHB PS is a party? KPHB PS forwarded our RTI application consisting of 48 questions to the JNTUH to respond for 42 questions. But, JNTUH was apathetic. This gives the impression that JNTUH and the cyberabad police colluded to spare the twin cybercriminal organizations. To our second police complaint in Jan 2018 against the seditious GoT (SGoT), cyberabad police filed FIR in Jan 2018 against the violation of the 66-C IT Act (identity theft) but turned Nelson's eye to the reported Sedition crime. The responses of the JNTUH authorities and the cyberabad police indicate maladaptive academics and policing.

Some other moot questions are: How to see that GoI duly plays a role w.r.t. remedying of the abhorrently India-abusive, constitution-violative cybercrimes? How to mitigate and recover from the deleterious effects of the India-abusive Cybercrimes in Telangana movement (2011-2014) and the resultant abuse against the GoAP, GoI, the nation and the constitution. Is it not worthwhile that a syllabus topic is added in the APPSC, TSPSC and UPSC exams by including our case study results? Why have GoAP and GoI not appealed against the impropriety in the handling of this key issue of cybercrimes? How about a protest petition? How about a PIL? How to see that the High Court/Supreme Court takes up a *suo moto* case of the two cybercriminal organizations to better understand the fact profile of the Telangana State formation and to give directions to the appropriate parties such as the GoI, GoAP or GoT 2014-18, Cyberabad police etc.

Since GoT 2014-18 is an offshoot of the FGoT, it will not be keen w.r.t. such pursuits. Then, who is to repair the national/societal losses caused by these cybercriminal organizations? How to see that the judiciary, its mechanisms and devices (such as appeal, PIL, protest petition, *suo moto* case etc.) are geared up to remedy the India-abusive cybercrimes in Telangana engineering academics and their deleterious impact on the Indian society and nation. Who is to take initiatives to defuse the cybercriminal organizations? Why have the Cyberabad police who impetuously impulsively extorted Swami Paripoornanada not similarly uniformly banned/issued prohibition orders against the twin cybercriminal organizations? How to save and refill the losing national spirit, national consciousness, sense of national solidarity and national unity among the erring masses who are educated elite (nearly 2000 people registered in the four-foldly cybercriminal website, <http://jntuhjac.com> during 2011-2014 amounting to the degree of crime as much as 16,000!)? During the prevalence (2011-2014) period of the open cybercrimes, JNTUH was the only Telangana-wide, full-fledged university unlike Telangana University/Kakatiya University/MG University/Satavahana University/Palamuru University etc. and the unchecked cybercrimes created a successful artificial impact for the advances in the later Telangana movement. How to make the JNTUH authorities responsive and responsible to the cybercriminal academic environment and the resultant deleterious impact on the society and the nation? The highly interdisciplinary moot questions raised in this research work serve as significant pabulum for the future directions to the researchers in the fields of psephology, applied psychology, cyberforensics, Information and Communications Technologies (ICT), social media intelligence, jurisprudence, pedagogy, and efficacy in organizational/social/national/personality/ethics/jurisprudence / policing/ academic development.

Lr. No. JNTUHHACcybercrimebased/2014

Hyderabad,
1 Nov. 2014.

From

Dr.Chandra Sekharaiah K.,
Professor in Computer Sc. & Engg., School of Information Technology,
JNTUH, Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh.
<http://sites.google.com/site/chandraksekharaiiah>

To

The ACP,
Kukutpally, Cyberabad.

Sub: JNTUH Developed as a Center for Cyber Criminals-Request for information under RTI Act 2005-reg.

Ref:(1.)My complaint D.O.Lr. No.indiantelugu/jntu/1 submitted on 21 Feb. 2013 to Prof.Rameswar Rao, V.C., JNTUH and on 22 Feb. 2013 to Sri.Basava Reddy, Inspector of Police, KPHB P.S.

(2.)Lr. No. 12/K2/Cyb/2013 dt. 8.1.'14 of the Inspector of Police, KPHB P.S., Cyberabad

(3.)My Lr. no. nil dt.28 Dec. 2013 submitted on 31 Dec. 2013 to the A.C.P., Kukutpally

(4.)Cr.No.53/2014, u/s 3&4 of the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) Act 2005@KPHBPS dt.15.01.2014

(5.)My complaint lodged in the KPHB P.S. on 14 Sep 2011 w.r.t an attack on a teacher in School of IT, JNTUH while on duty

(6.)My Lr. Dt. 30Oct.2014 requesting to expedite the case of FIR 53/2014 and to apply 'sedition' w.r.t. the culprit organized group

Abbreviations: **Law 1:** State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) Act **Law 2 :**Sedition/Sedition Law

FGoT: Fake Govt of Telangana under which JNTUH JAC has been functioning as in refs.(1) & (4)

PGoT:Govt. of Telangana which came into existence after the Indian Parliament passed the Bill

Sir,

Namaste. Please furnish **Attested Information** w.r.t. the following under the RTI Act 2005. Let the information be on monthly basis (where information updates are applicable) since the date of complaint for each complaint w.r.t. ref.(1,4,5).

1.(a) List of Culprits identified so far and their biodata obtained by the police dept. to whatever extent it is. (b.) Status information w.r.t. charge sheet filing against the culprits. (c.)Information of evidence collected against the culprits so far (d.)(i)Police interrogations made so far?(ii) Whom?(iii) List of interrogation statements used by police and the responses obtained

2.Progress reports of the CR. No.53/2014 case investigation month-wise since the FIR was registered.

3.(i)Information as to why the police did not mention the **Law 2** against the criminal organization under issue in JNTUH, though the outfit functioned under the **FGoT** involving the law and order violations w.r.t. **Law 1** and **Law 2** (ii)Information as to whether the police will add or not 'sedition case' as a 2nd crime w.r.t. the culprits/culprit organization into case now in chargesheet filing. (iii)If not, reasons thereof (iv)Information as to whether the police will register a separate FIR w.r.t. the 'sedition charges' that are applicable in the issue.

4.Copies of all documents transacted (w.r.t. the registered cases and unregistered complaints related to the staff and students of JNTUH) (i)b/w KPHB P.S. and JNTUH (ii)b/w KPHB P.S. and all its higher authorities (iii)b/w KPHB P.S. and judicial courts

5. Information as to why the FIR was not registered/filed (as mentioned by the SHO, KPHB P.S. in ref.(2)) despite the violation of the "The State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use Act 2005, Section 3 & 4) and sedition in my complaints below (i)in response to my representation in ref.(1.) whereas the FIR was registered in the case of FIR No.53/2014 for similar grounds (ii)in response to ref.(5.)

6.(i)The website under investigation in ref.(4.) involved cybercrime.

True/False

(ii)The cybercrime in 7(i) was centered at JNTUH, Kukutpally

True/False

7.The delay in chargesheet filing has occurred and, by now, some of the culprits who was/were Ph.D. student(s) got their degrees in JNTUH scot-free
True/False

8.Progress in tabular format given below w.r.t. handling the complaints in refs.(1,4,5) so far.

| S.No. | Year | Progress Information w.r.t. handling the complaints |
|-------|------|---|
| 1 | 2011 | |
| 2 | 2012 | |
| 3 | 2013 | |
| 4 | 2014 | |

9.(i)The investigation revealed that the JNTUJAC served as a website-based forum of activity for national separatism only.

TRUE/FALSE

(ii)Information of justification w.r.t. (i)

10.(i)The investigation revealed that the JNTUJAC served as a website-based forum of activity for regional separatism only.

TRUE/FALSE

(ii)Information of justification w.r.t. (i)

11. (i)The investigation revealed that the JNTUJAC served as a website-based forum of activity for regional as well as national separatism. TRUE/FALSE (ii)Information of justification w.r.t. (i)

12.(i)The investigation revealed that the JNTUJAC served as a website-based forum of activity involving law and order violation (*cybercriminal activity*) on grounds of

(a.)Sedition only (b.)State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) Act only (c.)Both the crimes in (a.) and (b.) only (d.)Not only crimes in (a.) and (b.) but also other crimes.

(ii)Information of justification for the information given under (i)

13.With nearly 2000 registrations (rounded) involving many teachers and students geared up against law and order, as was evident from the JNTUJAC website, the investigation revealed that **technology-based criminal activity** was rampant in JNTUH.

True/False

14.(i)Till 1Jun2014, the police served under the national FGoT based in JNTUH TRUE/FALSE

(ii)Information of justification w.r.t. (i)

15.(i)Till 1Jun2014, the police served under the State FGoT based in JNTUH TRUE/FALSE

(ii)Information of justification w.r.t. (i)

16.Information w.r.t. the police actions taken ever against the FGoT which used web-based JNTUJAC or the JNTUJAC itself or any of its members or associates.

17.Information as to how the present student JAC in JNTUH is not different from JNTUJAC in its character w.r.t. law and order following or violation(s).

18.List of persons from among JNTUH staff and students whoever were ever taken into custody since 2011 and copies of documents relevant to such cases.

19.(i)List of documents transacted b/w JNTUH staff/students Vs. police dept. since 2011 (ii)Copies of documents listed in (i)

20. (i)List of cybercriminals and their data acquired by the police dept. so far, since 2011, such that the cybercriminals are staff/students of JNTUH (ii)Copies of documents listed in (i)

21. List of JNTUH University Officers yearwise since the FGoT/JNTUJAC started functioning in JNTUH.

22.(i)List of staff and list of students and research scholars of JNTUH whom the police found as were involved in the violation of **Law 1** and **Law 2**. (ii)Nature of involvement of those listed in (i) person(culprit)-wise (iii)Students who are in the list in (i) but were left to go scot-free by JNTUH with degrees (iv) Staff who are in the list in (i) but let to retire scot-free in JNTUH

(v)List and data of persons other than JNTUH staff and students who engineered the registered crime.

(vi)List of staff/students who are yet to retire/yet to take degrees and are found to have taken part in the engineering of the registered crime. (vii)(a.)JNTUH is found to have been used as a base for Fake Government in India, national or state. TRUE/FALSE (b.) Information of justification w.r.t. (vii)(a.)

23.(i)List of JNTUH staff and students interrogated w.r.t. the crimes against **Law 1** and **Law 2**.

(ii)Questionnaire used and the responses person-wise (iii)Conclusions/Results made by police

24.List of cybercriminals in/outside JNTUH identified by the police w.r.t. the crimes against **Law 1** and **Law 2**

25.List of any present or previous university officers who are found to have engineered the registered crime.

- 26.(i)As per the investigation of the of the police dept., a center, overt or covert, of cybercriminals under the guise of JNTUJAC was developed in JNTUH. True/False
- (ii)A fake Govt. of Telangana was functioning based in JNTUH. True/False
- (iii)FGoT was functioning parallel to the Govt. of A.P. till 2 Jun. True/False
- (iv) FGoT was functioning parallel to the Govt. of India till 2 Jun. True/False
- 27.Some of the organizers of the FGoT/JNTUJAC are foreign students of JNTUH. True/False
- 28.Cyberabad police used to function under FGoT True/False
- 29.FGoT was functioning in JNTUH over Internet with organizers who are residents in foreign countries. True/False
- 30.JNTUH is used as a breeding ground for cybercriminals who abuse the nation and the academics. JNTUH awards degrees first and the charge sheets are filed later and in the meanwhile the cybercriminals are let to go scot-free by JNTUH. True/False.
- 31.Informations w.r.t. (i) the registrations that are obtained by the website and related tables.
- 32.Copies of web pages of the website of the culprit organisation and date when such web pages were captured for print.
33. Copies of all information collected w.r.t registrations by the culprit organization through the website (as tables to the extent possible).
34. List of committee members (indicating 'present' and 'past' committee members by asterisk symbol) of the culprit organization and their status.
35. How many committee members are studying in JNTUH as on the date of this RTI application, List of such committee members together with status/role of each committee member.
36. How many registrants to the website are still studying / employed in JNTUH as on the date of this RTI application. List of such persons together with status/role of each committee member.
37. (i) List of JNTUH officers and staff now and earlier who figure in the photo gallery of the culprit organization.
(ii) Respective printouts of photos and the respective contents when the photos were clicked.
38. (i). List of Ministers, MLAs, MPs now and earlier who figure in the photo gallery of the culprit organization.
(ii) Respective printouts of photos and the respective contents when the photos were clicked.
39. List of activities held by the culprit organization in various constituent and affiliated institutions of JNTUH together with details for each respective activity and respective month and year when such activity was held.
40. List of foreign nationals, if any, among the registrations and the roles played by them.
41. Roles of the present VCs of JNTUH, JNTUA and JNTUK as related to JNTUH JAC as given below.
(a)No relationship (b) Sum of them who just accomplishes to the culprit organization (c) Sum of them who close accomplishes to the culprit organization (d) Sum of them who very close accomplishes to the culprit organization
42. How many registrants to the website have become JNTUH degree holders after registration. List of such registrants together with data submitted by each registrant for registration.
43. How many committee members have become JNTUH degree holders after becoming committee members. List of such committee members together with status/role of each committee member.
- 44.List of present committee members together with status/role of each committee member.
- 45.Copies of the receipts used by the culprit organization for the membership drive and donations.
- 46.The FGoT is a _____
(a.)State Govt. under Gol (b.)National-level Govt. in India against the then UPA Govt. (c.)National-level Govt. in India against Gol in general (d.)Foreign Govt. under which the culprit organization functions (e.)State-level Govt. in India against Gol (f.)State-level Govt. against the Govt. of A.P. (g.)Regional-level Govt. in India against Gol (h.)Regional-level Govt. abroad against Gol (i)Regional-level Govt. against Govt. of Maharashtra (j)Regional-level Govt. against Govt. of Karnataka (k)Regional-level Govt. against Govt. of A.P.
- 47.There is no connivance between FGoT and KPHB P.S. police True/False
- 48.There is connivance between FGoT and JNTUH True/False

Thanking you.

Yours faithfully,

Encl: Refs.[1,2,3,5,6] copies.

N.B.:{1.)Wherever the information is sought under TRUE/FALSE category, justification information w.r.t. response shall be submitted under the RTI Act, please. (2.)A.P. stands for Andhra Pradesh

NO/ 50 /K2/Cyb/2016

Police Station House
KPHB, Cyberabad
Dated: 10.02.2016

To
The Asst. Commissioner of Police
Kukatpally division.
Cyberabad.

Sir,

Sub:-**RTI Act-2005**-Appeal Petition of Dr. Chandra Sekharaiah, K
Professor in Computer Science & Engg, School of
Information Technology, JNTUH RR Dist. -Submission of
Rep -Reg.

Ref:-No. 01/Appeal/RTI/ACP-KP/Cyb/2016 dated: 28.1.2016 of
Asst. Commissioner of Police, Kukatpally divn. Cyb.

*** **

With reference to the subject cited, I am to submit that, the
applicant Dr. K. Chandra Sekharaiah, K. Professor in Computer Sc. &
Engg. School of Information Technology has filed an appeal petition for
furnishing certain information. I am herewith furnishing information in
the subject matter as below.

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| 1. | Furnish the copy of your reply/information furnished to the applicant on his application under RTI Actr. | Enclosed. |
| 2. | Connected CD file related in the matter. | Cr.No. 53/14 U/S Sec 3 & 4 of the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) act 2005. |
| 3. | Facts and latest status of the case | |
| | Facts of the case are that on 15.01.2014 at 2100 hrs, received a Complainant from Dr. K. Chandra Sekharaiah S/o Pullaiah age 45 yrs Occ: Teacher School of IT Wherein he stated that certain interest groups are thriving in JNTUH which are against the Law and Order. For example, http://jntuhjac.com is a website hosted by one such organized group. The organized group is a threat to the Law and Order as it uses the State Emblem of India in the website violative of and challenging the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) Act 2005. The website bearing the emblem has been under usage for the last nearly 3 years or more. Such usage is flagrantly violative of constitutional provisions. Hence, the organized group is considered anti constitutional, antinational, antisocial and anti academic. Further, the mention of "Government of Telangana" is violative of and challenges the authority of the State Executive and Union Executive. The home page is extracted today and made available below for your perusal. It bears testimony to the abuse and violation being reported. JNTUH-JAC stickers mentioning the website are found stuck every here and there in the campus. Further, its banners are also very much prevalent likewise in the campus. This amounts to openly aiding and abetting the unlawful group which challenges the constitution, law and order and the University. The organized group also carried some activities some of | |

Figure 4: Page1 of the Response to the RTI Application Submitted to the Cyberabad Police

| | | |
|----|---|--|
| | <p>Basing on the contents of the complaint a case in Cr.No. 53/14 U/S Sec 3 & 4 of the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) act 2005 was registered and investigated into.</p> <p>During the course of investigation, evidence was collected and arrested the accused Shadagonda Karunakar Reddy S/o Narayan Reddy, aged 30 Yrs, Dept. of Mathematics, JNTUH campus, R/o H.No. 36-A-270, Papi Reddy Nagar, Jagathgirigutta, on 18.11.2014 and the case was charged on 22.12.2014 and allotted CC No. 2197/2014. After trial the case was ended in Acquittal on 14.10.2015.</p> | |
| 4. | Additional information if any in the matter. | |
| | <p>The petitioner stated that there is variation in the information given on 8.01.2014 and on subsequent dates about the registration of the case. In this regard it is pertinent to mention that information to his application was given on 8.01.2014 vide No. 12/K2/Cyb/2013 dated: 8.1.2014, where as the case vide Cr.No. 53/2014 U/S Sec 3 & 4 of the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of Improper Use) act 2005 was registered on 15.01.2014.</p> | |

Submitted for favour of information.


Yours faithfully,

Inspector of Police
PS & P.H.B.

Figure 5: Page2 of the Response to the RTI Application Submitted to the Cyberabad Police

Police Station House
KPHB, Cyberabad
Dated: 18.1.2015

NO/ 27 /K2/Cyb/2015,

To
The Asst. Commissioner of Police
Kukatpally division.

Sir,

Sub:- Furnishing of required information to provide the same to
Dr. Chandra sekharaiiah K.Professor in Computer science &
Engg. School of information Technology, JNTUH, Ranga
Reddy Dist.- Reg.

Ref:- No. 5/RTI/ACP-KP/Cyb/2015 dated: 10.1.2015 of
Asst. Commissioner of Police, Kukatpally divn. Cyb.

*** **

With reference to the subject cited I am to submit that the petitioner Dr. Chandra sekharaiiah K.Professor in Computer science & Engg. School of information Technology JNTUH, Ranga Reddy Dist. Has applied to furnish certain information under RTI Act,2005. I am herewith furnishing the required information to provide the same to the applicant.

- 1(a) List of culprits identified by the Police department to whatever extent (b) status of information w.r.t. charge sheet filing against culprits (C) information of evidence collected against the culprits sofar, whom, list of interrogation statements used by Police and the response obtained.

On 15.1.2014 as per your complaint a case in Cr.No. 53/2014 U/s 3 & 4 of the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of improper use) Act 2005 has been registered against Shadagonda Karunakar Reddy S/o Narayan Reddy, aged 30 Yrs, Dept. of Mathematics, JNTUH campus, R/o H.No. 36-A-270, Papi Reddy Nagar, Jagathgirigutta. The case was charged on 22.12.2014 and it is PT vide CC 2197/2014. No interrogation reports have been prepared.

2. Progress reports of the Cr.No. 53/2014 case investigation month wise, since the FIR was registered.

No month wise progress reports have been prepared.

- 3.(i) Information as to why the Police did not mention law 2 against the criminal organisation under issue in JNTUH , though the outfit functioned under FGOT involving the law & order violations wrt. Law 1 and Law 2 (ii) information as to whether the Police will add or not " Sedition case" as 2nd crime wrt. the culprit/ culprit organisation into case now in charge sheet filing (iii) if not reasons thereof (iv) information whether the Police will register a separate FIR wrt. the sedition charges that are applicable in the issue.

The case which registered on your complaint was investigated into and it was charged on 22.12.2014 and it is PT vide CC 2197/2014. As far as the other allegations there is no evidence to substantiate the charges.

Figure 6: Response page 1 to the RTI application in figures 1,2,3

4. Copies of all documents transacted wrt. the registered cases un-registered complaints related to JNTU and judicial courts No unregistered complaints are at P.S. KPHB.
5. Information as to why the FIR was not registered / filed by the SHO KPHB, despite of violation of the "The state Emblem of India" (Prohibition of improper use Act 2005 Sectio 3 & 4 and sedition in the complaint below (i) in response to my representation in ref (1) where as the FIR was registered in the case of FIR No. 53/2014 for similar grounds (ii) in response to ref (5). Initially no specific complaint was received at KPHB Police Station with regard to the improper use of State Emblem of India.
- 6 With regard to the information sought in Col. No.s 6 (i) to 48 The information sought vide Col.No.s 6(i) to 48 are related to JNTUH and there is no information available at KPHB Police station.

Submitted for favour of information.

Yours sincerely,




Inspector of Police
PS.KPHB.

Figure 7: Response page 2 to the RTI application in figures 1,2,3

RTI ACT-MATTER/TIME BOUND



Office of the APIO/
Inspector of Police,
KPHB PS, Cyberabad
Dated:18-01-2015

No/27/RTI/APIO/K2/2015

To,
The Public Information Officer,
JNTUH, KPHB,
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Police-KPHB PS-A/o Dr.Chandra Sekharaiah.K,Professor in Computer Sc.& Engg, School Inf.Technology, JNTUH, seeking information under RTI Act-Transferring the application under Rule 3(6) of RTI Act to furnish the required information to the applicant-Req-Reg

Ref:-1).Memo Rc.No.05/DCP-MZ/M2-RTI/Cyb/2015, dt.07-01-2015 of Addl.DCP.Madhapur Zone, Cyberabad,

2).Application of Dr.Chandra Sekharaiah,K, Professor, JNTUH, dt.06.01.15,

3).Memo No.5/RTI/ACP-KP/15, dt.10-01-15 of ACP.Kukatpally Dvn

4).Cr.No.53/2014, u/s.3 & 4 of the State Emblem of India (Prohibition of improper use) Act,2005, PS.KPHB,dt.15.1.14,

<<0>>

The application dated 06-01-2015 of Dr.Chandra Sekharaiah, Professor, in,Computer Science & Engg.School of Information Technology, JNTUH, Ranga Reddy dist; seeking certain information under RTI Act, received in this office, is transferred to you under Rule 6(3) of RTI Act.2005 since the information sought to the points (7-part) to (48) in the above application are concerning to the administration/authority of JNTUH, is with you.

You are therefore requested to go through the contents of the application and to furnish the required information concerning to you public authority under RTI Act,2005 to the applicant under intimation to DCP, Madhapur Zone and me within the prescribed time as per G.O.Ms.No.454, Dt.12-10-2005.



[Signature]
Asst.Public Information Officer
Inspector of Police/SHO
KPHB PS, Kukatpally Division
Madhapur Zone, Cyberabad
Inspector of Police
K.P.H.B. P.S. Cyberabad.

Copy to the applicant with request to get the information,
Copy W/Cs.submitted to DCP.Madhapur Zone and ACP.Kukatpally
division for f/o.inf.

Figure 8: Response page to the RTI application transferring to the PIO @JNTUH