

Independence and conditional independence

The conditional probability of A given B is represented by $P(A|B)$. The variables A and B are said to be independent if $P(A) = P(A|B)$ (or alternatively if $P(A,B) = P(A) P(B)$ because of the formula for conditional probability).

Example1 Suppose Norman and Martin each toss separate coins. Let A represent the variable "Norman's toss outcome", and B represent the variable "Martin's toss outcome". Both A and B have two possible values (Heads and Tails). It would be uncontroversial to assume that A and B are independent. Evidence about B will not change our belief in A.

Example2 Now suppose both Martin and Norman toss the same coin. Again let A represent the variable "Norman's toss outcome", and B represent the variable "Martin's toss outcome". Assume also that there is a possibility that the coin is biased towards heads but we do not know this for certain. In this case A and B are not independent. For example, observing that B is Heads causes us to increase our belief in

A being Heads (in other words $P(a|b) > P(b)$ in the case when $a = \text{Heads}$ and $b = \text{Heads}$).

In Example 2 the variables A and B are both dependent on a separate variable C, "the coin is biased towards Heads" (which has the values True or False). Although A and B are not independent, it turns out that once we know for certain the value of C then any evidence about B cannot change our belief about A. Specifically:

$$P(A|C) = P(A|B,C)$$

In such case we say that A and B are conditionally independent given C.

In many real life situations variables which are believed to be independent are actually only independent conditional on some other variable.

Example 3 Suppose that Norman and Martin live on opposite sides of the City and come to work by completely different means, say Norman comes by train while Martin drives. Let A represent the variable "Norman late" (which has values true or false) and similarly let B represent the variable "Martin late". It would be tempting in these circumstances to assume that A and B must be independent. However, even if Norman and Martin lived and worked in different countries there may be factors (such as an international fuel shortage) which could mean that A and B are not independent. In practice any model of uncertainty should take account of all reasonable factors. Thus while, say, a meteorite hitting the Earth might be reasonably excluded it does not seem reasonable to exclude the fact that both A and B may be affected by a Train strike (C). Clearly $P(A)$ will increase if C is true; but $P(B)$ will also increase because of extra traffic on the roads. Thus the situation is represented in the following animation (which is actually a BBN)

