Dublin City University
School of Computing
ETHICS COMMITTEE

NOTIFICATION FORM FOR LOW-RISK PROJECTS AT UNDERGRADUATE OR TAUGHT MASTERS LEVELS

Application Number:			

Please read the following information carefully before completing your application. Failure to adhere to these guidelines will make your submission ineligible for review.

- **Download this form**
- **Completed applications must be uploaded to your School of Computing GitLab repo, and must be located in "`docs/ethics.pdf`".**
- **Your supervisor will be notified automatically and must approve your approach initially.**
- **The application should consist of one electronic file (PDF) only**. The completed application must include this form and also must incorporate all supplementary documentation, especially that being given to the proposed participants e.g consent forms, plain English language statement. It must be proofread and spell-checked before submission.
- **All sections of the application form must be answered as instructed and within the word limits given.**

Applications which do not adhere to all of these requirements will not be accepted for review and will require resubmission

Applications must be completed on this form; answers in the form of attachments will not be accepted, except where indicated. No hard copy applications will be accepted. **The project must not commence until written approval has been received from the School of Computing Ethics Committee.**

PROJECT TITLE	Human Activity Recognition via Wrist-Mounted Sensors based on Symbolic Aggregate Approximation (SAX) and Machine Vision.
PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR(S) *The named Principal Investigator is the person with primary responsibility for the research project. In the case of Taught Masters projects and undergraduate projects the supervisor is the Principal Investigator.*	Tomas Ward

START AND END DATE	April 18th – May 19th
LEVEL OF RISK *Please indicate whether this project requires more than a notification Justification for your choice is required under section 3.1*	Notification

Please confirm that all supplementary information is included in your application (in electronic copy). If questionnaire or interview questions are submitted in draft form, please indicate this by putting (draft) after YES. A copy of the final documentation must be submitted for final approval when available.

	My application has been collated as one electronic file which includes the following documentation:	INCLUDED (mark as YES)	NOT APPLICABLE (mark as N/A)
	Bibliography		**N/A**
	Recruitment advertisement		**N/A**
	Plain language statement/Information statement	**YES**	
	Informed consent form	**YES**	
	Personal Data Security Schedule https://www.dcu.ie/sites/default/files/info/3._blank_data_security_schedule.xls		**N/A**
	Evidence of external approvals related to the research		**N/A**
	Questionnaire/Survey	**YES**	
	Interview/Focus Group Questions		**N/A**
	Debriefing material		**N/A**
	Other (e.g. local government approval)		**N/A**

Please note:

1. Any amendments to the original approved proposal must receive prior SCEC approval.
2. As a condition of approval investigators are required to document and report immediately to SCEC any adverse events, any issues which might negatively impact on the conduct of the research and/or any complaint from a participant relating to their participation in the study

1. ADMINISTRATIVE DETAILS

Project Type (select one): Undergraduate Project – Final Year
Undergraduate Project – non-final Year
Taught Masters (Practicum)
(projects at other levels, e.g. PhD or research Masters, should be approved by the University's REC if necessary)

1.1 INVESTIGATOR CONTACT DETAILS

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR(S): *Your supervisor and other academic staff who are assisting, it should be clear who is the person who is carrying out the research procedures.*

NAME	*SCHOOL/UNIT*	*EMAIL*
Prof. Tomas Ward	School of Computing	tomas.ward@dcu.ie

OTHER INVESTIGATORS (STUDENT(S):

NAME	*SCHOOL/UNIT*	*EMAIL*
Shane Creedon	School of Computing	Shane.creedon3@mail.cdu

		.ie

1.2 WILL THE RESEARCH BE UNDERTAKEN ON-SITE AT A Dublin City University CAMPUS ?

YES or NO
…   **YES**

(If NO, state details of the off-campus location – provide details of the approval to gain access to that location in section 2.7.)

1.3 IS THIS PROTOCOL BEING SUBMITTED TO ANOTHER ETHICS COMMITTEE, OR HAS IT BEEN PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TO AN ETHICS COMMITTEE?

YES or NO
…   **NO**

(If YES, please provide details and attach copies of approval(s) received etc.)

DECLARATION BY PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR(S)

The information contained herein is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, accurate. I have read the University's current research ethics guidelines, and accept responsibility for the conduct of the procedures set out in the attached application in accordance with the form guidelines, the SCEC guidelines (https://www.dcu.ie/researchsupport/researchethics.shtml), the University's policy on Conflict of Interest, Code of Good Research Practice and any other condition laid down by the Dublin City University Research Ethics Committee. I have attempted to identify all risks related to the research that may arise in conducting this research and acknowledge my obligations and the rights of the participants.

If there exists any affiliation or financial interest for researcher(s) in this research or its outcomes or any other circumstances which might represent a perceived, potential or actual conflict of interest this should be declared in accordance with Dublin City University policy on Conflicts of Interest.

I and my co-investigators or supporting staff have the appropriate qualifications, experience and facilities to conduct the research set out in the attached application and to deal with any emergencies and contingencies related to the research that may arise.

Electronic Signature(s):

Principal investigator(s): Tomas Ward

Print Name(s): ***Tomas Ward***

Date: 12/04/2019

2. PROJECT OUTLINE

2.1 LAY DESCRIPTION *(Max. 300 words)*

Please outline, in terms that any non-expert would understand, what your research project is about, including what participants will be required to do. Please explain any technical terms or discipline-specific phrases.

I want to gather feedback and information about the functionality of the research project. Due to the nature of my application, individual human artefacts relating to movement will also be gathered and explored. These will be gathered using a heart-rate sensor without an accelerometer positioned at the wrist.

The application itself is both a web application and a desktop application. With the web application, users can view the research, read blog entries and download the desktop application. The web app will be surveyed for opinionated responses based on the accessibility and design choices of the web application.

The desktop application will be surveyed on half of design choices, effectiveness and intuitiveness in terms of usability. A user will be able to strap the device on around the wrist and perceive what the application thinks the user is doing (Walking, Running, Slow Cycle, Fast Cycle). What the application thinks the user is doing will also be recorded, as well as the sensor microvolt readings.

2.2 AIMS OF AND JUSTIFICATION FOR THE RESEARCH *(Max. 400 words)*

State the aims and significance of the project. Where relevant, state the specific hypothesis to be tested. Please provide a brief description of background research, a justification as to why this research project should proceed in that context and an explanation of any expected benefits to the community. NB – all references cited should be listed in an attached bibliography.

The primary aim of the project is to try recognize human activity using cheap PPG sensors, which are normally used to determine heart-rate. If possible, we can determine the activity a person is performing without the need for any expert technology or more advanced sensors. This will be achieved using a Machine Learning approach on human activity artefacts.

This approach has already been achieved by the Insight Centre at DCU, but this project adopts a different approach using Symbolic Aggregate Approximation (SAX) and bitmap generation to recognize human activities. With a new approach, there is the possibility for a better outcome and a better accuracy to recognize human activities and it is important to test this on real subjects, considering we all move differently.

This is beneficial in many areas, one would be diabetics, whom of course require some form of daily exercise to moderate their condition. Perhaps using the above project, we can monitor their activity state and if they do not get enough activity on a given day, we can determine to send out medical professionals to tend to said client.

2.3 DESCRIBE THE METHODOLOGY BEING USED TO ACHIEVE YOUR STATED AIMS

Provide an outline of the proposed method and state who is doing which task – include details of data collection techniques, the tasks participants will be asked to do, the estimated time commitment involved, and how data will be analysed. If the project includes any procedure which is beyond already established and accepted techniques please include a description of it. There should be enough detail provided to facilitate ethical review, but applicants are encouraged to keep it as succinct as possible.

Google Forms will be used to build a quality survey on behalf of the Web Application portion of the project as well as another survey for the Desktop Application. I want to gather what users think of the application, what they think the strengths are, the weaknesses, and how intuitive it

is to understand as a concept and to use via the application.

Additionally, what the application perceives their activity state to be from the wrist sensor will also be recorded (Walk, Run, Slow Cycle, Fast Cycle), as well as the microvolt levels that are generated.

The time limit of each survey should take no longer than a minute each, therefore 2 minutes in total.

All of the data gathered will be safely secure and will only be analyzed by myself or my supervisors for approximations or conclusions. The data will be anonymized, and each subject will be labeled as Subject A, Subject B etc.…

2.4 PARTICIPANT PROFILE

Provide the number, age range and source of participants. Please provide a justification of your proposed sample size. Please provide a justification for selecting a specific gender, age, or any other group if this is done in your project.

I intend to survey ~10 people in total, gathering their anonymous feedback on behalf of the application and record their motion artefacts. Age ranges of the participants will be between 18 – 60. Justification for these age ranges involve how movement of a person changes with age, these will be accounted for in the conducted research. I do not require a large sample size to meet my objectives.

2.4(a) PARTICIPANT VULNERABILITY

Are some or all of participants vulnerable in any way? (e.g by virtue of the group they belong to, people who have undergone traumatic or adverse emotional events, people with diminished cognitive ability, power relations between researchers and participants etc.)? If they are, state what this vulnerability (or vulnerabilities) is and justify why this research is being done with such participants.

No, no vulnerabilities will be present in participants.

2.4(b) CHILD PARTICIPANTS (anyone under 18 years old)

*If your participants include children, you **must** confirm that you are in compliance with the research specific guidelines as detailed in "Keeping Children Safe - Policies and Procedures supporting Child Protection at DCU" - available at: https://www4.dcu.ie/sites/default/files/policy/157%20-%20child_protection_handbook_rev1%282%29%281%29.pdf*

Please indicate your compliance with the following guidelines:	**Mark here**
We confirm that we have read and agree to act in accordance with the DCU Child Protection policy and procedures	**N/A**
We confirm that we have put in place safeguards for the children participating in the research	**N/A**
We confirm that we have supports in place for children who may disclose current or historical abuse (whether or not this is the focus of the research)	**N/A**

2.5 EXPLAIN HOW PARTICIPANTS ARE TO BE RECRUITED

Please provide specific details as to how you will be recruiting participants. How will people be informed that you are doing this research? How will they be approached and asked if they are willing to participate? If you are mailing or

phoning people, please explain how you have obtained their names and contact details. If a recruitment advertisement is to be used, please ensure you attach a copy to this application.

I intend to ask several students throughout DCU campus. I will explain prior to their involvement what the research is about and how the feedback process will work.

2.6 PLEASE EXPLAIN WHEN, HOW, WHERE, AND TO WHOM RESULTS WILL BE DISSEMINATED, INCLUDING WHETHER PARTICIPANTS WILL BE PROVIDED WITH ANY INFORMATION AS TO THE FINDINGS OR OUTCOMES OF THE PROJECT*?*

Participants will not have access to the survey results. The overall result will be disseminated to my project graders come May 20th during the project demonstration.

2.7 ARE OTHER APPROVALS REQUIRED TO GAIN ACCESS TO ANOTHER LOCATION, ORGANISATION ETC.?

YES or NO
…
No

(If YES, please specify from whom and attach a copy of the approval documentation. If this is not yet available, please explain when this will be obtained.)

2.8 HAS A SIMILAR PROPOSAL BEEN PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BY THE DCU SCEC?

YES or NO
…
No

(If YES, please state both the REC Application Number and Project Title)

3. RISK AND RISK MANAGEMENT

3.1 JUSTIFICATION OF STATED LEVEL OF RISK TO RESEARCH PARTICIPANTS

You must provide a justification for the stated level of risk, as indicated on the cover page of your application. Note that the level of risk may be influenced by the vulnerability of the research group, the methods employed and the nature of the research itself. For further information on risk levels, please refer to the Levels of Review information on the website: https://www.dcu.ie/researchsupport/researchethics.shtml

Google form surveys as well as sensor data will be recorded. The nature of the questions will be In relation to the application at hand and as a result the risk involved is 'Notification'.

3.2 DOES THE RESEARCH INVOLVE:

	YES or NO
● use of a questionnaire? (attach copy)?	YES
● interviews (attach interview questions)?	NO
● observation of participants without their knowledge?	NO
● participant observation (provide details in section 2)?	YES
● audio- or video-taping interviewees or events?	NO
● access to personal and/or confidential data (including student, patient or client data) without the participant's specific consent?	NO
● administration of any stimuli, tasks, investigations or procedures which may be experienced by participants as physically or mentally painful, stressful or unpleasant during or after the research process?	NO
● performance of any acts which might diminish the self-esteem of participants or cause them to experience embarrassment, regret or depression?	NO
● investigation of participants involved in illegal activities?	NO
● procedures that involve deception of participants?	NO
● administration of any substance or agent?	NO
● use of non-treatment of placebo control conditions?	NO
● collection of body tissues or fluid samples?	NO
● collection and/or testing of DNA samples?	NO
● participation in a clinical trial?	NO
● administration of ionising radiation to participants?	NO

3.3 POTENTIAL RISKS TO PARTICIPANTS AND RISK MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES

Identify, as far as possible, all potential risks to participants (physical, psychological, social, legal, economic, etc.), associated with the proposed research. Please explain what risk management procedures will be put in place to minimise these risks.

There are no potential risks.

3.4 ARE THERE LIKELY TO BE ANY BENEFITS (DIRECT OR INDIRECT) TO PARTICIPANTS FROM THIS RESEARCH?

YES or NO
…
NO

(If YES, provide details.)

3.5 ARE THERE ANY SPECIFIC RISKS TO RESEARCHERS?

Examples include use of dangerous materials, asking certain types of questions, research being undertaken in certain locations, researchers working alone in isolated areas, etc.

YES or NO
… NO

(If YES, please describe and explain what risk management procedures will be put in place to minimise these risks.)

3.6 DEALING WITH ADVERSE/UNEXPECTED OUTCOMES

Please describe what measures/protocols you have put in place in the event that there are any unexpected outcomes or adverse effects to participants arising from involvement in the project.

N/A

3.7 HOW WILL THE CONDUCT OF THE PROJECT BE MONITORED?

Please explain how the principal investigator will monitor the conduct of the project (especially where several people are involved in recruiting or interviewing, administering procedures, etc.) to ensure that it conforms with the procedures set out in this application. In the case of student projects please give details of how the supervisor(s) will monitor the conduct of the project.

Consistent meetings every Thursday with my project supervisor will be used to inform him the position of the project and the stance on the research. The results of the survey will be only accessible my myself and my supervisor.

3.8 SUPPORT FOR PARTICIPANTS

Depending on risks to participants you may need to consider having additional support for participants during/after the study. Consider whether your project would require additional support, e.g., external counselling available to participants. Please advise what support will be available.

N/a

3.9 DO YOU PROPOSE TO OFFER PAYMENTS OR INCENTIVES TO PARTICIPANTS?

YES or NO
… NO

(If YES, please provide further details.)

3.10 DO ANY OF THE RESEARCHERS ON THIS PROJECT HAVE A PERSONAL, PHILOSOPHICAL, FINANCIAL OR COMMERCIAL INTEREST IN ITS OUTCOME THAT MIGHT INFLUENCE THE INTEGRITY OF THE RESEARCH, OR BIAS THE CONDUCT OR REPORTING OF THE RESEARCH, OR UNDULY DELAY OR OTHERWISE AFFECT THEIR PUBLICATION?

YES or NO
…
NO

(If YES, please specify how this conflict of interest will be addressed.)

4. INVESTIGATORS' QUALIFICATIONS, EXPERIENCE AND SKILLS *(Approx. **200 words**)*

List the academic qualifications and outline the experience and skills relevant to this project that the PI, other researchers and any supporting staff have in carrying out the research and in dealing with any emergencies, unexpected outcomes, or contingencies that may arise. ***State specifically who will be carrying out the research procedures***

No skills or academic qualifications are needed to conduct the research. As a student, I will be the one carrying out the research.

5. CONFIDENTIALITY/ANONYMITY

5.1 WILL THE IDENTITY OF THE PARTICIPANTS BE PROTECTED?

YES or NO
…
YES

(If NO, please explain why.)

IF YOU ANSWERED YES TO 5.1, PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

5.2 HOW WILL THE ANONYMITY OF THE PARTICIPANTS BE RESPECTED?

Please bear in mind that where the sample size is very small, it may be impossible to guarantee anonymity/confidentiality of participant identity. Participants involved in such projects need to be advised of this limitation in the Plain Language Statement/Information Sheet. If you intend to fully anonymize the data, please provide details

Participant names, IDs, and motion artefacts will not be collected in the google form. Each survey result can be titled 'Subject #1, Subject #2' etc.

5.3 LEGAL LIMITATIONS TO DATA CONFIDENTIALITY

Participants need to be made aware that confidentiality of information provided cannot always be guaranteed by researchers and can only be protected within the limitations of the law - i.e., it is possible for data to be subject to subpoena, freedom of information claim or mandated reporting by some professions. This information should be included in your Plain Language Statement and Informed Consent Form. Depending on the research proposal and academic discipline, you may need to state additional specific limitations.

State how and where participants will be informed of these limitations

In the plain language statement

6. PERSONAL DATA - COMPLIANCE WITH THE GENERAL DATA PROTECTION REGULATION

Personal data is data relating to a living individual (i.e. the 'Data Subject') who is, or can be, identified either from the data itself or from the data in conjunction with other information that is in, or is likely to come into, the possession of the 'Data Controller' (i.e. DCU and its constituent units e.g. research teams etc.). ***Further information on personal data is available from the DCU Data Protection Unit at https://www.dcu.ie/ocoo/dp/guides.shtml***

6.1 IS PERSONAL DATA BEING PROCESSED AS PART OF THIS PROJECT?

YES or NO
…   YES

If YES, Please indicate your compliance with the following guidelines:	**Mark here**
We confirm that we have read and agree to act in accordance with DCU Data Protection Unit guidance and procedures regarding personal data	**YES**
We confirm that we have put in place a Personal Data Security Schedule (PDSS) for the project and have attached it to this application	**YES**

Please see the GDPR and the Research Ethics Process section of the SCEC main webpage for guidance

IF YOU ANSWERED YES TO 6.1, PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS:

6.2 WHAT KIND OF PERSONAL DATA IS BEING PROCESSED?

Note special categories of personal data include health data, genetic data and/or data relating to ethnicity/race of participants, their sex lives and/or sexual orientation

The Google Survey results will not contain any personal data, but the sensor readings will contain an individuals motion encodings. This would be classified as 'health data' in terms of special categories.

6.3 WILL ANONYMISATION/PSEUDONYMISATION OF THE PERSONAL DATA BE UNDERTAKEN?

YES or NO
…   YES

(If NO, please explain why.)

7. DATA/SAMPLE STORAGE, SECURITY AND DISPOSAL

For the purpose of this section, "Data" includes that in a raw or processed state (e.g. interview audiotape, transcript or analysis). "Samples" include body fluids or tissue samples.

7.1 HOW AND WHERE WILL THE DATA/SAMPLES BE STORED?

Note that the SCEC recommends that all data be stored on campus – please justify any off-site storage.

Google Cloud, DCU Gitlab Repo (Private).

7.2 WHO WILL HAVE ACCESS TO DATA/SAMPLES?

If people other than the main researchers have access, please name who they are and explain for what purpose.

Shane Creedon

7.3 HOW LONG IS THE DATA TO BE HELD/RETAINED FOR?

*Note that with very few exceptions **personal data** may not be retained indefinitely. It is up to the unit or research team to establish an upper retention limit for each category of personal data under its control.*

Until end of May 2019

7.4 IF DATA/SAMPLES ARE TO BE DISPOSED OF, PLEASE EXPLAIN HOW, WHEN AND BY WHOM THIS WILL BE DONE?

*Note that simply deleting files is not sufficiently secure. The additional steps to be taken to maintain data security should be given. **Personal data** must be disposed of in a safe and secure manner at the end of its retention period. If the data is stored in a: a) paper based format then shredding or disposal via a secure bin is recommended; or b) if it is stored in an electronic based format then deletion of the record or full anonymization of the data is recommended.If data/samples are NOT being disposed of, please justify this decision.*

Files will be removed come the project deadline – May 20th

8. FUNDING OF THE RESEARCH

8.1 HOW IS THIS WORK BEING FUNDED, IF IT IS EXTERNALLY FUNDED?

No funding.

8.2 PROJECT GRANT NUMBER *(If relevant and/or known – otherwise mark as N/A)*

N/A

8.3 DOES THE PROJECT REQUIRE APPROVAL BEFORE CONSIDERATION FOR FUNDING BY A GRANTING BODY?

YES or NO
…
NO

8.4.1 HOW WILL PARTICIPANTS BE INFORMED OF THE SOURCE OF THE FUNDING? *(e.g. included in the Plain Language Statement)*

N/A

8.5 DO THE FUNDERS OF THIS PROJECT HAVE A PERSONAL, FINANCIAL OR COMMERCIAL INTEREST IN ITS OUTCOME THAT MIGHT COMPROMISE THE INDEPENDENCE AND INTEGRITY OF THE RESEARCH, OR BIAS THE CONDUCT OR REPORTING OF THE RESEARCH, OR UNDULY DELAY OR OTHERWISE AFFECT THEIR PUBLICATION?

YES or NO
…
NO

(If YES, please specify how this conflict of interest will be addressed.)

9. PLAIN LANGUAGE STATEMENT *(Attach to this document. Approx. 400 words)*

A Plain Language Statement (PLS) should be used in all cases. This is written information in plain language that you will be providing to participants, outlining the nature of their involvement in the project and inviting their participation. The PLS should specifically describe what will be expected of participants, the risks and inconveniences for them, and other information relevant to their involvement. Please note that the language used must reflect the participant age group and corresponding comprehension level – if your participants have different comprehension levels (e.g. both adults and children) then separate forms should be prepared for each group. The PLS can be embedded in an email to which an online survey is attached, or handed/sent to individuals in advance of their consent being sought. See link to sample templates on the website: ***https://www.dcu.ie/researchsupport/ethicsapproval.shtml***

PLEASE CONFIRM WHETHER THE FOLLOWING ISSUES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED IN YOUR PLAIN LANGUAGE STATEMENT/ INFORMATION SHEET FOR PARTICIPANTS:

	YES or NO
Introductory Statement (PI and researcher names, school, title of the research)	**YES**
What is this research about?	**YES**
Why is this research being conducted?	**YES**
What will happen if the person decides to participate in the research study?	**YES**
How will their privacy be protected?	**YES**
How will the data be used and subsequently disposed of?	**YES**
What are the legal limitations to data confidentiality?	**YES**
What are the benefits of taking part in the research study (if any)?	**NO**
What are the risks of taking part in the research study?	**NO**
Confirmation that participants can change their mind at any stage and withdraw from the study	**YES**
How will participants find out what happens with the project?	**NO**
Contact details for further information (including SCEC contact details)	**YES**
Details relating to GDPR Compliance if Personal Data is being sought	**NO**

If any of these issues are marked NO, please justify their exclusion:

Benefits: There are none to the user
Risks: None
What happens next: Users will not be informed unless request about the research findings.
GDPR personal data: None will be sought

10. INFORMED CONSENT FORM *(Attach to this document. Approx. 300 words)*

In most cases where interviews or focus groups are taking place, an Informed Consent Form is required. This is an important document requiring participants to indicate their consent to participate in the study, and give their signature. If your participants are minors (under 18), it is best practice to provide them with an assent form, while their parents/guardians will be given the Informed Consent Form. In cases where an anonymous questionnaire is being used, it is enough to include a tick box in the questionnaire (underneath the information section for participant), where participants can indicate their consent.
See link to sample templates on the website: ***https://www.dcu.ie/researchsupport/ethicsapproval.shtml***

NB – IF AN INFORMED CONSENT FORM IS NOT BEING USED, THE REASON FOR THIS MUST BE JUSTIFIED HERE.

Informed Consent form will be included.

DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY

Plain Language Statement (approx. 400 words)

I. Introduction to the Research Study

Human activity recognition using wrist-mounted sensors based on symbolic aggregate approximation (SAX) and machine vision. The university department involved is the School of Computing and specifically the Insight Centre. The purpose of this research is to ascertain feedback from a group of willing candidates to offer feedback and direction for the application and its inherent design.

Human activity recognition (HAR) is an active area of research concerned with the classification. of human motion. Cameras are the gold standard used in this area, but they are proven to have scalability and privacy issues. HAR studies have also been conducted with wearable devices consisting of inertial sensors. Perhaps the most common wearable, smart watches, comprising of inertial and optical sensors, allow for scalable, non-obtrusive studies. We are seeking to simplify this wearable approach further by determining if wrist-mounted optical sensing, usually used for heart rate determination, can also provide useful data for relevant activity recognition.

This is a fourth-year project for the Computer Applications and Software Engineering degree ran in the School of Computing.

Investigators: Shane Creedon and Tomas Ward

II. Details of what involvement in the Research Study will require

Completion of 2 questionnaires based on the software quality, functionality, accessibility, usability.

III. Potential risks to participants from involvement in the Research Study (if greater than that encountered in everyday life)

None

IV. Benefits (direct or indirect) to participants from involvement in the Research Study

None

V. Advice as to arrangements to be made to protect confidentiality of data, including that confidentiality of information provided is subject to legal limitations

Confidentiality of recorded data will be kept between myself and my project supervisor (Tomas Ward). This confidentiality requirement for the research findings is only subject to the confines of the law.

VI. Advice as to whether or not data is to be destroyed after a minimum period

All gathered data will be disposed of and destroyed May 20th 2019.

VII. Statement that involvement in the Research Study is voluntary

Participation in the research study is entirely voluntary. Subjects can withdraw from the process at any time.

A Plain Language Statement should end with the following statement:

If participants have concerns about this study and wish to contact an independent person, please contact:

The Secretary, Dublin City University Research Ethics Committee, c/o Research and Innovation Support, Dublin City University, Dublin 9. Tel 01-7008000

DUBLIN CITY UNIVERSITY

Informed Consent Form (approx. 300 words)

I. Research Study Title

Title: "Human Activity Recognition using wrist-mounted sensors based on Symbolic Aggregate Approximation and Machine Vision"

Fourth-Year project ran by School of Computing.

Investigators: Shane Creedon and Tomas Ward

II. Clarification of the purpose of the research

Human activity recognition (HAR) is an active area of research concerned with the classification of human motion. Cameras are the gold standard used in this area, but they are proven to have scalability and privacy issues. HAR studies have also been conducted with wearable devices consisting of inertial sensors. Perhaps the most common wearable, smart watches, comprising of inertial and optical sensors, allow for scalable, non-obtrusive studies. We are seeking to simplify this wearable approach further by determining if wrist-mounted optical sensing, usually used for heart rate determination, can also provide useful data for relevant activity recognition.

III. Confirmation of particular requirements as highlighted in the Plain Language Statement

Requirements may include involvement in interviews, completion of questionnaire, audio/video-taping of events. Getting the participant to acknowledge requirements is preferable, e.g.

Participant – please complete the following (Circle Yes or No for each question)

I have read the Plain Language Statement (or had it read to me)	*Yes/No*
I understand the information provided	*Yes/No*
I have had an opportunity to ask questions and discuss this study	*Yes/No*
I have received satisfactory answers to all my questions	*Yes/No*

IV. Confirmation that involvement in the Research Study is voluntary

I may withdraw from the Research Study at any point.

V. Advice as to arrangements to be made to protect confidentiality of data, including that confidentiality of information provided is subject to legal limitations

I acknowledge that confidentiality of information cannot always be guaranteed by researchers and can only be protected within the limitations of the law.

VII. Signature:

I have read and understood the information in this form. My questions and concerns have been answered by the researchers, and I have a copy of this consent form. Therefore, I consent to take part in this research project

Participants Signature: _____

Name in Block Capitals: _____

Witness: _____

Date: _____