

2) a) Kernel regression should satisfy  
 $\int K(x) dx = 1$  and  $K(x) = K(-x)$   
 $K(x, x^*) = \exp\left(-\frac{\|x - x^*\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$

Any smoother satisfies

$$\hat{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

for kernel smoother the  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i$  is replaced by  
 $\sum_{i=1}^n K(x, x_i)$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i, x) \cdot y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i, x)}$$

Here

$$l^T(x) = \frac{K(x_i, x)}{\sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i, x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{y} = l^T(x) y$$

$\Rightarrow$  kernel regression is a linear smoother

2) (b) An optimal  $w$  should make the same no. of (+)ve and (-)ve errors. For a linear smoother, the weights ' $w$ ' must be a linear function ' $y$ '. Which when we try to minimise the absolute difference, the minimum error is obtained when ' $w$ ' is taken as the median of ' $y$ ' values (Given in hint).

Since median cannot be written as a function of ' $y$ ', it cannot be a linear smoother.

eg: For any value  $x_i = 3$  when we have multiple  $y$  values like 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. The best split is obtained by drawing line through 3 ( $y = 3$ ). This ' $w$ ' changes when the ' $y$ ' values are changed.

classmate

$\therefore$  No, it is not a linear smoother.

PAGE

--	--	--

(c) Yes it is a linear smoother. The vector

$$l(x) = \frac{I(x_j \in B_i)}{|B_i|}$$

$$\text{as } \hat{y} = \frac{1}{|B_k|} \sum_{i: x \in B_k} y_i$$

//  
 $l(x)$