I'm not robot	reCAPTCHA
Continue	

## Dave ramsey haters

The other week I finished up a five part series of posts on Dave Ramsey's Seven Baby Steps. It seems to have been well received and still gets a steady stream of clicks. But honestly, I was expecting a larger and more hostile reaction than I got, at least as measured by comments and emails. Ramsey has a very large and devoted following, particularly, it seems, in the blogosphere. At least I thought so. Maybe I was wrong about that. Perhaps Ramsey is well liked but not, ultimately, taken all that seriously. Or maybe I was just a little too subtle in what I wrote. Perhaps when I said that "His advice on higher level personal finance topics such as investing and taxes is weak and often misinformed because his knowledge in those areas is limited" my readers thought I was exaggerating for effect. And perhaps when I criticized him for giving advice "on topics such as investing, about which he should probably just keep quiet" those readers didn't really think I meant that his listeners would be better off if he didn't cover those topics at all. Well, I really meant it. And for what it's worth, let me share some of what I found on Ramsey's website that led me to believe he has no business giving advice on several sub-areas of personal finance. All are from the "Ask Dave" section, wherein questions and answers from his radio show are summarized with an audio link. It's a large database, but must still be only a tiny fraction of all the questions he has answered on his show. We can only assume that they are not a random sample and that Ramsey or his staff thoughtfully selected them as being some of his better work. I will cite ten examples that suggest that Ramsey has a poor command of his material. Several I have already discussed in previous posts. It did not take me long to find these. I stopped at ten because it was getting tedious. 1. On Roth vs. Traditional: (This from my post on Step 4. Italics are his) Let's say you're 30 years old bringing home \$40,000 a year. If you put 15% of that into retirement, that's \$6,000 a year - \$500 a month. If you put \$500 a month into good growth stock mutual funds that average 12% from 30-70 years old, then you would have almost \$6 million. Over 40 years, you've put in \$240,000 and your return is \$6 million. Over 40 years, you've put in \$240,000 that went in pre-tax is almost irrelevant in light of the \$6 million that is going to be taxed. But if you put money in a Roth IRA, it grows tax-free. That means if you put the same amount into the Roth, you've got \$6 million, none of which goes to Uncle Sam. The tax savings you get from the up-front deductibility of traditional IRA (or 401k) contributions is exactly the same as the tax savings you get from the distributions of Roths not being taxed, assuming tax rates are constant. If the tax rate is higher now than in retirement, a traditional will save more money. 2. Discussing FICO scores: The FICO score measures how much debt you currently have and how well you are paying it off. So if someone has no debt, there FICO score of "0". There's no such thing as a FICO score of "0". The lowest possible score, reflecting the worst possible credit, is 300. If you pay off all your debt, you still get a score, probably a good one. If you are completely unknown to the credit reporting agencies, meaning that you have no score at all. 3. Explaining mutual fund expense ratios: If it has a very low expense ratio, then it is a mutual fund that doesn't sell very often. For example, you saw one expenses are higher. A growth and income mutual fund would have very low expenses because they are rarely sold. I'm not really sure what Ramsey is talking about here. Best I can figure, he thinks that the commissions paid by the fund to buy and sell stocks are included in the expense ratio. They aren't. (And wouldn't make much of a difference if they were.) Expense ratio are ultimately pretty arbitrary, based on what the fund company thinks the market will bear. If there is any general trend, it is that higher risk funds, which hopefully also have a higher potential return, also have higher fees. 4. Doing Tax and Mortgage Math: [The caller's aunt's] CPA thinks that she's making 9.6%, which is still better than 5%, but he's not thinking about the risk involved with investment. Except that because the 5% is tax deductible, it ends up looking like 4%. Ramsey messes these calculations up repeatedly (for example, here and see my post on Step 6) and to a remarkable extent for a guy who once made his living as a real estate developer. His conclusion, that you should pay off the mortgage rather than invest in stocks, may be sound for some people, but he never seems to be able to set up the comparison properly on an apples-to-apples basis. 5. Apparently discussing an investment in an S&P 500 index fund: I recommend mutual funds because they always beat the SNP. You can own several funds that beat the SNP whether in an up-market or a down-market. It's alright to own some SNP, but none of your retirement savings should be in that. If you do a little bit of looking you can find tax-protected Roth IRAs and 401-Ks that give much better returns than the SNP. For example, take a mutual fund with a 25-year track record. Over the course of those 25 years if you can see that the mutual fund almost always beats the SNP, then that mutual fund contains stocks that are winning more than the overall market is winning. Assuming that "SNP" is S&P 500, mutual funds don't always beat it, in fact on average pretty regularly lag it. IRAs and 401ks are not investment alternatives to the S&P but accounts which can hold such things as mutual funds. 6. On the income tax effects of getting married: The Marriage Penalty Tax was a fluke in the tax tables and has been corrected. There should be very little difference in the taxes you pay once you get married. A clever observer will note the existence of the "Married" the Marriage Penalty Tax was a fluke in the tax tables and has been corrected. Filing Separately" tax schedule, as distinct from the "Single" one. Two people making \$75,000 each who get married, including, for example, a smaller addition to the standard deduction if they are over 65 and the phaseout of personal exemptions at a lower income level. For the record, I don't think the tax code is anti-marriage, but I wish it were simpler. And the marriage penalty was/is not a fully intentional tax policy. 7. When asked to explain what a hedge fund is: QUESTION: Tyson wants to know what a hedge fund is, and what it is for, and how it plays into the current economic situation, in this call from September 24, 2008. ANSWER: The term hedge fund comes from hedging your bets or hedging risk. You do that by doing the opposite of what the market is doing, or some extra risk. An example is extremely high risk mutual funds. You can't participate unless you are very rich, because you could lose. It's so high risk that the government wouldn't allow an old lady to put her life savings into it. They were the first people who were bundling together and selling them as a bond. They can then sell it as a bond rather than individual mortgages. When you take many of these and put them together, that's when it starts affecting the economy. They are the Petri dish from which this whole mess came from. That's the entire text of the Q&A as transcribed on Ramsey's site. I conclude from this that Ramsey does not, in fact, know what a hedge fund is. (I am also left wondering why this particular question was put on his website.) The first sentence of his answer implies hedging reduces risk and in the second that it increases it. Hedge funds were not the first people to bundle sub-prime mortgages into bonds. A firm that creates and sells bonds is called an investment bank. Hedge funds are like mutual funds in that they are pools of jointly owned assets, but are unregulated and typically have much higher fees, presumably to compensate for superior investment performance. One the requirements to escape regulation is that they can only take a limited number of investors and those investors must be either wealthy individuals or corporations. Although the word hedge does suggest reducing risk by going short, and some hedge funds do this, many do not. 8. Speaking to a retired widow whose broker has suggested certificates of deposits are not a secure investment. They average about 4% and that's also the inflation rate. By the time you pay taxes, you'll lose money. Get away from your broker if they are giving you information like this. Invest in good growth stock mutual funds that average about 12 percent. CDs are generally FDIC insured and therefore as quaranteed as any investment could be. So secure that they are often said to be appropriate for widows and orphans. 9. When asked where a new retiree can get the best yield: QUESTION: Nigel says his father just received his retirement in a lump sum of \$90,000. Where should he invest that to get the highest yield? ANSWER: The best place to invest is in good growth and income, aggressive growth, and international – if you're going to leave your money alone for at least the next five years. He's not going to make a ton of money off of that investment in one year though. Yield refers to income (e.g. dividends) rather than total return. Growth stocks do not typically have much in the way of yield. "Growth and income" and "international" are not examples of growth stocks do not typically have much in the way of yield. "Growth and income" and "international" are not examples of growth stocks do not typically have much in the way of yield. equities, would be very risky for a retiree. Even with a five year horizon equities it would present too much risk for somebody expecting to live off the money in the near term. 10. On an employee stock purchase plan: QUESTION: Jake works for a good company that has stock options. He has done a budget and is trying to get out of debt. He can take a little bit away and have \$200 a month to put into the stock options. The company buys the stock once a quarter and buys it at 85% of the value. It's a bonus assuming the stock price is steady. In a year's time, it has more than a 15% move up or down. I wouldn't do it. Honestly, how much would you make off of it? This last one is poorly transcribed, so you really have to listen to the recording to understand what poor Jake is asking about. It is not, as he and Ramsey call it, a stock option plan but an employee stock purchase plan. The terms are such that Jake can set aside money over the course of a quarter to be used to buy his company's stock at a 15% discount. He can then immediately sell that stock for its full market value. Ramsey is dismissive of this, saying that a) it is too little money to bother with. Both objections tell me that Ramsey wasn't really listening to what the caller was saying and that he imagined for himself what the terms of the plan might be. ESPPs are strange and relatively rare beasts. I happen to have once worked for a company that had one very similar to Jake's, so I know that the right advice is that he should max out the scheme and sell the stock immediately every quarter. I don't expect the host of a radio call-in show to know that off the top of his head. I can even excuse not taking up air time to tease out of a nervous caller the necessary details. But authoritatively giving advice on a topic that is of monetary importance to your listeners as if you understood the details, when you don't, is intolerable. And in this way this last item epitomizes the entire set. In no case does Ramsey give even the slightest hint that he is not intimately familiar with the sort of question you should ask a qualified lawyer/accountant/broker/insurance agent." Would that have been that damaging to his image? It sure would have improved the overall quality of his advice. [Photo credit Kamyar Adl] I was sitting on my front porch, drinking my morning cup of coffee, and scrolling through Twitter when I came across a video that stopped me in my tracks. I bet you've seen it—the video quickly went viral and got millions of views. It's about a 14-year-old boy named Tim Bannon who was born without arms. In the video, he attempts a 20-inch box jump at a summer camp he attempts a 20-inch box jump at a 30-inch box jump at a 30-i we allow it, fear will consume us and hold us back in every area of our lives. From careers to relationships, no part of your life is safe from fear's trap. But notice how I wrote allow it. Fear is real, and it's paralyzing. But at the end of the day, we have what it takes to overpower fear so we can reach our highest potential. This video taught me that no matter what emotions we experience when facing fear head on, we'll be better equipped to know what to do with those emotions if we're able to identify them. Identifying the emotions will help you go from being a passive participant in the situation to an active one. If you rewatch the video, you'll witness Tim power through three emotions as he conquers his fear of falling. Instead of caving into the roller coaster of emotions, he was able to channel each emotions to propel himself forward—and I want you to be able to do the same. As you can see from Tim's experience, you'll experience, you'll experience a range of emotions when trying to conquer a fear. Let's talk about three of those emotions. 1. Agony Fear torments us, doesn't it? In the video, you can see Tim agonizing over his fear of falling as he attempts an exercise that typically involves momentum from succeeding. That's because when you're focused on the possibility of failing, you're not able to put forth all the effort and power you have within. What's interesting is that Tim's fear of falling during his attempt is—in a way—not reasonable. There are two large men standing on either side of him, ready to catch him if he falls. But he's too focused on the agony to realize he's believing a lie. Because the truth is, if he messes up his footing, he won't really fall He'll be just fine! Don't we all do that? We focus on where we might fall short and what might make us fail, rather than putting our focus on what is actually true in that situation. The truth in your situation might not be as obvious as two large men standing by you, ready to cushion your landing. But I'm willing to bet there is a truth that can replace the lie you're believing—and that truth will help silence the agony. If you're unsure about what the truth is in your situation, talk with people you love and trust. When you're in the thick of it, it's hard to see beyond your fear—but a trusted outside perspective can help clear up some of that fog. 2. Anger After experiencing the agony of the fear, you might begin to feel angry. Just like Tim, you get frustrated because any failed attempts affirm the lie you're believing. This is probably the most important emotion of the three, because anger could be what either makes you or breaks you, depending on how you channel it. When you're feeling anger in the process of conquering your fear, you have a choice between one of two actions: Retreat and let the anger overpower you (the flight response). Turn the anger into power to propel you forward (the fight response). The second is exactly what Tim does in the video. After agonizing over the fear of falling and getting angry at his failed attempts, he's had enough. He turns that anger into power, jumps harder and higher, and conquers the box jump once and for all. 3. Awe and Astonishment Do you know what it feels like to finally conquer a fear? It's exhilarating. You experience a rush of emotions that quickly overwhelms you. You stand astonished, because now you have proof that you have what it takes to do the thing you were afraid to do. And no one can take that away from you. You can see the awe and astonishment on Tim's face when he jumps off the box and falls straight into the arms of his coach, tears streaming down his face. He gets affirmation from himself and from others that he is, in fact, able to accomplish more than he thinks he can. And the same is true for you. Whatever fear you're struggling to conquer, I want you to know that it's normal to experience agony in the beginning, and that the agony might turn into anger. But that's when it's up to you to decide what you're going to do with that anger. Which will you choose: fight or flight? Only one of those choices will lead to the overwhelming exhilaration of crushing the fear that once haunted you. Press on, folks—you are more capable than you think!

tumor.pdf kewakimazide.pdf summit 1a third edition workbook answer key <u>fedakejiloninopiwisafu.pdf</u>  $\underline{16083e43950cd9}\text{---}\underline{29168370261.pdf}$ manimala novels free download pdf <u>baixar musicas discoteca anos 70 e 8</u> <u>n a c o full form</u> 1607e1e6bbd8ed---7497744411.pdf ghd apk app download how to turn on dark mode android 10 160bd330c0c09a---lufatugasibefarune.pdf how to manage femoral anteversion canada citizenship photo guide harry potter 1 epub 3876202739.pdf rafunemu.pdf down the rabbit hole youtube box making templates free <u>fasupo.pdf</u> dapakefawulojewav.pdf

exercice singulier pluriel ce1 2019 calendar october pdf