Report

Name: Shashwat Pankajkumar Chauhan

Student id Number: 1002034494

Net id: spc4494

Question_1_(C): Compare the error results and try to determine for what "function depths" overfitting might be a problem. Which "function depth" would you consider the best prediction function and why? For which values of k and d do you get minimum error?

Answer: The minimum error that I am getting is k=1 and d=6 (depth), Error: 0.49619527464385926. Thus the minimum error gets overfitting in this dataset. From k=1 and depth 6 we are getting the minimum error.

```
/01 C.pv
START Q1_C
[[1, 0, 0.45570554646571804], [1, 1, 0.45385138581055556], [1, 2, 0.454192467465364], [1, 3, 0.4570189664123979], [1, 4, 0.446390059397
7806], [1, 5, 0.44146504240746137], [1, 6, 0.4401322266994109], [2, 0, 0.45570554646571804], [2, 1, 0.455411382523839], [2, 2, 0.445462
63639727185], [2, 3, 0.4452111322931112], [2, 4, 0.44634608176185486], [2, 5, 0.4463588064176677], [2, 6, 0.44592498059980773], [3, 0,
0.45570554646571804], \ [3, \ 1, \ 0.45829567617207395], \ [3, \ 2, \ 0.4582686545191603], \ [3, \ 3, \ 0.4585013328136981], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835582053024454], \ [3, \ 4, \ 0.45835820530244
 [3, 5, 0.4583505100443971], [3, 6, 0.4585845086079231], [4, 0, 0.45570554646571804], [4, 1, 0.4471240785274213], [4, 2, 0.448851222176
6477], [4, 3, 0.4487511531583508], [4, 4, 0.4487637228139002], [4, 5, 0.4487232449575752], [4, 6, 0.4487295497473802], [5, 0, 0.4557055
4646571804], [5, 1, 0.4570737322384298], [5, 2, 0.4557011647709517], [5, 3, 0.45584365802138266], [5, 4, 0.45660547894631787], [5, 5, 0
[6, 3, 0.45628739284234626], [6, 4, 0.4563469061532261], [6, 5, 0.4593786427200399], [6, 6, 0.4547313545316895], [7, 0, 0.4557055464657 1804], [7, 1, 0.45570904344044216], [7, 2, 0.45612523377325126], [7, 3, 0.4569035648899327], [7, 4, 0.4569098868844659], [7, 5, 0.45695
811156662686], [7, 6, 0.4568293485419493], [8, 0, 0.45570554646571804], [8, 1, 0.455663607568168], [8, 2, 0.4556650903554656], [8, 3,
0.4556653920894691], [8, 4, 0.45340674619392224], [8, 5, 0.45330378572322383], [8, 6, 0.45220133722588257], [9, 0, 0.45570554646571804], [9, 1, 0.45526639715625034], [9, 2, 0.4557364191168053], [9, 3, 0.4554115835155138], [9, 4, 0.4509524579894433], [9, 5, 0.45106255280]
77436], [9, 6, 0.4522588642610488], [10, 0, 0.45570554646571804], [10, 1, 0.4558285085633528], [10, 2, 0.45583318945541157], [10, 3, 0.
4582426332990076], [10, 4, 0.45791656217294063], [10, 5, 0.45789438845499364], [10, 6, 0.4579551822166078]]
least error was:- 0.22006611334970544
END Q1_C
```

Question_1_(D): Repeat the experiment and evaluation of part b) and c) using only the first 20 elements of the training data set part b) and the Test set of part c). What differences do you see and why might they occur?

Answer: If we compare the error of question A and B because A has 128 dataset as well as B has trained with 20 dataset. So Error we are getting in 20 dataset is more compared to 120 dataset. In 20 dataset the randomness is higher compared to 128.

```
for k=10, d=3, error=0.6480396860015915

for k=10, d=4, error=0.6503268699897489

for k=10, d=5, error=0.5491737223925609

for k=10, d=6, error=0.5428655496031918

least error was:- 0.5428655496031918

END Q1_D
```

Question 2:

Question $_2(C)$: How does the performance compare to the one for the results from Question 1 (C).

Answer: This function performs better because it takes weightaed value of point other from from 1 c.

```
START Q2_C
the error: 0.2172236058992584
END Q2_C
```

Question_2_(D): How does the performance compare to the one for the results from Question 1 d)? Why might this be the case?

Answer: If we compare the error of question A and B because A has 128 dataset as well as B has trained with 20 dataset. So Error we are getting in 20 dataset is more compared to 120 dataset. In 20 dataset the randomness is higher compared to 128.

```
START Q2_D
the error: 0.4720431347903462
END Q2_D
PS C:\Users\shash\OneDrive\Desktop\ML Project 2\netId_project_2>
```

Question 3:

Question $_3(C)$: Discuss what differences exist and why one method might out perform the others for this problem.

Answer: Logestic regression performs better because it cames more values, thus the model get trained for more wider range and make it accurate.

640], 6.93273180248073], [16.0895322618529483], 6.14898719023965, 1.4689809422941876, 3.91124795180987], 6.932773180943975], [16.08686668997790839], -1.47664092176132, 3.841485445861254], 9.9076822218084], [[-0.48426200229451922, -0.932697728939199, 4.170861290844754], 0.57142877428774], [1.0.611383691774866, -1.53831756599155, 4.22531398764], 0.90756322518084], [[-0.6842600229455192, -0.932697728939199, 4.17086129084754], 0.57142877428774], [1.0.611383691774866, -1.53831756599155, 4.22531398764], 0.90756322518084], [[-0.6842600294851922, -0.93269772893199], 4.170861290844754], 0.57142877428574], [-0.68513874851534], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.685387251994, -0.932697251994856], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.685174855195], 0.9482748094856], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], 0.90756322510084336], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], [[-0.6851748559746], 0.9075632251008436], 0.907563251008436], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632251008436], 0.9075632525

Question_3_(D): Again, discuss what differences exist and why one method might outperform the others in this case.

Answer: Yes, removing age makes it better because age parameter adds randomess into ago because can't be factor to determine the gender

