DEAKIN BUSINESS SCHOOL

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND BUSINESS ANALYTICS



MIS784 Marketing Analytics

Assignment One

Background

This is an **individual** assignment, which requires you to analyse a given data set, interpret, draw conclusions from your analysis, and then convey your conclusions in a written report to a person with limited knowledge of marketing analytics.

Percentage of final grade	20%
Due date	Monday 19 th August 2019 11:59pm

The assignment **must be submitted by the due date electronically in CloudDeakin**. When submitting electronically, you must check that you have submitted the work correctly by following the instructions provided in CloudDeakin. Please note that we will NOT accept any hard copies or part of the assignment submitted after the deadline or via Email.

Extensions of time are not permitted. 5% will be deducted from the 20 marks allocated to this assessment task for each day or part of the day that the assessment is late, up to five days. Penalties include weekend days. Where work is submitted more than five days after the due date, the task will not be marked and the student will receive 0% for the task.

The assignment uses the *MIS784 Assignment 1 Data.xlsx* file, which can be downloaded from CloudDeakin.

Assurance of Learning

This assignment assesses following Graduate Learning Outcomes and related Unit Learning Outcomes:

Graduate Learning Outcome (GLO)	Unit Learning Outcome (ULO)
GLO1: Discipline-specific knowledge and capabilities:	ULO1: To apply analytics models to a wide range of
appropriate to the level of study related to a	marketing activities
discipline or profession.	ULO2: Use computer software to analyse
GLO3: Digital literacy: using technologies to find, use	consumers' data and understand the
and disseminate information	strength and limitations of each software
GLO5: Problem Solving: creating solutions to	ULO3: Analyse and interpret the output of a range of
authentic (real world and ill define) problems.	Customer analytics models in
	order to improve the decision making process
	ULO4: Demonstrate comprehensive understanding
	of Customer analytics models

Feedback

Prior to submission

Students can seek assistance from the teaching staff to ascertain whether the assignment conforms to submission guidelines.

Cloud

1 - Mondays 6:30-7:30pm (moderator: Shadi)

2 - Wednesdays 8-9pm (moderator: Shadi)

On-Campus

1 - Tuesdays 3:30-4:30pm room EA2.24 (moderator: Shadi)

2 - Thursdays 6-7pm room EA2.25 (moderator: Hedieh)

3 - Fridays 4:30-5:30pm room EA2.25 (moderator: Zoleikha)

After submission

Your assignment feedback will be returned in a rubric via CloudDeakin with an overall mark together with comments. In order to understand any areas of improvement, students are expected to refer, and compare, their answers to the suggested solutions.

Case Study

Cereal bar manufacturer Carman's kitchen offers premium cereal bars in five different flavours under the brand name "Carman's". Despite being a small firm, Carman's kitchen has managed to get about 20% market share in the cereal bars category, which is dominated by larger players such as Kellogs and Uncle Tobys. Carman's kitchen is pursuing an aggressive growth strategy and is planning to use price promotions extensively. The company has hired you as its marketing consultant to help identify the best price promotion strategy to pursue. Carman's has identified four different price promotion strategies that it can offer. Details of these strategies are given in the table below. Regular price of Carman's cereal bar is \$5 and its regular margin (contribution) is \$3. Carman's has to cover any additional cost that the retailer will incur in running the promotion.

Strategy	Promotional price	Weekly retailer cost per store
10% price reduction	\$4.5	0
20% price reduction	\$4	0
10% price reduction with end of aisle display	\$4.5	\$300
20% price reduction with feature in weekly catalogue	\$4	\$1000

To evaluate which of these strategies is effective, Carman's conducted field experiments in four stores (one strategy per store) of a major Australian supermarket chain during the past 26 weeks. In these field experiments, one of the four promotions was offered in weeks 11-14 and the category sales and Carman's brand market share were observed for 10 weeks prior to promotion and 12 weeks after promotion. Post-promotion dip was observed for 2 weeks following the promotional period, after which sales returned to its baseline value or persisted higher than the baseline value. The provided Excel file contains the weekly category sales and brand market share for the 10 week pre-promotion period, 4 week promotion period (highlighted in light blue) and two week post-promotion period (highlighted in dark blue). Long-term weekly average category sales and brand market shares (evaluated during 10 weeks after post-promotion dip period) are also given in the data. You can assume that the four experimental stores are comparable in terms of their store characteristics as well as the demographic characteristics of its customers. Your task is to evaluate the net impact of promotion taking into account any retailer's cost and long-term impact on category sales and brand market share; and recommend the best promotion strategy for Carman's. Discuss your intuition for the observed results and provide justification for your recommendation.

Submission

The assignment consists of **two** parts: Analysis and Report.

You are required to submit both your written report (approx. 1500 words) and analysis files (conducted in MS-Excel).

Analysis

Students are expected to complete the analysis task in MS-Excel. The analysis file is expected to be a part of your submission.

Before submitting your analysis make sure it is logically organised and any incorrect or unnecessary output has been removed. In your Excel file all calculated figures are expected to be tied to appropriate Excel functions.

Note: Give your analysis files an appropriate name such as MIS784_A1_studentID.xlsx.

Report

The report should be written based on your analysis output. As a general guide, you are expected to start your report with a brief introduction and after explaining your methodology and interpreting your analysis findings, conclude the report by a recommendations section.

Note: Name the report with an appropriate file name such as MIS784_A1_studentID.docx.

Criteria	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good	Very Good	Excellent
0.1101.10		outlieraster ,	0000	10., 2004	
Analysis:	0.7	1.6	1.9	2.2	3
Analytical results	No analysis is presented or	Consistently, independently and skilfully	Consistently, independently and	Uses appropriate data analysis	Uses most suitable data analysis
(Marks: 3)	Irrelevant/inappropriate techniques	uses appropriate data analysis	skilfully uses appropriate data analysis	techniques independently,	techniques independently, efficiently
GLO1 and GLO 3	have been used to analyse the data	techniques, demonstrating some	techniques, demonstrating good	efficiently and effectively, and	and effectively, and demonstrating a
	with many errors in the analysis	expertise and specialised skills	expertise and specialised skills	demonstrating a consistently	consistently high levels of expertise
				high levels of expertise and	and specialised skills.
				specialised skills.	
	0-1.4	1.5-1.7	1.8-2	2.1-2.3	2.4-3
Report:	1	2.2	2.6	3	4
Selection of analytical	Proposes no or inadequate solutions to	Proposes an acceptable creative	Proposes a good creative solution to	Proposes a very good creative,	Proposes an exemplary creative,
techniques and evaluation of	existing and/or new situations in	solutions to existing and/or new	existing and/or new situations in	novel solution to existing	novel solution to existing and/or new
the methodology for improving	professional practice and/or further	situations in professional practice and/or	professional practice and/or further	and/or new situations in	situations in professional practice
marketing decision-making	learning that indicate comprehension	further learning that indicates	learning that indicates comprehension	professional practice and/or	and/or further learning that indicates
(Marks: 4)	of a complex problem. Solutions do not	satisfactory comprehension of a complex	of a complex problem and reflects	further learning that indicates	a deep comprehension of a complex
GLO1 and GLO5	consistently reflect any intellectual	problem and reflects proficient	expert judgement of the pros and cons	a comprehension of a complex	problem and reflects an expert and
	independence, adaptability and	judgement of the pros and cons of the	of the proposed solution. The proposed solution reflect a high level	problem and reflects an	authoritative judgement of the pros and cons of the proposed solution
	integrity.	proposed solution.	of intellectual independence and	authoritative judgement of the pros and cons of the solution	Solutions reflect an exceedingly high
			autonomy.	The proposed solution reflect a	level of intellectual independence.
			autonomy.	very high level of intellectual	level of intellectual independence.
				independence.	
	0-1.9	2-2.3	2.4-2.7	2.8-3.1	3.2-4
Report:	1.2	2.7	3.2	3.7	5
Interpretation of analysis output	Provides no or a limited interpretation	Provides an acceptable interpretation of	Provides a good interpretation of the	Provides a very well	Provides an excellent interpretation
from a marketing perspective	of the analysis output and no logical	the analysis output and satisfactory	analysis output and reasonable	interpretation of the analysis	of the analysis output and insightful
(Marks: 5)	interpretations from a marketing stand	interpretations from a marketing stand	interpretations from a marketing stand	output and thorough	interpretations from a marketing
GLO1 and GLO5	point	point	point	interpretations from a	stand point
				marketing stand point	
	0-2.4	2.5-2.9	3-3.4	3.5-3.9	4-5
Report:	1.2	2.7	3.2	3.7	5
Develop management	Provides no or limited guidance as to	Provides satisfactory guidance as to how	Provides expert guidance as to how	Provides very good	Provides outstandingly authoritative
recommendations	how solutions might be skilfully and	solutions might be skilfully and	solutions might be skilfully and	authoritative and expert	and expert guidance as to how novel
(Marks: 5)	creatively implemented in a manner	creatively implemented in a manner that	creatively implemented in a manner	guidance as to how novel	solutions might be skilfully and
GLO5	that addresses multiple contextual	satisfactorily addresses multiple	that adequately addresses multiple	solutions might be skilfully and	creatively implemented in a manner
	factors of the problem.	contextual factors of the problem.	contextual factors of the problem.	creatively implemented in a	that excellently addresses multiple
				manner that addresses	contextual factors of the problem.
				sufficiently multiple contextual	
		2522	224	factors of the problem.	
	0-2.4	2.5-2.9	3-3.4	3.5-3.9	4-5

Report:	0.7	1.6	1.9	2.2	3
Quality of writing, Report	Always or mostly uses inappropriate	Moderately uses appropriate, relevant,	Mostly uses appropriate, relevant, and	Consistently uses appropriate,	Consistently and effectively uses
formatting & Table & Figures to	and/or irrelevant content to develop	and compelling content to illustrate	compelling content to illustrate	relevant, and compelling	appropriate, relevant, and
support conclusions	and explore basic ideas and presents an	mastery of the subject or topic and	mastery of the subject or topic and	content to illustrate a mastery	compelling content to illustrate a
(Marks: 3)	unacceptable exposition of complex	presents a clear, coherent, independent	presents a clear, coherent,	of the subject or topic and	mastery of the subject or topic and
GLO2	knowledge and ideas.	and professional exposition of complex	independent and professional	generates original knowledge,	generates original knowledge,
		knowledge and ideas	exposition of complex knowledge and	making a substantial	making a very substantial
			ideas	contribution to a discipline or	contribution to a discipline or area of
				area of professional practice.	professional practice.
	0-1.4	1.5-1.7	1.8-2	2.1-2.3	2.4-3
Overall Score	Fail (N)	Pass (P)	Credit (C)	Distinction (D)	High Distinction (HD)
	0 – 9.5	10-11.5	12-13.5	14-15.5	16 - 20