

# Model Diagnostics

Check how well a model fits data

- ▶ Goodness-of-fit statistics
- ▶ Residuals

Compare different candidate models

- ▶ nested models
- ▶ hypothesis testing

Data:  $n$  independent observations  $(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  
 $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip})^T$ .

Model: GLM

- ▶ Two extreme models:
  - ▶ Null Model: Common  $\mu$  for  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ ; only 1 parameter.
  - ▶ Full (Saturated) Model:  $\mu_i = y_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;  $n$  parameters.
  - ▶ the null model is too simple,
  - ▶ the full model is uninformative and not generalizable.
- ▶ We need something in between: an intermediate  $p$ -parameter model ( $1 < p < n$ )

$$\eta_i = g(\mu_i) = \mathbf{x}_i \boldsymbol{\beta},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is  $p$ -dimensional.

- ▶ Assume the following log-likelihood (dispersion  $\phi = 1$ ),

$$l(y, \mu) = y\theta - b(\theta) + c(y).$$

- ▶ Let  $l(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$  denote the maximized log-likelihood over  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \mathbf{g}^{-1}(\mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

- ▶ The maximum possible value of the log-likelihood is  $l(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})$ , i.e. the full (saturated) model.
- ▶ The full model fits each data point exactly.

# Deviance

- ▶ **Deviance** measures the discrepancy between the two fits, which is twice the difference between  $l(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y})$  and  $l(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})$ :

$$D(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = 2\{l(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{y}) - l(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}})\}.$$

- ▶ Deviance can be interpreted as the likelihood ratio between the full model and the  $p$ -parameter model.
- ▶ When the  $p$ -parameter model is true, the deviance *may* be approximately distributed as  $\chi^2_{n-p}$ .
- ▶ Deviance is commonly used to check the goodness of fit. A large value (compared to the quantile of  $\chi^2_{n-p}$ ) means lack of fit.

## Example

- ▶ Normal linear regression:  $y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta} + \epsilon_i$  ( $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, 1)$ )

$$l(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - \mu_i)^2}{2}$$

$$D(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = RSS = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2$$

- ▶ Poisson log linear regression:  $\theta_i = \log \mu_i = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}$

$$l(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n (\log y_i! - y_i \log \mu_i + \mu_i)$$

$$D(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \left( y_i \log y_i - y_i - y_i \mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \exp(\mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right)$$

# Analysis of Deviance

- ▶ Deviance can be used for model selection (comparing nested models)
- ▶ Suppose we want to compare model  $M_0$  (smaller model) to model  $M_1$  (larger model)
- ▶ The difference in deviances between  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  is

$$D_{M_0} - D_{M_1} \overset{d}{\approx} \chi^2_{p-q}, \text{ under } M_0$$

where  $\overset{d}{\approx}$  denotes “approx. distributed as”.

- ▶ Related to likelihood ratio test
- ▶ Reject the smaller model  $M_0$  if the difference in deviances is large

## Generalized Pearson's $\chi^2$ statistic

- ▶ This is another important measure of discrepancy, which takes the following form,

$$G = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2 / V(\hat{\mu}_i),$$

where  $V(\cdot)$  is the variance function, and  $\hat{\mu}_i = g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_i^T \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ .

- ▶ If the  $p$ -parameter model is true,  $G$  may have an approximate distribution of  $\chi^2(n - p)$ .
- ▶ Both the deviance and the generalized Pearson  $\chi^2$  statistic have exact  $\chi^2$  distributions for normal linear models.

# Residuals

- ▶ Normal residuals:  $\epsilon_i = y_i - \hat{\mu}_i$ ; important diagnostic tool: normality, dependence, homoscedastic.
- ▶ For GLM, we define two forms of generalized residuals:
  - ▶ **Pearson residual**
  - ▶ **Deviance residual**



# Pearson Residual

- ▶ Define Pearson residual as:

$$r_{P_i} = \frac{y_i - \hat{\mu}_i}{\sqrt{V(\hat{\mu}_i)}}$$

- ▶ The raw residual scaled by the estimated sd.
- ▶ Relation to the Generalized Pearson  $\chi^2$  statistic  $G$ :

$$G = \sum_i r_{P_i}^2.$$

- ▶ For normal dist., this reduces to the ordinary residual.

# Deviance Residual

- ▶ Define Deviance residual as:

$$r_{D_i} = \text{sign}(y_i - \hat{\mu}_i) \sqrt{d_i},$$

where  $d_i = D(y_i, \hat{\mu}_i)$ .

- ▶ The deviance is  $D(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}) = \sum_i d_i = \sum_i r_{D_i}^2$ .
- ▶  $r_D$  is generally preferred

# GLM Model Inference

- ▶ According to general likelihood theory,

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta \stackrel{asy}{\sim} N(0, \mathcal{I}(\beta)^{-1}),$$

where  $\mathcal{I}(\beta)$  is the Fisher information.

- ▶ We can obtain asymptotic  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence intervals for  $\beta_j$  using

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm Z_{1-\alpha/2} \text{se}(\hat{\beta}_j),$$

where  $Z_{1-\alpha/2}$  denotes the  $(1 - \alpha/2)$ -th percentile of the  $N(0, 1)$  density.

- ▶ Standard packages usually provide the estimate of  $\mathcal{I}(\beta)$

# Hypothesis Tests

- ▶ Interested in testing  $H_0 : \beta = \beta_0$  vs  $H_1 : \beta \neq \beta_0$ .
- ▶ Recall log likelihood function  $l(\mathbf{y}, \beta)$ , score vector  $s(\beta)$ , Fisher Information matrix  $\mathcal{I}(\beta)$ , and MLE  $\hat{\beta}$ .
- ▶ We will introduce three asymptotically equivalent tests.
  - ▶ **Wald Test**
  - ▶ **Score Test**
  - ▶ **Likelihood Ratio Test**

- ▶ Wald test statistic:

$$TS_W = (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)^T \mathcal{I}(\hat{\beta})(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)$$

- ▶ Score test statistic (preferred):

$$TS_S = s(\beta_0)^T \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta_0)s(\beta_0)$$

or sometimes replace  $\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\beta_0)$  with  $\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\hat{\beta})$

- ▶ Likelihood ratio test statistic (preferred):

$$TS_{LR} = 2[l(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\beta}) - l(\mathbf{y}, \beta_0)]$$

Under the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta = \beta_0$  and some regularity conditions, all three test statistics have asymptotic  $\chi^2(p)$  distributions.

# Poisson Example

$(y_1, \dots, y_n) \sim_{iid} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ . We are interested in testing  $H_0 : \lambda = \lambda_0$ .

Questions:

- ▶ What are the expressions for different statistics?

# Poisson Example

$(y_1, \dots, y_n) \sim_{iid} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ . We are interested in testing  $H_0 : \lambda = \lambda_0$ . What are the expressions of different statistics?

**Answer:**

The problem can be viewed as a hypothesis testing problem of a null Poisson regression model. In order to obtain different test statistics, we need to calculate the key quantities first. Assume  $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}l(\mathbf{y}, \lambda) &= \sum_{i=1}^n [y_i \log \lambda - \lambda - \log(y_i!)] \\s(\lambda) &= \frac{\partial l(\mathbf{y}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\lambda} - n = \frac{n(\bar{y} - \lambda)}{\lambda} \\\mathcal{I}(\lambda) &= \mathbb{E} \left( -\frac{\partial^2 l(\mathbf{y}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2} \right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{n}{\lambda} \\\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} &= \bar{y}\end{aligned}$$

Now we can derive the expressions of different test statistics:

► **Wald:**

$$TS_W = (\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} - \lambda_0) * \mathcal{I}(\hat{\lambda}_{MLE}) * (\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} - \lambda_0) = \frac{n(\bar{y} - \lambda_0)^2}{\bar{y}}$$

► **Score:**

$$TS_S = s(\lambda_0) * \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\lambda_0) * s(\lambda_0) = \frac{n(\bar{y} - \lambda_0)^2}{\lambda_0}$$

► **LR:**

$$TS_{LR} = 2[l(\mathbf{y}, \hat{\lambda}_{MLE}) - l(\mathbf{y}, \lambda_0)] = 2n \left[ \bar{y} \log \frac{\bar{y}}{\lambda_0} - (\bar{y} - \lambda_0) \right]$$

They all asymptotically follow  $\chi_1^2$ ! We reject the null hypothesis (i.e.,  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ ) if a test statistic is too large (recall the graphical representation of different statistics).