Introduction to data

Some define Statistics as the field that focuses on turning information into knowledge. The first step in that process is to summarize and describe the raw information - the data. In this lab, you will gain insight into public health by generating simple graphical and numerical summaries of a data set collected by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). As this is a large data set, along the way you'll also learn the indispensable skills of data processing and subsetting.

Getting started

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) is an annual telephone survey of 350,000 people in the United States. As its name implies, the BRFSS is designed to identify risk factors in the adult population and report emerging health trends. For example, respondents are asked about their diet and weekly physical activity, their HIV/AIDS status, possible tobacco use, and even their level of healthcare coverage. The BRFSS Web site (http://www.cdc.gov/brfss) contains a complete description of the survey, including the research questions that motivate the study and many interesting results derived from the data.

We will focus on a random sample of 20,000 people from the BRFSS survey conducted in 2000. While there are over 200 variables in this data set, we will work with a small subset.

We begin by loading the data set of 20,000 observations into the R workspace. After launching RStudio, enter the following command.

```
source("more/cdc.R")
```

The data set cdc that shows up in your workspace is a *data matrix*, with each row representing a *case* and each column representing a *variable*. R calls this data format a *data frame*, which is a term that will be used throughout the labs.

To view the names of the variables, type the command

```
names(cdc)
```

```
## [1] "genhlth" "exerany" "hlthplan" "smoke100" "height" "weight" "wtdesire"
## [8] "age" "gender"
```

This returns the names genhlth, exerany, hlthplan, smoke100, height, weight, wtdesire, age, and gender. Each one of these variables corresponds to a question that was asked in the survey. For example, for genhlth, respondents were asked to evaluate their general health, responding either excellent, very good, good, fair or poor. The exerany variable indicates whether the respondent exercised in the past month (1) or did not (0). Likewise, hlthplan indicates whether the respondent had some form of health coverage (1) or did not (0). The smoke100 variable indicates whether the respondent had smoked at least 100 cigarettes in her lifetime. The other variables record the respondent's height in inches, weight in pounds as well as their desired weight, wtdesire, age in years, and gender.

1. How many cases are there in this data set? How many variables? For each variable, identify its data type (e.g. categorical, discrete).

We can have a look at the first few entries (rows) of our data with the command

head(cdc)

##		genhlth	exerany	hlthplan	smoke100	height	weight	wtdesire	age	gender
##	1	good	0	1	0	70	175	175	77	m
##	2	good	0	1	1	64	125	115	33	f
##	3	good	1	1	1	60	105	105	49	f
##	4	good	1	1	0	66	132	124	42	f
##	5 v	ery good	0	1	0	61	150	130	55	f
##	6 v	ery good	1	1	0	64	114	114	55	f

and similarly we can look at the last few by typing

tail(cdc)

##		genhlth	exerany	hlthplan	smoke100	height	weight	wtdesire	age	gender
##	19995	good	0	1	1	69	224	224	73	m
##	19996	good	1	1	0	66	215	140	23	f
##	19997	excellent	0	1	0	73	200	185	35	m
##	19998	poor	0	1	0	65	216	150	57	f
##	19999	good	1	1	0	67	165	165	81	f
##	20000	good	1	1	1	69	170	165	83	m

You could also look at *all* of the data frame at once by typing its name into the console, but that might be unwise here. We know cdc has 20,000 rows, so viewing the entire data set would mean flooding your screen. It's better to take small peeks at the data with head, tail or the subsetting techniques that you'll learn in a moment.

Summaries and tables

The BRFSS questionnaire is a massive trove of information. A good first step in any analysis is to distill all of that information into a few summary statistics and graphics. As a simple example, the function summary returns a numerical summary: minimum, first quartile, median, mean, second quartile, and maximum. For weight this is

summary(cdc\$weight)

```
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## 68.0 140.0 165.0 169.7 190.0 500.0
```

R also functions like a very fancy calculator. If you wanted to compute the interquartile range for the respondents' weight, you would look at the output from the summary command above and then enter

```
190 - 140
```

```
## [1] 50
```

R also has built-in functions to compute summary statistics one by one. For instance, to calculate the mean, median, and variance of weight, type

```
mean(cdc$weight)

## [1] 169.683

var(cdc$weight)

## [1] 1606.484

median(cdc$weight)
```

[1] 165

While it makes sense to describe a quantitative variable like weight in terms of these statistics, what about categorical data? We would instead consider the sample frequency or relative frequency distribution. The function table does this for you by counting the number of times each kind of response was given. For example, to see the number of people who have smoked 100 cigarettes in their lifetime, type

```
table(cdc$smoke100)
```

```
## 0 1
## 10559 9441
```


or instead look at the relative frequency distribution by typing

```
table(cdc$smoke100)/20000
```


```
## 0.52795 0.47205
```

Notice how R automatically divides all entries in the table by 20,000 in the command above. This is similar to something we observed in the Introduction to R; when we multiplied or divided a vector with a number, R applied that action across entries in the vectors. As we see above, this also works for tables. Next, we make a bar plot of the entries in the table by putting the table inside the barplot command.

```
barplot(table(cdc$smoke100))
```



Notice what we've done here! We've computed the table of cdc\$smoke100 and then immediately applied the graphical function, barplot. This is an important idea: R commands can be nested. You could also break this into two steps by typing the following:



Here, we've made a new object, a table, called smoke (the contents of which we can see by typing smoke into the console) and then used it in as the input for barplot. The special symbol <- performs an assignment, taking the output of one line of code and saving it into an object in your workspace. This is another important idea that we'll return to later.

2. Create a numerical summary for height and age, and compute the interquartile range for each. Compute the relative frequency distribution for gender and exerany. How many males are in the sample?

What proportion of the sample reports being in excellent health?

The table command can be used to tabulate any number of variables that you provide. For example, to examine which participants have smoked across each gender, we could use the following.


```
table(cdc$gender,cdc$smoke100)
```

```
## 0 1
## m 4547 5022
## f 6012 4419
```

Here, we see column labels of 0 and 1. Recall that 1 indicates a respondent has smoked at least 100 cigarettes. The rows refer to gender. To create a mosaic plot of this table, we would enter the following command.

```
mosaicplot(table(cdc$gender,cdc$smoke100))
```

table(cdc\$gender, cdc\$smoke100)



We could have accomplished this in two steps by saving the table in one line and applying mosaicplot in the next (see the table/barplot example above).

3. What does the mosaic plot reveal about smoking habits and gender?

Interlude: How R thinks about data

We mentioned that R stores data in data frames, which you might think of as a type of spreadsheet. Each row is a different observation (a different respondent) and each column is a different variable (the first is genhlth, the second exerany and so on). We can see the size of the data frame next to the object name in the workspace or we can type

```
dim(cdc)
```

```
## [1] 20000 9
```

which will return the number of rows and columns. Now, if we want to access a subset of the full data frame, we can use row-and-column notation. For example, to see the sixth variable of the 567th respondent, use the format

```
cdc[567,6]
```

```
## [1] 160
```

which means we want the element of our data set that is in the 567th row (meaning the 567th person or observation) and the 6th column (in this case, weight). We know that weight is the 6th variable because it is the 6th entry in the list of variable names

```
names(cdc)
```

```
## [1] "genhlth" "exerany" "hlthplan" "smoke100" "height" "weight" "wtdesire"
## [8] "age" "gender"
```

To see the weights for the first 10 respondents we can type

```
cdc[1:10,6]
```

```
## [1] 175 125 105 132 150 114 194 170 150 180
```

In this expression, we have asked just for rows in the range 1 through 10. R uses the : to create a range of values, so 1:10 expands to 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. You can see this by entering

```
1:10
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
```

Finally, if we want all of the data for the first 10 respondents, type

```
cdc[1:10,]
```

##		ger	nhlth	exerany	hlthplan	${\tt smoke100}$	height	weight	${\tt wtdesire}$	age	gender
##	1		good	0	1	0	70	175	175	77	m
##	2		good	0	1	1	64	125	115	33	f
##	3		good	1	1	1	60	105	105	49	f
##	4		good	1	1	0	66	132	124	42	f
##	5	very	good	0	1	0	61	150	130	55	f
##	6	very	good	1	1	0	64	114	114	55	f
##	7	very	good	1	1	0	71	194	185	31	m
##	8	very	good	0	1	0	67	170	160	45	m
##	9		good	0	1	1	65	150	130	27	f
##	10		good	1	1	0	70	180	170	44	m

By leaving out an index or a range (we didn't type anything between the comma and the square bracket), we get all the columns. When starting out in R, this is a bit counterintuitive. As a rule, we omit the column number to see all columns in a data frame. Similarly, if we leave out an index or range for the rows, we would access all the observations, not just the 567th, or rows 1 through 10. Try the following to see the weights for all 20,000 respondents fly by on your screen

cdc[,6]

Recall that column 6 represents respondents' weight, so the command above reported all of the weights in the data set. An alternative method to access the weight data is by referring to the name. Previously, we typed names(cdc) to see all the variables contained in the cdc data set. We can use any of the variable names to select items in our data set.

cdc\$weight

The dollar-sign tells R to look in data frame cdc for the column called weight. Since that's a single vector, we can subset it with just a single index inside square brackets. We see the weight for the 567th respondent by typing

```
cdc$weight[567]
```

```
## [1] 160
```

Similarly, for just the first 10 respondents

```
cdc$weight[1:10]
```

```
## [1] 175 125 105 132 150 114 194 170 150 180
```

The command above returns the same result as the cdc[1:10,6] command. Both row-and-column notation and dollar-sign notation are widely used, which one you choose to use depends on your personal preference.

A little more on subsetting

It's often useful to extract all individuals (cases) in a data set that have specific characteristics. We accomplish this through *conditioning* commands. First, consider expressions like

```
cdc$gender == "m"
```

or

```
cdc$age > 30
```

These commands produce a series of TRUE and FALSE values. There is one value for each respondent, where TRUE indicates that the person was male (via the first command) or older than 30 (second command).

Suppose we want to extract just the data for the men in the sample, or just for those over 30. We can use the R function subset to do that for us. For example, the command

```
mdata <- subset(cdc, cdc$gender == "m")</pre>
```

will create a new data set called mdata that contains only the men from the cdc data set. In addition to finding it in your workspace alongside its dimensions, you can take a peek at the first several rows as usual

head(mdata)

```
##
         genhlth exerany hlthplan smoke100 height weight wtdesire age gender
## 1
            good
                         0
                                    1
                                              0
                                                     70
                                                            175
                                                                       175
                                                                            77
##
   7
      very good
                         1
                                    1
                                              0
                                                     71
                                                            194
                                                                       185
                                                                            31
                                                                                      m
## 8
                         0
                                              0
                                                     67
                                                            170
                                                                       160
                                                                            45
       very good
                                                                                     m
                                              0
                                                     70
## 10
             good
                         1
                                    1
                                                            180
                                                                       170
                                                                            44
                                                                                      m
## 11 excellent
                         1
                                              1
                                                     69
                                                            186
                                                                       175
                                                                            46
                                                                                      m
## 12
            fair
                         1
                                              1
                                                     69
                                                            168
                                                                       148
                                                                            62
```

This new data set contains all the same variables but just under half the rows. It is also possible to tell R to keep only specific variables, which is a topic we'll discuss in a future lab. For now, the important thing is that we can carve up the data based on values of one or more variables.

As an aside, you can use several of these conditions together with & and |. The & is read "and" so that

```
m_and_over30 <- subset(cdc, gender == "m" & age > 30)
```

will give you the data for men over the age of 30. The | character is read "or" so that

```
m_or_over30 <- subset(cdc, gender == "m" | age > 30)
```

will take people who are men or over the age of 30 (why that's an interesting group is hard to say, but right now the mechanics of this are the important thing). In principle, you may use as many "and" and "or" clauses as you like when forming a subset.

3. Create a new object called under23_and_smoke that contains all observations of respondents under the age of 23 that have smoked 100 cigarettes in their lifetime. Write the command you used to create the new object as the answer to this exercise.


```
under23_and_smoke<- subset(cdc, age<23 & smoke100==1)
head(under23_and_smoke)</pre>
```

```
##
          genhlth exerany hlthplan smoke100 height weight wtdesire age gender
## 13
       excellent
                          1
                                    0
                                              1
                                                     66
                                                            185
                                                                       220
                                                                            21
                          1
                                    0
                                              1
                                                     70
                                                            160
                                                                       140
                                                                            18
                                                                                      f
  37
       very good
                                                     74
## 96
                                              1
                                                            175
                                                                       200
                                                                            22
        excellent
                          1
                                    1
                                                                                     m
             good
                          1
                                    1
                                              1
                                                     64
                                                            190
## 180
                                                                       140
                                                                            20
                                                                                      f
                                                                                      f
## 182 very good
                          1
                                    1
                                              1
                                                     62
                                                             92
                                                                        92
                                                                            21
## 240 very good
                                                     64
                                                            125
                                                                       115
                                                                            22
```

Quantitative data

With our subsetting tools in hand, we'll now return to the task of the day: making basic summaries of the BRFSS questionnaire. We've already looked at categorical data such as smoke and gender so now let's turn our attention to quantitative data. Two common ways to visualize quantitative data are with box plots and histograms. We can construct a box plot for a single variable with the following command.

```
boxplot(cdc$height)
```




You can compare the locations of the components of the box by examining the summary statistics.

```
summary(cdc$height)
```

```
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## 48.00 64.00 67.00 67.18 70.00 93.00
```

Confirm that the median and upper and lower quartiles reported in the numerical summary match those in the graph. The purpose of a boxplot is to provide a thumbnail sketch of a variable for the purpose of comparing across several categories. So we can, for example, compare the heights of men and women with

boxplot(cdc\$height ~ cdc\$gender)



The notation here is new. The ~ character can be read *versus* or *as a function of.* So we're asking R to give us a box plots of heights where the groups are defined by gender.


Next let's consider a new variable that doesn't show up directly in this data set: Body Mass Index (BMI) (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Body_mass_index). BMI is a weight to height ratio and can be calculated as:

$$BMI = \frac{weight\ (lb)}{height\ (in)^2} * 703$$

703 is the approximate conversion factor to change units from metric (meters and kilograms) to imperial (inches and pounds).

The following two lines first make a new object called **bmi** and then creates box plots of these values, defining groups by the variable cdc\$genhlth.

```
bmi <- (cdc$weight / cdc$height^2) * 703
boxplot(bmi ~ cdc$genhlth)</pre>
```




Notice that the first line above is just some arithmetic, but it's applied to all 20,000 numbers in the cdc data set. That is, for each of the 20,000 participants, we take their weight, divide by their height-squared and then multiply by 703. The result is 20,000 BMI values, one for each respondent. This is one reason why we like R: it lets us perform computations like this using very simple expressions.

4. What does this box plot show? Pick another categorical variable from the data set and see how it relates to BMI. List the variable you chose, why you might think it would have a relationship to BMI, and indicate what the figure seems to suggest.

BMI as a function of height

```
boxplot(bmi ~ cdc$height,xlab = 'Height' )
```




The result here get a little strange to understand on the very high and low range of heights, but appear consistent for many height values. This could be easier to see if we could bin the height values more. Something like 20-25, 26-30, etc.

Finally, let's make some histograms. We can look at the histogram for the age of our respondents with the command

hist(cdc\$age)


Histogram of cdc\$age



Histograms are generally a very good way to see the shape of a single distribution, but that shape can change depending on how the data is split between the different bins. You can control the number of bins by adding an argument to the command. In the next two lines, we first make a default histogram of bmi and then one with 50 breaks.


hist(bmi)

Histogram of bmi



hist(bmi, breaks = 50)

Histogram of bmi




Note that you can flip between plots that you've created by clicking the forward and backward arrows in the lower right region of RStudio, just above the plots. How do these two histograms compare?

At this point, we've done a good first pass at analyzing the information in the BRFSS questionnaire. We've found an interesting association between smoking and gender, and we can say something about the relationship between people's assessment of their general health and their own BMI. We've also picked up essential computing tools – summary statistics, subsetting, and plots – that will serve us well throughout this course.

On Your Own

Make a scatterplot of weight versus desired weight. Describe the relationship between these two
variables.

```
plot(cdc$wtdesire ~cdc$weight, xlab= 'weight', ylab = 'desired weight')
```



is a plot of peoples desired weight as a function of actual weight. A line with a slope of 1 would represent that everyone is happy with their current weight. It seems that most poeple have a desired weight that is below their current weight with some exceptions especially in the under 200 range.

• Let's consider a new variable: the difference between desired weight (wtdesire) and current weight (weight). Create this new variable by subtracting the two columns in the data frame and assigning them to a new object called wdiff.

```
cdc$wdiff<- cdc$wtdesire - cdc$weight
summary(cdc$wdiff)</pre>
```

```
## Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
## -300.00 -21.00 -10.00 -14.59 0.00 500.00
```

Most people want to lose somewhere between 10 and 15 lbs


• What type of data is wdiff? If an observation wdiff is 0, what does this mean about the person's weight and desired weight. What if wdiff is positive or negative?

The way I have set it up, wdiff is a vector in the data matrix or column in the data frame. If the observation is 0, then the person would like no change in weight, negative values represent a desired loss in weight and positive values represent desired gain.

• Describe the distribution of wdiff in terms of its center, shape, and spread, including any plots you use. What does this tell us about how people feel about their current weight?

We saw in the summary above that the IQR is relatively small, around 6.5 lbs, but the min and max values are huge by comparison. So most people seem to want a small change in weight and a significant number of people who want to lose between 20 and 200 lbs. A small minority seem to have unrealistic ideas about weight (like the person who wants to gain 500lbs).


boxplot(cdc\$wdiff)



The boxplot tells a similar story, a narrow range of desiring a small loss in weight with many values outside of the quartiles.

• Using numerical summaries and a side-by-side box plot, determine if men tend to view their weight differently than women.

boxplot(cdc\$wdiff ~ cdc\$gender, xlab='Gender', ylab='desired weight difference')



```
summary(subset(cdc, subset=gender=='m', select = wdiff))
```

```
##
        wdiff
            :-300.00
##
    Min.
##
    1st Qu.: -20.00
    Median: -5.00
##
            : -10.71
##
    Mean
               0.00
    3rd Qu.:
            : 500.00
    Max.
```

The boxplots don't offer too much more information, but the summary tables are interesting. Above is the summary for men

```
summary(subset(cdc, subset=gender=='f', select = wdiff))
```

```
##
        wdiff
##
    Min.
            :-300.00
    1st Qu.: -27.00
##
##
    Median : -10.00
            : -18.15
##
    Mean
    3rd Qu.:
                0.00
              83.00
    Max.
##
            :
```

Here is the summary for women. We can see that women and men are very similar in terms of desired weight loss. The difference from first quartile to median are both about 15 lbs, but women have a large IQR of 27 lbs compared to 20lbs for men.

• Now it's time to get creative. Find the mean and standard deviation of weight and determine what proportion of the weights are within one standard deviation of the mean.

```
wt_std<-sd(cdc$weight)
wt_mean<-mean(cdc$weight)
out_mean<- subset(cdc, weight > (wt_mean - wt_std) & weight < (wt_mean + wt_std))
dim(out_mean)[1] / dim(cdc)[1]</pre>
```

[1] 0.7076

This makes sense since with this much data we should expect that around 67% of the data falls within a two standard deviation range (one std on each side of the mean).