# **Cancer Cell Invasion Analysis Project Documentation**

## 1. Biological Background: MDA-MB-231 Cell Line

**MDA-MB-231** is a *triple-negative human breast carcinoma* cell line (ER<sup>-</sup>, PR<sup>-</sup>, HER2<sup>-</sup>). It's one of the most commonly used models for studying invasion and metastasis, because these cells:

- Are **mesenchymal-like** highly motile, elongated morphology.
- Exhibit **3D invasion** when embedded in ECM (like collagen I or Matrigel).
- Often form collective invasion streams or finger-like protrusions from a spheroid core.

In your dataset, these cells were genetically engineered with a **pMSCV-GFP vector** so that:

- Each cell stably expresses green fluorescent protein (GFP).
- They can be visualized using **confocal fluorescence microscopy** in 3D.

So, biologically, this model mimics breast tumor cell invasion into the surrounding extracellular matrix.



## 2. Experimental Setup (from R. Kamm Lab, MIT)

Feature	Description
Origin	Dr. Roger D. Kamm's lab, Dept. of Biological Engineering, MIT (USA)
Cell type	MDA-MB-231 human breast carcinoma cells

**Transfection** pMSCV vector containing GFP sequence

Matrix Collagen type I gel — mimicking ECM

Microscope Olympus FluoView F1000 confocal

**Objective lens** Plan 20×, NA 0.7

**Voxel size** 1.242 × 1.242 × 6.0 µm (anisotropic — z-step much coarser)

**Time step** 80 minutes between frames

**Total timepoints** 10–12 (depending on sequence)

**Data type** 3D time-lapse fluorescence stacks (grayscale intensity)

Ground truth (train) Expert-labeled segmentation and tracking files

**Ground truth (test)** No labels (for benchmarking algorithm accuracy)

The dataset is part of the **Cell Tracking Challenge (CTC)** repository, used for testing 3D segmentation and tracking algorithms across diverse biological systems.

# 💾 3. Dataset Structure

Once unzipped, the folders look like this:

Each .tif file is a 3D z-stack for one timepoint, with GFP intensity values corresponding to cell fluorescence.



## 🧩 4. What Your Project Does

Your project — the 3D Invasion Analysis Pipeline — builds on this dataset to quantify collective invasion metrics from the segmentation outputs.

Let's break down its logic.

### Step 1: Data Loading

You used two CSVs (full\_segmentation\_features.csv and test\_segmentation\_features.csv) derived from segmentation results — likely generated by Cellpose3D or StarDist3D.

Each row represents one detected cell nucleus or cytoplasm, with:

- Centroid coordinates (centroid-0, centroid-1, centroid-2)
- Timepoint
- Sample ID
- Morphological features (volume, area, etc.)

You label them as "train" or "test" to keep them organized.

#### Step 2: Compute Invasion Metrics (corrected version)

For each sample and timepoint, your script computes:

Metric Description **Biological meaning** 

Mean Radius	Average distance from spheroid center	How far the bulk of the population has invaded
Median / 90th percentile radius	Distribution spread	Outer invasion front
Max radius	Farthest cell	Leading edge
Leader fraction	Top 10% farthest cells	Fraction of highly motile/invasive cells
Leader cell invasion depth	Distance of leader cells	How deep leaders penetrate the matrix
Nearest neighbor spacing	Mean cell-cell distance	Degree of dispersion or compaction
Cell density	Cells per unit volume	Population growth or compaction
Dispersion index	Standard deviation / mean distance	Heterogeneity of spread
Skewness	Asymmetry of distance distribution	Whether invasion is front-driven

#### **Critical fix:**

You now define a *fixed center of invasion* (based on t=0 centroid) and apply voxel scaling, so distances are in  $\mu m$ , not pixels.

This makes your measurements biologically accurate.

## Step 3: Visualization

Your visualization script does two things:

- 1. Generates **3D scatter plots** (cells as dots, red dot for spheroid mean center) per timepoint and sample.
- 2. Combines these into **time-lapse videos** to show whether the population expands or stays compact.

## 

You plot multiple metrics over time:

- If the invasion radius or leader depth **increases**, cells are migrating.
- If all metrics are **flat or oscillating**, invasion is negligible.

Your corrected figure shows that radii, dispersion, and density remain nearly constant, so your conclusion — no strong invasion behavior detected — is supported by the quantitative analysis.



# 🧬 5. Biological vs. Computational Insights

Aspect	Biological meaning	Computational reflection
Spheroid remains compact	Cells not invading the ECM	Mean radius stays flat
No directional protrusions	No leader-front formation	Skewness stays low
Only small local motion	Minor centroid fluctuations	High-frequency oscillations in leader fraction
Stable population	No proliferation or apoptosis	Constant cell counts

So computationally and biologically, your pipeline correctly detects a non-invasive or weakly motile state of the population during the imaging window.



# 6. Why This Project Is Valuable

Your pipeline does something that many standard tracking tools don't: It converts raw segmentation outputs into quantitative invasion dynamics metrics, enabling:

- Objective comparison between samples or treatments
- Validation of model invasiveness
- Benchmarking of segmentation/tracking performance in 3D

You're effectively replicating (and improving) the analysis approach used in Kamm et al.'s **collective invasion models** — but in a reproducible, Python-based, data-driven way.



# **7. In summary**

Component	Description
Dataset	3D time-lapse GFP fluorescence images of invasive breast cancer cells (MDA-MB-231) in collagen matrix
Source	Dr. R. Kamm, MIT, via Cell Tracking Challenge (Fluo-C3DL-MDA231)
Goal	Quantify invasion metrics (radius, leader fraction, dispersion, etc.) over time
Outcome	Current dataset shows minimal invasion during imaging; metrics stable
Contribution	Pipeline allows automated, quantitative assessment of collective cell invasion from 3D segmentation results