

Assignment Solutions

I Time Complexity Calculations

(a) Code Snippet 1

Outer loop: $i = n / 2, i > 1, i /= 2$ runs $O(\log n)$.

Middle loop: $j = 2, j \leq n, j *= 4$ runs $O(\log n)$.

Inner loop: $k = 0, k \leq j, k += 3$ runs $O(\log j)$.

Combined complexity: $O(\log n) \times O(\log n) \times O(\log n) = O((\log n)^3)$.

(b) Code Snippet 2

First set of loops: $i = n, i > 1, i--$ and $j = 2, j \leq n, j++$ runs $O(n \cdot n)$
 $= O(n^2)$.

Second set of loops: Similar to (a), runs $O((\log n)^3)$.

Final complexity: $O(n^2 + (\log n)^3) = O(n^2)$.

2. Modifications to Binary Search

(a) Return First Index

```
def binary_search_first(arr, key):
```

```
    low, high, result = 0, len(arr) - 1, -1
```

```
    while low <= high:
```

```
        mid = (low + high) // 2
```

```
        if arr[mid] == key:
```

```
            result = mid
```

```
            high = mid - 1
```

```
        elif arr[mid] < key:
```

```
            low = mid + 1
```

```
    else:
```

```
        high = mid - 1
```

```
    return result
```

(b) Return First Index and Count

```
def binary_search_count(arr, key):
```

```
    def find_first(arr, key):
```

```
        low, high, result = 0, len(arr) - 1, -1
```

```
        while low <= high:
```

```
            mid = (low + high) // 2
```

```
            if arr[mid] == key:
```

```
                result = mid
```

```
                high = mid - 1
```

```
            elif arr[mid] < key:
```

```
                low = mid + 1
```

```
            else:
```

```
                high = mid - 1
```

```
        return result
```

```
def findLast(arr, key):
```

```
    low, high, result = 0, len(arr) - 1, -1
```

```
    while low <= high:
```

```
        mid = (low + high) // 2
```

```
        if arr[mid] == key:
```

```
            result = mid
```

```
            low = mid + 1
```

```
        elif arr[mid] < key:
```

```
            low = mid + 1
```

```
        else:
```

```
            high = mid - 1
```

```
    return result
```

```
first = findFirst(arr, key)
```

```
last = findLast(arr, key)
```

```
if first == -1:
```

```
    return (-1, 0)
```

```
return (first, last - first + 1)
```

3. Binary Search Execution

Given,

Array with

Index: 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

Values: 23, 2, 19, 3, 7, 11, 5, 13

Target Value: $T = 2$

Step 1:

$$L = 0, R = 7$$

$$m = (L + R) // 2 = (0 + 7) // 2 = 3$$

$$A[m] = 7$$

Since $A[m] > T$, move to the left half: $R = m - 1 = 2$

Step 2:

$$L = 0, R = 2$$

$$m = (L + R) // 2 = (0 + 2) // 2 = 1$$

$$A[m] = 2$$

Since $A[m] = T$, move to the left half: $R = m - 1 = 0$

Step 3.

$$L = 0, R = 0$$

$$m = (L + R) // 2 = (0 + 0) // 2 = 0$$

$$A[m] = 2$$

Since $A[m] = T$, target is found at index 0.

Therefore,

Sorted Array: $[2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 19, 23]$

Index of $T = 2$: 0

The binary search will successfully find $T = 2$ in the sorted array.

4. Find Maximum in Wave Sequence

(i) def find_wave_max(arr):

low, high = 0, len(arr) - 1

while low < high:

mid = (low + high) // 2

if arr[mid] > arr[mid + 1]:

high = mid

else:

low = mid + 1

return arr[low]

(ii) Time Complexity: $O(\log n)$.

At each step, calculate $\text{mid} = (\text{low} + \text{high}) // 2$, then it compares $\text{arr}[\text{mid}]$ with its neighbors. If $\text{arr}[\text{mid}] > \text{arr}[\text{mid} + 1]$, move left: $\text{high} = \text{mid}$. Otherwise, move right: $\text{low} = \text{mid} + 1$.

Each iteration eliminates half the range, reducing the search space exponentially.

The algorithm runs for at most $\log_2(n)$ iterations, where n is the array size.

Each iteration performs constant-time comparisons $O(1)$.

Therefore, time Complexity: $O(\log_2 n)$.

5. Integer Square Root

(a) Linear Search

```
def linear_sqrt(key):
```

```
    result = -1 # Step 1: Initialize the result to -1
```

```
    for i in range(1, key + 1): # Step 2: Iterate through numbers from 1 to key
```

```
        if i * i <= key: # Step 3: Check if the square of i is less than or equal to key
```

```
            result = i # Step 4: Update result if condition is satisfied
```

```
    return result # Step 5: Return the largest valid i
```

(b) Binary Search

```
def binary_sqrt(key):
```

```
    low, high = 0, key # Step 1: Initialize the search range
```

```
    result = -1 # Step 2: Initialize the result to -1
```

```
    while low <= high: # Step 3: Repeat until the range is empty
```

```
        mid = (low + high) // 2 # Step 4: Find the midpoint of the range
```

```
        if mid * mid <= key: # Step 5: Check if  $mid^2$  is less than or equal to key
```

```
            result = mid # Step 6: Update result to the current mid
```

```
            low = mid + 1 # Step 7: Narrow the search range to the right
```

```
        else:
```

```
            high = mid - 1 # Step 8: Narrow the search range to the left
```

```
    return result # Step 9: Return the largest valid mid
```


b. Sorting and Searching

(a) Sorting allows repeated searches in $O(\log N)$, making it better for multiple queries when $M > N$.

Sorting is preferred if multiple searches are required because:

Linear search: $O(m \cdot n)$ for m searches.

Sorting + Binary search: $O(n \log n + m \log n)$. Sorting becomes efficient when m is large.

(b) I can modify count sort by shifting all elements by adding the absolute value of the smallest element.

we can follow these steps to modify count sort for negative Integers

1. Find the smallest value (min_val).
2. Shift all elements by $|\text{min_val}|$ to make them non-negative.
3. Apply Count Sort.
4. Shift the sorted elements back.

(c) Scale non-integer values to integers or handle them separately.

we can follow these steps to modify count ~~sort~~ sort for the given list

1. Multiply all elements by 10^d (like, for decimals, multiply by 10).
2. Apply Count Sort.
3. Divide the sorted elements by 10^d to restore decimals.

(d) We can choose merge sort for better worst-case performance when memory is constrained.

We use Quick Sort if memory is limited, as it requires less space ($O(\log n)$) compared to Merge Sort ($O(n)$).

(e) A sorted array, for example $[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]$, causes worst-case $O(N^2)$ with a 'fixed pivot'.

7. Sorting Jack's List:

```
def sort_jacks_list(arr):
```

```
    odd = sorted(arr[1::2]) # Step 1: Sort odd-indexed elements in increasing order
```

```
    even = sorted(arr[::2], reverse=True) # Step 2: Sort even-indexed elements in decreasing order
```

```
    result = [] # Step 3: Initialize an empty list to store the final sorted array
```

```
    for i in range(len(arr)): # Step 4: Iterate through the original array
```

```
        if i % 2 == 0: # Step 5: If index is even, add from the 'even' list
```

```
            result.append(even.pop(0)) # Pop the first element from 'even'
```

```
        else: # Step 6: If index is odd, add from the 'odd' list
```

```
            result.append(odd.pop(0)) # Pop the first element from 'odd'
```

```
    return result # Step 7: Return the sorted array
```

Now, for time complexity,

Sorting even and odd indexed elements separately takes linear time

Merging the two sorted parts into the original array also takes linear time.

Thus, the total time complexity is $O(n)$.