Storeya Shoh 6000 42100 43 A1 Comps AOA

Assignment - 1

with input size

Of Asymptonic Notations are a mathematical tool to find time or space complexity of an algorithm without implementing it in a phogramming language It is a way of describing a major component of the cost of the entire algorithm. There are 3 notations: (a) Big oh (0) (b) Big Omego (s) (c) Big theta (D) > The order of growth refers to reate at which the resources suguired by an algo increase as the size of input increases. It allows us to compare performance of different got algorithme and to make predictions about how the algo will scale as soze of input increases > Afficiency dasses are a way of describing the performance or time complexity of an algo on in terms of growth scale of its susource usage. Some examples are (a) O (logn): Logarithmic time. It a running time grows logabithmically with its input size (6) O(n): Linear kunning time grows linearly with input size (C) O(n2): quadratic running time grows quadratically

Det Karatsuba algorithm is a fast multiplication algo for long integers. It is a divide k conquer algo. The naive algo has a hunning time of $O(n^2)$ while this has running time of $O(n^{1022}) \propto O(n^{1.593})$ Karatsuba stated that if we have to multiply 2 n-digit numbers \approx and \approx .

FOR EDUCATIONAL USE

Sundaram

numbers can be prepresented as super and y, Systis alaker x=a*B+6 askero Ca, E, Com Y = c*O+d where a, b, c, of are similar and b & D are powers of to such that B < no. of digits in 21/2 y D is largest power 10 such that $D \leq no \text{ of digits in } y/2$ $xy = (a^*B + b)^* \in (c^*D + d)$ = a*c*B*D+(a+d+6*c)*B+6*d Now instead of separating: 20 = b*d; Z, = (a+b)*(c+d); Z, = a*c Thus x + y = Z2 + B * D + (Z, -Z1-Z0) B + Z0 49: X=1234 4:5678 2 = 12 + 100 + 34 y = 5 + 100 + 78 2 = 34 + 78 = 2652 $Z_1 = (12 + 34) + (56 + 78) = 4992$ 22: 12 * 56 = 672 . x+y: 172+10000+ (4992-672-2652) 100+2652 7000052 Greedy Dynamic -> Optimizing recursive back tracking solution -> Choosing best option for best parofit -> Teme complexity as polynomial Time complexity is polynomial -> More effectent → less efficient > Always gives of timal solution Pro guarantee of ophinal solution > :49: Fractional Frapsack -4:0/1 Knapsack

04]	All pain	shortest	path P.	Goblem "	involves	finding	Shoute	st
J		ween all						
		De de	paus	Clark	1 1 200	a/ // .n	100	
	graph.	Dne such	a go i	s + loya	o way	chall .	(90	
	computes	shoulest	path	batwee	n all p	rais in D	(v^2)	imes
	some O	(V^2) 50	ace Es	mplexo	ty			
		TO 4	0	2	0'=	0		8
		- 00				0	12	5
			0	00		5 9		13
	7					000	7	
	4	100 00	7	0				
	*	,			2			7
	1) 2 =	0 4	16	8	D 3 =	0 4	16	8
		\sim n	12	5		17 0	12	5
		5 9	0	13		5 9	0	13
					,	12 16	7	0
		L00 00	7	0	L	12 10	<i>T</i>	
				7				
	D, =	0 4	15	8				
	,	112 0	12	5				
		6 9	(2	13			4	
		7	(7					
		12 1+	<i>+</i>					
			*;		<u> </u>			

Sundaram®

Os) $T(n) = T(\frac{n}{3}) + T(\frac{2n}{3}) + n^2$ > 1c Cost of each coursesponding division of thee isn? Value of not at level 1 = 2 1/3 2 . K = log k = log 3/2 M Total cost: kn2 = logn.n2 = n2 log3/2 1. Potal time complexity T(n) = O(n 2 logs, n)