

# Practical aspects of deep learning

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

90%

1. If you have 10,000,000 examples, how would you split the train/dev/test set?

1 / 1 point

- ☐ 33% train .33% dev .33% test
- ☒ 98% train .1% dev .1% test
- ☐ 60% train .20% dev .20% test

✓ Correct

2. The dev and test set should:

1 / 1 point

- ☒ Come from the same distribution
- ☐ Come from different distributions
- ☐ Be identical to each other (same  $(x,y)$  pairs)
- ☐ Have the same number of examples

✓ Correct

3. If your Neural Network model seems to have high bias, what of the following would be promising things to try? (Check all that apply.)

1 / 1 point

- ☒ Increase the number of units in each hidden layer

✓ Correct

- ☒ Make the Neural Network deeper

✓ Correct

- ☐ Get more test data
- ☐ Add regularization
- ☐ Get more training data

4. You are working on an automated check-out kiosk for a supermarket, and are building a classifier for apples, bananas and oranges. Suppose your classifier obtains a training set error of 0.5%, and a dev set error of 7%. Which of the following are promising things to try to improve your classifier? (Check all that apply.)

1 / 1 point

☒ Increase the regularization parameter  $\lambda$

✓ Correct

☐ Decrease the regularization parameter  $\lambda$

☒ Get more training data

✓ Correct

☐ Use a bigger neural network

5. What is weight decay?

1 / 1 point

- ☐ The process of gradually decreasing the learning rate during training.
- ☒ A regularization technique (such as L2 regularization) that results in gradient descent shrinking the weights on every iteration.
- ☐ Gradual corruption of the weights in the neural network if it is trained on noisy data.
- ☐ A technique to avoid vanishing gradient by imposing a ceiling on the values of the weights.

✓ Correct

6. What happens when you increase the regularization hyperparameter  $\lambda$ ?

1 / 1 point

- ☒ Weights are pushed toward becoming smaller (closer to 0)
- ☐ Weights are pushed toward becoming bigger (further from 0)
- ☐ Doubling  $\lambda$  should roughly result in doubling the weights
- ☐ Gradient descent taking bigger steps with each iteration (proportional to  $\lambda$ )

✓ Correct

7. With the inverted dropout technique, at test time:

1 / 1 point

- ☐ You apply dropout (randomly eliminating units) but keep the  $1/\text{keep\_prob}$  factor in the calculations used in training.
- ☒ You do not apply dropout (do not randomly eliminate units) and do not keep the  $1/\text{keep\_prob}$  factor in the calculations used in training
- ☐ You apply dropout (randomly eliminating units) and do not keep the  $1/\text{keep\_prob}$  factor in the calculations used in training
- ☐ You do not apply dropout (do not randomly eliminate units), but keep the  $1/\text{keep\_prob}$  factor in the calculations used in training.

✓ Correct

8. Increasing the parameter keep\_prob from (say) 0.5 to 0.6 will likely cause the following: (Check the two that apply)

1 / 1 point

☐ Increasing the regularization effect

☒ Reducing the regularization effect

✓ Correct

☐ Causing the neural network to end up with a higher training set error

☒ Causing the neural network to end up with a lower training set error

✓ Correct

9. Which of these techniques are useful for reducing variance (reducing overfitting)? (Check all that apply.)

0 / 1 point

☐ Exploding gradient

☒ Dropout

✓ Correct

☒ L2 regularization

✓ Correct

9. Which of these techniques are useful for reducing variance (reducing overfitting)? (Check all that apply.)

0 / 1 point

☐ Exploding gradient

☒ Dropout

✓ Correct

☒ L2 regularization

✓ Correct

☐ Data augmentation

☐ Xavier initialization

☐ Vanishing gradient

☐ Gradient Checking

You didn't select all the correct answers

10. Why do we normalize the inputs  $x$ ?

1 / 1 point

- ☐ It makes the parameter initialization faster
- ☐ It makes it easier to visualize the data
- ☐ Normalization is another word for regularization—it helps to reduce variance
- ☒ It makes the cost function faster to optimize

✓ Correct