

1 Formal Development

Lemma 1 (Value inversion). *Inversion lemma for values:*

1. If $v : \text{uint}$, then $v = n$
2. If $v : \text{bool}$, then $v = \top$ or $v = \perp$
3. If $v : \sigma[n]$, then $v = [c_i]_n$ and $c_i : \sigma$

Lemma 2 (Consistency of source type and target type). *If $\psi \sim \tau$, then one of the following holds:*

1. $\psi = \sigma$ and $\tau = \sigma^\ell$.
2. $\psi = \sigma[n]$ and $\tau = \sigma^\ell[n]$.

Lemma 3 (Consistency of type environment and source runtime environment). *If $\Gamma \sim \rho$ and $\Gamma(x) = \tau$, then $\rho(x) = v$ s.t. $v : \psi$ and $\psi \sim \tau$.*

Lemma 4 (Compilation of source environment). *If $\Gamma \vdash \rho \hookrightarrow \tilde{\rho}; \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2$ and $\Gamma(x) = \tau$, then one of the following holds:*

1. $\tau = \sigma^{\mathcal{P}}$, $\rho(x) = c$, and $\tilde{\rho}(x) = c$.
2. $\tau = \sigma^m$, $\rho(x) = c$, $\tilde{\rho}(x) = r$, and $(\hat{\rho}_1[r], \hat{\rho}_2[r]) = \mathcal{E}_m(c)$.
3. $\tau = \sigma^{\mathcal{P}}[n]$, $\rho(x) = [c_i]_n$, and $\tilde{\rho}(x) = [c_i]_n$.
4. $\tau = \sigma^m[n]$, $\rho(x) = [c_i]_n$, $\tilde{\rho}(x) = [r_i]_n$, $(\hat{\rho}_1[r_i], \hat{\rho}_2[r_i]) = \mathcal{E}_m(c_i)$

Lemma 5 (Soundness of scalar expressions). *If*

1. $\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma^\ell \rightsquigarrow \tilde{e}$
2. $\Gamma \sim \rho$
3. $\Gamma \vdash \rho \hookrightarrow \tilde{\rho}; \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2$

Then

- (a) $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow v$
- (b) $v : \sigma$
- (c) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{e} \Downarrow \tilde{v}; \kappa$

where either $\ell = \mathcal{P}$, $\tilde{v} = v$, and $\kappa = \cdot$

or $\exists r, m. \ell = m$, $\tilde{v} = r$, $\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \vdash \kappa \mapsto \hat{\rho}'_1, \hat{\rho}'_2; \cdot$, and $\mathcal{D}_m(\hat{\rho}'_1[r], \hat{\rho}'_2[r]) = v$.

Proof. Proof by induction on the derivation (1), case analysis on the last rule.

Case S_CONS: $e = c$, $\sigma = \delta(c)$, $\ell = \mathcal{P}$, and $\tilde{e} = c$.

- (a) follows from SE_CONST.
- (b) follows from V_CONS.
- (c) follows from EE_CONST with $\kappa = \cdot$.

And first case of either holds.

Case S_VAR: $e = x$, $\sigma^\ell = \tau$, $\Gamma(x) = \tau$, and $\tilde{e} = x$.

We consider two subcases. First when $\ell = \mathcal{P}$.

Using Lemma 4, we get:

- (4) $\rho(x) = c$
- (5) $\tilde{\rho}(x) = c$
- (a) follows from SE_VAR.
- (b) follows from Lemma 3.
- (c) follows from EE_VAR.

And first case of either holds.

Second subcase when $\ell = m$.

(a) and (b) follow from Lemma 4 and Lemma 3 as above.

(c) follows choosing $\tilde{v} = r$, where r is from Lemma 4 (2).

And second case of either holds, with $\kappa = \cdot$, $\hat{\rho}'_1 = \hat{\rho}_1$, $\hat{\rho}'_2 = \hat{\rho}_2$, and inverse of encryption and decryption.

Case S_PADD: $e = e_1 + e_2$, $\sigma = \text{uint}$, $\ell = \mathcal{P}$, $\tilde{e} = \tilde{e}_1 +_{\mathcal{P}} \tilde{e}_2$.

Applying I.H. on the two premises of S_PADD, we get:

- (4) $\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1$
- (5) $v_1 : \text{uint}$
- (6) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{e} \Downarrow \tilde{v}; \kappa_1$, where $\kappa_1 = \cdot$ and $\tilde{v}_1 = v_1$
- (7) $\rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2$
- (8) $v_2 : \text{uint}$
- (9) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{e} \Downarrow \tilde{v}; \kappa_2$, where $\kappa_2 = \cdot$ and $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2$

Using Lemma 1 on (5) and (8):

- (10) $v_1 = n_1$
- (11) $v_2 = n_2$
- (a) follows from SE_ADD with premises (4) and (7), and (10) and (11).
- (b) follows from V_CONS.
- (c) follows from EE_PADD with premises (6) and (9), and (10) and (11).

And first case of either holds.

Case S_SADD: $e = e_1 + e_2$, $\sigma = \text{uint}$, $\ell = \mathcal{A}$, $\tilde{e} = \tilde{e}_1 +_{\mathcal{A}} \tilde{e}_2$.

Applying I.H. on the two premises of S_SADD, we get:

- (4) $\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1$
- (5) $v_1 : \text{uint}$
- (6) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{e}_1 \Downarrow \tilde{v}_1; \kappa_1$
- (7) $\tilde{v}_1 = r_1$
- (8) $\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \vdash \kappa_1 \mapsto \hat{\rho}'_1, \hat{\rho}'_2; \cdot$
- (9) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}(\hat{\rho}'_1[r_1], \hat{\rho}'_2[r_1]) = v_1$
- (10) $\rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2$
- (11) $v_2 : \text{uint}$
- (12) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{e}_2 \Downarrow \tilde{v}_2; \kappa_2$

- (13) $\tilde{v}_2 = r_2$
 - (14) $\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \vdash \kappa_2 \mapsto \hat{\rho}'_1, \hat{\rho}'_2; \cdot$
 - (15) $\mathcal{D}_A(\hat{\rho}'_1[r_2], \hat{\rho}'_2[r_2]) = v_2$
- Using Lemma 1 on (5) and (11):
- (16) $v_1 = n_1$
 - (17) $v_2 = n_2$
 - (a) follows from SE_ADD with premises (4) and (12), and (16) and (17).
 - (b) follows from V_CONS.
 - (c) follows with $\tilde{v} = r_3$, and $\kappa = \kappa_1, \kappa_2, \oplus(r_1, r_2, r_3)$.
- We now need to prove the second case of either. □

Lemma 6 (Soundness of array expressions). *If*

- 1. $\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma^\ell[n] \rightsquigarrow \tilde{e}$
- 2. $\Gamma \sim \rho$
- 3. $\Gamma \vdash \rho \hookrightarrow \tilde{\rho}; \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2$

Then

- (a) $\rho \vdash e \downarrow [c_i]_n$
- (b) $[c_i]_n : \sigma[n]$
- (c) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{e} \Downarrow \tilde{v}; \kappa$

where either $\tilde{v} = [c_i]_n$ and $\kappa = \cdot$

or $\exists r_i, m. \tilde{v} = [r_i]_n, \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \vdash \kappa \mapsto \hat{\rho}'_1, \hat{\rho}'_2; \cdot$, and $\forall i. \mathcal{D}_m(\hat{\rho}'_1[r_i], \hat{\rho}'_2[r_i]) = c_i$.

Proof. Proof by Induction on (1). □

Lemma 7 (Target semantics correspondence). *If:*

- 1. $\Gamma \vdash s \rightsquigarrow \tilde{s} \mid \Gamma'$
- 2. $\Gamma \sim \rho$
- 3. $\Gamma \vdash \rho \hookrightarrow \tilde{\rho}; \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2$
- 4. $\rho \vdash s \downarrow \rho'; O$

then:

- (a) $\tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{s} \Longrightarrow \tilde{\rho}'; \kappa$
- (b) $\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \vdash \kappa \mapsto \hat{\rho}'_1, \hat{\rho}'_2; O$

Lemma 8 (Soundness of source semantics). *If:*

$$1. \Gamma \vdash s \rightsquigarrow \tilde{s} \mid \Gamma'$$

$$2. \Gamma \sim \rho$$

$$\text{then, } \rho \vdash s \downarrow \rho'; O$$

Theorem 9 (Soundness). *If:*

$$1. \Gamma \vdash s \rightsquigarrow \tilde{s} \mid \Gamma'$$

$$2. \Gamma \sim \rho$$

$$3. \Gamma \vdash \rho \hookrightarrow \tilde{\rho}; \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2$$

then:

$$(a) \rho \vdash s \downarrow \rho'; O$$

$$(b) \tilde{\rho} \vdash \tilde{s} \implies \tilde{\rho}'; \kappa$$

$$(c) \hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2 \vdash \kappa \longmapsto \tilde{\rho}'_1, \tilde{\rho}'_2; O$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 7 and 8. □