

Homework 4 Part A: HMM, Viterbi

Shamya Karumbaiah [Collaborated with - Rafael Lizarralde]

October 21, 2016

1 HMM

1.1

$$P(o_2 = \Delta | s_1 = B) = \frac{\sum_{s \in A, B} P(o_2 = \Delta, s_2 = s, s_1 = B)}{P(s_1 = B)}$$

Simplifying this using output independence and markov assumption of HMM, we get

$$= T_{B \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} + T_{B \rightarrow B} T_{B \rightarrow \Delta}$$

$$P(o_2 = \Delta | o_1 = \square) = \frac{\sum_{s \in A, B} \sum_{s' \in A, B} P(s_1 = s, o_1 = \square, s_2 = s', o_2 = \Delta)}{\sum_{s'' \in A, B} P(s_1 = s'') P(o_1 = \square)}$$

Simplifying this using output independence and markov assumption of HMM, we get

$$= \frac{T_{START \rightarrow A} (T_{A \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} + T_{A \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \Delta}) + T_{START \rightarrow B} (T_{B \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} + T_{B \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \Delta})}{T_{START \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \square} + T_{START \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \square}}$$

Hence, No, $P(o_2 = \Delta | s_1 = B) \neq P(o_2 = \Delta | o_1 = \square)$

1.2

$$P(s_2 = B | s_1 = A) = T_{A \rightarrow B}$$

$$P(s_2 = B | s_1 = A, o_1 = \Delta) = P(s_2 = B | s_1 = A) = T_{A \rightarrow B} \text{ by markov assumption}$$

$$\text{Hence, Yes, } P(s_2 = B | s_1 = A, o_1 = \Delta) = P(s_2 = B | s_1 = A)$$

1.3

$$P(o_2 = \Delta | s_1 = A) = T_{A \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} + T_{A \rightarrow B} T_{B \rightarrow \Delta} \text{ similar to 1.1}$$

$$P(o_2 = \square | s_1 = A, s_3 = A) = \frac{\sum_{s \in A, B} P(s_1 = A, s_2 = s, s_3 = A, o_2 = \square)}{\sum_{s' \in A, B} P(s_1 = A, s_2 = s', s_3 = A)}$$

Simplifying this using output independence and markov assumption of HMM, we get

$$= \frac{T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \square} T_{A \rightarrow A} + T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \square} T_{B \rightarrow A}}{T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow A} + T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow B} T_{B \rightarrow A}}$$

Hence, No, $P(o_2 = \Delta | s_1 = A) \neq P(o_2 = \square | s_1 = A, s_3 = A)$

1.4

$$\begin{aligned}
P(o_1 = \square) &= \sum_{s' \in A, B} P(s_1 = s', o_1 = \square) \\
&= P(s_1 = A, o_1 = \square) + P(s_1 = B, o_1 = \square) \\
&= T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow \square} + T_{START \rightarrow B} T_{B \rightarrow \square} \\
&= 0.5 * 0.5 + 0.5 * 0.7 \\
&= 0.6
\end{aligned}$$

1.5

Goal - Find $P(s_1 = A | o_2 = \Delta, s_3 = END)$

$$\begin{aligned}
P(s_1 = A | o_2 = \Delta, s_3 = END) &= \frac{P(s_1 = A, o_2 = \Delta, s_3 = END)}{P(o_2 = \Delta, s_3 = END)} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{s' \in A, B} P(s_1 = A, s_2 = s', o_2 = \Delta, s_3 = END)}{\sum_{s'_1 \in A, B} \sum_{s'_2 \in A, B} P(s_1 = s'_1, s_2 = s'_2, o_2 = \Delta, s_3 = END)}
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying this using output independence and markov assumption of HMM, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} T_{A \rightarrow END} + T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \Delta} T_{B \rightarrow END}}{T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} T_{A \rightarrow END} + T_{START \rightarrow A} T_{A \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \Delta} T_{B \rightarrow END} \\
&\quad + T_{START \rightarrow B} T_{B \rightarrow A} E_{A \rightarrow \Delta} T_{A \rightarrow END} + T_{START \rightarrow B} T_{B \rightarrow B} E_{B \rightarrow \Delta} T_{B \rightarrow END}} \\
&= 0.3542
\end{aligned}$$

2 Viterbi

2.1

In HMM,

$$\log P(\vec{y}, \vec{w}) = \sum_t \log P(y_t | y_{t-1}) + \log P(w_t | y_t)$$

$A(y_{t-1}, y_t)$ gives the transition log probabilities equivalent to $\log P(y_t | y_{t-1})$

Along with $B_t(y_t)$ giving the preference for the tag y_t at t i.e, $\log P(y_t)$, we could also define a table to give the preference for the tag y_t at t given the observation w_t , $B'_t(w_t, y_t) = \log P(y_t | w_t)$. If we keep this constant over t , this could just be $B'(w_t, y_t)$.

If we don't worry about normalizing, we could use $B_t(w_t, y_t)$ as is for emission log probability in HMM. Else we could get these values using condition probability in HMM, $P(w_t | y_t) = \frac{P(y_t | w_t) P(w_t)}{P(y_t)}$