

## Module 5. Linear programming problems (LPP)

- \* General Linear programming problem:

Maximize (minimize)  $Z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$  — (1)

subject to

$$a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n \leq b_1 (\geq b_1)$$

$$a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n \leq b_2 (\geq b_2)$$

⋮

$$a_{m1} x_1 + a_{m2} x_2 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n \leq b_m (\geq b_m)$$

and

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0 \quad (\text{or Unrestricted})$$

} — (2)

} — (3)

Note that \* (1) is called objective function

\* (2) are called constraints

\* (3) are called Non-negativity restrictions.

- \* solution of Linear programming problem (LPP)

Any set of values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which satisfy the all constraints is called a solution of LPP.

- \* Feasible solution of LPP:

Any solution which satisfy the given non-negativity restriction is called feasible solution.

- \* Optimal solution of LPP:

Any feasible solution which satisfy the objective function is called optimal solution of LPP.

### \* slack variable :

If the constraints of LPP are of less than or equal to type ( $\leq$ ) then we can add new non-negative variables so that the constraints can be expressed as equalities.

therefore that new non-negative variables are called as slack variables.

for ex.. ① suppose  $x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 \leq 5$

then we add  $s_1$  ( $s_1 \geq 0$ ) we get  $x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 + s_1 = 5$

### \* Surplus Variable :

If the constraints of LPP are of greater than or equal to type ( $\geq 0$ ) then we can subtract new non-negative variable so that the constraints can be expressed as equalities.

therefore that new non-negative variable are called as surplus variables.

for ex.. ① suppose,  $x_1 - 2x_2 + 4x_3 \geq 7$

then we subtract  $s_1$  ( $s_1 \geq 0$ ) we get  $x_1 - 2x_2 + 4x_3 - s_1 = 7$

\* canonical and standard form of LPP :-

A general LPP

$$\text{Maximise } Z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n$$

subject to

$$a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n \leq b_1$$
$$a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n \leq b_2$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a_{m1} x_1 + a_{m2} x_2 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n \leq b_m$$

with  $x_i \geq 0, i=1, 2, \dots, n$

is called canonical form

And if we introduce slack variables  
then the LPP

$$\text{Maximise } Z = c_1 x_1 + c_2 x_2 + \dots + c_n x_n + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + \dots + 0s_m$$

subject to

$$a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2 + \dots + a_{1n} x_n + s_1 + 0s_2 + \dots + 0s_m = b_1$$
$$a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2 + \dots + a_{2n} x_n + 0s_1 + s_2 + 0s_3 + \dots + 0s_m = b_2$$
$$\vdots$$
$$a_{m1} x_1 + a_{m2} x_2 + \dots + a_{mn} x_n + 0s_1 + \dots + 0s_{m-1} + s_m = b_m$$

with  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m \geq 0$

is called standard form

for examples :

Ex. ① convert the following LPP in the standard form .

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2$$

subject to

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 15$$
$$2x_1 + 5x_2 \geq 12$$
$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Sol' we introduce slack variable  $s_1$  and surplus variable  $s_2$  then the problem can be converted to standard form as

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 3x_1 + 5x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2$$

$$\text{subject to } 3x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 + 0s_2 = 15$$

$$2x_1 + 5x_2 + 0s_1 - s_2 = 12$$

$$x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2 \geq 0$$

Ex. ② convert the following LPP to the standard form

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 5x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 - 3x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \geq 5$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_3 \leq 2$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

solution: we introduce slack variable  $s_1, s_2$  and surplus variable  $s_3$

Also here,  $x_3$  is unrestricted

$$\therefore \text{we put } x_3 = x_3' - x_3'' \text{ and } x_3', x_3'' \geq 0$$

$\therefore$  the standard form of LPP is

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 5x_3' - 5x_3'' + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + 0s_3$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 - 3x_2 + 0x_3' - 0x_3'' + s_1 + 0s_2 + 0s_3 = 3$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3' - 3x_3'' + 0s_1 - s_2 + 0s_3 = 5$$

$$3x_1 + 0x_2 + 2x_3' - 2x_3'' + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + s_3 = 2$$

$$\text{with } x_1, x_2, x_3', x_3'', s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$$

## \* Simplex Method :-

- Types of solution:

① Basic solution: A solution obtained by setting any  $n$  variables out of  $m+n$  variables equal to zero and solving for remaining  $m$  variable, provided the determinant of the coefficient of these  $m$  variables is non zero is called a basic solution.

Such  $m$  variable are called basic Variables and the remaining  $n$  zero-valued variables are called non-basic variables.

② Basic feasible solution :

A basic solution which also satisfies non-negativity restrictions is called basic feasible solution.

Note that: In the basic feasible solution obtained

i) All  $m$  values of basic variables are positive then it is non-degenerate basic F.S.

ii) one or more values of  $m$  basic variable are zero then it is degenerate basic F.S.

Ex 1 Given that

$$\text{Maximise } Z = x_1 + 3x_2 + 3x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 + 5x_3 = 7$$

find all basic solutions to the above problem.  
which of them are basic feasible, non-degenerate,  
infeasible basic and optimal basic feasible solution?

solution:

No. of Basic solutions	Non-basic variables = 0	Basic Variables	Equations And the values of the basic variables	Is the solution feasible?	Is the solution degenerate	value of Z	Is the solution optimal?
1.	$x_3=0$	$x_1, x_2$	$x_1 + 2x_2 = 4$ $2x_1 + 3x_2 = 7$ $\Rightarrow x_1 = 2, x_2 = 1$	yes	No.	$2+3(1)+0 = 5$	yes
2.	$x_2=0$	$x_1, x_3$	$x_1 + 3x_3 = 4$ $2x_1 + 5x_3 = 7$ $\Rightarrow x_1 = 1, x_3 = 1$	yes	No	$1+3(0) + 3(1) = 4$	No
3.	$x_1=0$	$x_2, x_3$	$2x_2 + 3x_3 = 4$ $3x_2 + 5x_3 = 7$ $\Rightarrow x_2 = -1, x_3 = 2$	No	No	-	-

Note that! In the second solution,  $x_2$  is the outgoing variable and  $x_3$  is incoming variable  
Similarly, in the third solution  $x_1$  is outgoing and  $x_2$  is incoming variable

Ex. ② consider the following problem

$$\text{maximise } Z = 2x_1 - 2x_2 + 4x_3 - 5x_4$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_3 + 8x_4 \leq 2$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4 \leq 1$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \geq 0$$

- determine i) All basic solution  
 ii) All feasible basic solution,  
 iii) optimal feasible basic solution.

Solution:

No. of basic solutions	Non-basic variables = 0	Basic variables	Equations of the values of basic variables	Is the solution feasible	Is the solution degenerate	Value of Z	Is the solution optimal?
1	$x_3=0$ $x_4=0$	$x_1, x_2$	$x_1 + 4x_2 = 2$ $-x_1 + 2x_2 = 1$ $\Rightarrow x_1 = 0, x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$	yes	yes	$2(0) - 2(\frac{1}{2}) + 4(0) - 5(0) = -1.5$	No
2	$x_2=0$ $x_4=0$	$x_1, x_3$ outgoing $x_2$ incoming $x_1$	$x_1 - 2x_3 = 2$ $-x_1 + 3x_3 = 1$ $\Rightarrow x_1 = 8, x_3 = 3$	yes	No	$2(8) - 2(0) + 4(3) - 5(0) = 28$	yes
3.	$x_1=0$ $x_4=0$	$x_2, x_3$ outgoing $x_1$ incoming $x_2$	$4x_2 - 2x_3 = 2$ $2x_2 + 3x_3 = 1$ $\Rightarrow x_2 = \frac{1}{2}, x_3 = 0$	yes	yes	$2(0) - 2(\frac{1}{2}) + 4(0) - 5(0) = -1$	No
4.	$x_2=0$ $x_3=0$	$x_1, x_4$ outgoing $x_2$ incoming $x_1$	$x_1 + 8x_4 = 2$ $-x_1 + 4x_4 = 1$ $\Rightarrow x_1 = 0, x_4 = \frac{1}{4}$	yes	yes	$2(0) - 2(0) + 4(0) - 5(\frac{1}{4}) = -1.25$	No
5.	$x_4=0$ $x_3=0$	$x_2, x_4$ outgoing $x_1$ incoming $x_2$	$4x_2 + 8x_4 = 2$ $2x_2 + 4x_4 = 1$ Unbounded	—	—	—	—
6.	$x_1=0$ $x_2=0$	$x_3, x_4$ outgoing $x_2$ incoming $x_3$	$-2x_3 + 8x_4 = 2$ $3x_3 + x_4 = 1$ $x_3 = 0, x_4 = \frac{1}{4}$	yes	yes	-12.5	No

## \* Simplex Method :

- Note : ① If problem is of maximisation type  
then make All  $C_j - Z_j \leq 0$
- ② If problem is of Minimization type  
then make All  $C_j - Z_j \geq 0$

**Example ①** solve the following LPP using simplex method

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$\text{subject to } 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 18$$

$$x_1 \leq 4$$

$$x_2 \leq 6$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution: first we convert given LPP into standard form

we introduce the slack variables  $s_1, s_2, s_3$

The standard form of LPP is

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + 0s_3$$

$$\text{Subject to } 3x_1 + 2x_2 + s_1 + 0s_2 + 0s_3 = 18$$

$$x_1 + 0x_2 + 0s_1 + s_2 + 0s_3 = 4$$

$$0x_1 + x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2 + s_3 = 6$$

$$\text{All } x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2, s_3 \geq 0$$

\* Initial iteration :

	$C_j$	3	2	0	0	0	solution	Ratio
$C_B$	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$		
0	$s_1$	3	2	1	0	0	18	$\frac{18}{3} = 6$
0	$s_2$	1	0	0	1	0	4	$\frac{4}{1} = 4 \leftarrow \text{Min Key row}$
0	$s_3$	0	1	0	0	1	6	-
	$Z_j = \sum C_B B_{ij}$	0	0	0	0	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	3	2	0	0	0		

↑  
Max.  
(key column)

here, 1 is pivot element

\* first iteration : ( $s_2$  - outgoing,  $x_1$  - incoming)

	$C_j$	3	2	0	0	0	solution	Ratio
$C_B$	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$		
R1-3R2	0	$s_1$	0	2	1	-3	0	6
3	$x_1$	1	0	0	1	0	4	-
0	$s_3$	0	1	0	0	1	6	$\frac{6}{1} = 6 \leftarrow \text{Min Key row}$
	$Z_j$	3	0	0	3	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	2	0	-3	0		

↑  
Max  
(key column)      ∴ 2 is pivot element.

\* Second Iteration :

( $s_1$  - outgoing,  $x_2$  - incoming)

	$C_j$	3	2	0	0	0		
$C_B$	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	Solution	Ratio
2	$x_2$	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{3}{2}$	0	3	
3	$x_1$	1	0	0	1	0	4	
$R_3 - \frac{1}{2}R_1$	$s_3$	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	1	3	
	$Z_j$	3	2	1	0	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	0	-1	0	0		

here we can observe that

$$\text{All } Z_j - Z_j \leq 0$$

therefore,

$$x_1 = 4, x_2 = 3 \text{ is solution}$$

and

$$Z = 3x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$= 3(4) + 2(3)$$

$$= 18$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 4, x_2 = 3 \text{ and } Z_{\max} = 18$$

is Required solution of LPP

Ex 2: solve the following L.P.P. using simplex method.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 6x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3$$

$$\text{Subject to } 2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 2$$

$$x_1 + 4x_3 \leq 4$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Solution: first we convert given LPP into standard form

∴ we introduce the two slack variable  $s_1, s_2$

∴ standard form of LPP is

$$\text{Maximize } Z = 6x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 0s_1 + 0s_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 + s_1 + 0s_2 = 2$$

$$x_1 + 0x_2 + 4x_3 + 0s_1 + s_2 = 4$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2 \geq 0$$

\* Initial iteration!

$C_B$	$C_j$	6	-2	3	0	0	Solution	Ratio
	Basic variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$s_1$	$s_2$		
0	$s_1$	2	-1	2	1	0	2	$\frac{2}{2} = 1 \leftarrow \text{min}$
0	$s_2$	1	0	4	0	1	4	$\frac{4}{4} = 1$
	$Z_j$	0	0	0	0	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	6	-2	3	0	0		

↑  
Maxi  
(key column)

∴ 2 is pivot element

\* first Iteration: ( $s_1$  - outgoing,  $x_1$  - incoming)

$C_B$	$C_j$	6	-2	3	0	0	solution	Ratio
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$s_1$	$s_2$		
$R_1$	6	$x_1$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	1
$R_2 - \frac{1}{2}R_1$	0	$s_2$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	3	$-\frac{1}{2}$	1	3
	$Z_j$	6	-3	6	3	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	1	-3	-3	0		

↑  
Max  
(key column)      ∴  $\frac{1}{2}$  is pivot element

\* second iteration:

( $s_2$  - outgoing,  $x_2$  - incoming)

$C_B$	$C_j$	6	-2	3	0	0	solution	Ratio
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$s_1$	$s_2$		
$R_1 - R_2$	6	$x_1$	1	0	4	0	1	4
$R_2 \times 2$	-2	$x_2$	0	1	6	-1	2	6
	$Z_j$	6	-2	12	2	10		
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	0	-9	-2	-10		

here, All  $C_j - Z_j \leq 0$

∴ solution is  $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 6, x_3 = 0$

$$Z = 6x_1 - 2x_2 + 3x_3$$

$$= 6(4) - 2(6) + 3(0)$$

$$= 12$$

∴ optimal solution is  $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 6, x_3 = 0, Z_{\max} = 12$

Ex ③ Solve the following LPP using simplex method

$$\text{minimise } Z = x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3$$

subject  $3x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \leq 7$

$$2x_1 + 4x_2 \geq -12$$

$$-4x_1 + 3x_2 + 8x_3 \leq 10$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

- \* Duality : The phenomenon occurring in linear programming that given a problem there exists another closely related problem with the same set of data and with the same solution is called the duality.
- \* Working Rule to write dual form primal
  - 1) convert the inequality of all constraints to either ' $\geq$ ' or ' $\leq$ ' (by using  $(-)$ )
  - 2) if primal is of minimisation type then convert it to maximisation and vice versa.

Ex ① write the dual of following LPP.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 2x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \leq 5$$

$$2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 6$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 10$$

$$4x_1 + x_3 \leq 12$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Solution: Given LPP can be written as

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 2x_1 - x_2 + 4x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3 \leq 5$$

$$2x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 6$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 10$$

$$4x_1 + 0x_2 + x_3 \leq 12$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Therefore the dual of given LPP is

$$\text{Minimise } Z = 5y_1 + 6y_2 + 10y_3 + 12y_4$$

$$\text{subject to } y_1 + 2y_2 + y_3 + 4y_4 \geq 2$$

$$2y_1 - y_2 + y_3 + 0y_4 \geq -1$$

$$-y_1 + y_2 + 3y_3 + y_4 \geq 4$$

Ex② obtain the dual of the following LPP

$$\text{Minimise } Z = 3x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 - 3x_2 + x_3 \leq 5$$

$$4x_1 - 2x_2 \geq 9$$

$$-8x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 = 8$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \text{ unrestricted.}$$

Solution: given LPP can be written as

$$\text{Minimise } Z = 3x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } -2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3 \geq -5$$

$$4x_1 - 2x_2 + 0x_3 \geq 9$$

$$-8x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 \geq 8$$

$$8x_1 - 4x_2 - 3x_3 \geq -8$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0, x_3 \text{ unrestricted}$$

since,  $x_3$  is unrestricted

$$\therefore \text{we put } x_3 = x_3' - x_3'', \quad x_3' \geq 0, \quad x_3'' \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \text{minimise } Z = 3x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3' - x_3''$$

$$\text{subject to } -2x_1 + 3x_2 - x_3' + x_3'' \geq -5$$

$$4x_1 - 2x_2 + 0x_3' - 0x_3'' \geq 9$$

$$-8x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3' - 3x_3'' \geq 8$$

$$8x_1 - 4x_2 - 3x_3' + 3x_3'' \geq -8$$

$$\text{with All } x_1, x_2, x_3', x_3'' \geq 0$$

: The dual of given LPP is

$$\text{Maximise } Z = -5y_1 + 9y_2 + 8y_3' - 8y_3''$$

$$\text{subject to } -2y_1 + 4y_2 - 8y_3' + 8y_3'' \leq 3$$

$$3y_1 - 2y_2 + 4y_3' - 4y_3'' \leq -2$$

$$-y_1 + 0y_2 + 3y_3' - 3y_3'' \leq 1$$

$$y_1 + 0y_2 - 3y_3' + 3y_3'' \leq -1$$

$$\text{All } y_1, y_2, y_3', y_3'' \geq 0$$

It can be written as (by replacing  $y_3' - y_3''$  by  $y_3$ )  
Maximise  $w = -5y_1 + 9y_2 + 8y_3$

subject to  $-2y_1 + 4y_2 - 8y_3 \leq 3$

$$3y_1 - 2y_2 + 4y_3 \leq -2$$

$$-y_1 + 3y_3 = 1$$

$y_1, y_2 \geq 0$ ,  $y_3$  unrestricted.

Ex. ③ obtain the dual of following LPP

Maximise  $z = -3x_1 - 2x_2$

Subject to  $x_1 + x_2 \geq 1$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 7$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 10$$

$$x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

\* Dual simplex method to solve the LPP:

Ex① Use the dual simplex method to solve the LPP.

$$\text{minimize } Z = 6x_1 + x_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \geq 3$$

$$x_1 - x_2 \geq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution: The dual of given LPP is

$$\text{maximize } Z = -6x_1 - x_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } -2x_1 - x_2 \leq -3$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 \leq 0$$

∴ The standard form of above Lpp is

$$\text{maximise } Z = -6x_1 - x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } -2x_1 - x_2 + s_1 + 0s_2 = -3$$

$$-x_1 + x_2 + 0s_1 + s_2 = 0$$

$$x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2 \geq 0$$

## Initial iteration:

$C_B$	$C_j$	-6	-1	0	0	solution	Ratio
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$		
0	$s_1$	-2	-1	1	0	-3	$\frac{-3}{-1} = 3$ ← Min (key Row)
0	$s_2$	-1	1	0	1	0	$\frac{0}{1} = 0$
	$Z_j$	0	0	0	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	-6	-1	0	0		

↑  
Max (key column), -1 is pivot element.

## first iteration:

( $s_1$  - outgoing,  $x_2$  - incoming)

$C_B$	$C_j$	-6	-1	0	0	solution	Ratio
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$		
-1	$x_2$	2	1	-1	0	3	$\frac{3}{2} = 1.5$
0	$s_2$	-3	0	1	1	-3	$\frac{-3}{-3} = 1$ ← Min key row
	$Z_j$	-2	-1	1	0		
	$C_j - Z_j$	-4	0	-1	0		

↑  
Max (key column)

∴ -3 is pivot element

\* Second iteration:

( $s_2$  - outgoing,  $x_2$  - incoming)

$C_B$	$C_j$	-6	-1	0	0	solution	Ratio
	Basic variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$		
$R_1 + \frac{2}{3}R_2$	-6	$x_2$	0	1	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	1
$\frac{R_2}{-3}$	-1	$x_1$	1	0	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	1
	$Z_j$	-1	-6	$\frac{7}{3}$	$-\frac{11}{3}$		
	$C_j - Z_j$	-5	5	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{11}{3}$		

$\therefore x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1$  is solution of given LPP

and  $Z = 6x_1 + x_2$

$$= 6(1) + 1$$

$$= 7$$

$\therefore$  optimal solution is

$$x_1 = 1, x_2 = 1, Z_{\min} = 7$$

HW.

Ex. 2. Use simplex method to solve the following LPP.

$$\text{minimize } Z = x_1 + x_2$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \geq 2$$

$$-x_1 - x_2 \geq 1$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

\* Big - M method : (Charnes' method)

Note! In this method we use Artificial.

Variable denoted as  $A_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ )

Ex ① Use Big - M method to solve the following LPP.

$$\text{minimise } Z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 \geq 5$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 6$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution: we introduce the surplus variable  $s_1, s_2$  are artificial variable  $A_1, A_2$

$\therefore$  The standard form of given LPP is

$$\text{minimise } Z = 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 0s_1 + 0s_2$$

s.t

$$x_1 + x_2 - s_1 + 0s_2 + A_1 + 0A_2 = 5$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 + 0s_1 - s_2 + 0A_1 + A_2 = 6$$

$$\text{All } x_1, x_2, s_1, s_2 \geq 0$$

Initial iteration:

$C_B$	$C_j$	2	3	0	0	M	M	solution	Ratio
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$A_1$	$A_2$		
M	$A_1$	1	1	-1	0	1	0	5	$\frac{5}{1} = 5$
M	$A_2$	1	2	0	-1	0	1	6	$\frac{6}{2} = 3 \leftarrow \min(\text{key row})$
	$Z_j$	$2M$	$8M$	$-M$	$-M$	$M$	$M$		
	$C_j - Z_j$	$2-2M$	$3-3M$	M	M	0	0		

↑  
max  
(key column)

first iteration:

( $A_2$  - outgoing,  $x_2$  - incoming)

$C_B$	$C_j$	2	3	0	0	M	M		
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$A_1$	$A_2$		Ratio
$R_1 - \frac{1}{2}R_2$	M	$A_1$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	-1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	2
$\frac{R_2}{2}$	3	$x_2$	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	3
	$Z_j$	$\frac{M}{2} + \frac{3}{2}$	3	-M	$\frac{M}{2} - \frac{3}{2}$	M	$-\frac{M}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$		
	$C_j - Z_j$	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{M}{2}$	0	M	$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{M}{2}$	0	$\frac{3M}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$		

$\uparrow$   
Max  
(key column)       $\therefore \frac{1}{2}$  is pivot element

\* second iteration: (  $A_1$  - outgoing,  $x_1$  - incoming )

$C_B$	$C_j$	2	3	0	0	M	M		
	Basic Variable	$x_1$	$x_2$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$A_1$	$A_2$		Solution Ratio
$2R_1$	2	$x_1$	1	0	-2	1	2	-1	4
$R_2 - R_1$	3	$x_2$	0	1	1	-1	-1	1	1
	$Z_j$	2	3	-1	-1	1	1		
	$C_j - Z_j$	0	0	1	1	$M-1$	$M-1$		

$\therefore x_1 = 4, x_2 = 1$  is feasible solution

$$\text{Now } z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$

$$= 2(4) + 3(1)$$

$$= 8 + 3$$

$$= 11$$

∴ optimal solution are

$$x_1 = 4, x_2 = 1, z_{\min} = 11$$

H.W

Ex(2)

use Big-M method to solve the following  
LPP.

$$\text{minimise } Z = 2x_1 + x_2$$

$$\text{subject to } 3x_1 + x_2 = 3$$

$$4x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 6$$

$$x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

(Hint: we use two artificial variable  $A_1, A_2$ )  
for first & second constraint.

## Module 6 . Nonlinear programming problems

### \* Definition:

An optimisation problem in which either the objective function and/or some or all constraints are non-linear is called a non-linear programming problem.

for ex. ① optimise  $Z = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 100$

subject to  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \leq 10$

$$x_1^2 - x_3 \geq 20$$

$$x_1^2 + x_2 + x_3 = 35$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

\* Note that If  $y = f(x)$  is differentiable function  
then  $f'(x) = 0$  given the stationary points

say  $x = x_0$

- if  $f''(x_0) > 0$  then  $x_0$  is a minima

- if  $f''(x_0) < 0$  then  $x_0$  is a maxima

- if  $x_0$  is neither minima nor maxima

then  $x_0$  is inflection point (saddle point)

- \* NLPP with one equality constraint using the method of Lagrange's multipliers :
- consider the non linear programming problem

$$\text{optimise } z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{subject to } g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = b$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

given NLPP can be written as

$$\text{optimise } z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{subject to } h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - b = 0$$

we construct a new function

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda) \equiv f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - \lambda h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

is called Lagrangian function and

$\lambda$  is called Lagrangian multiplier.

Step 1 : consider,  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0, \dots, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_n} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$

solve this system of equations for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$

$$\text{say } x_0 = (x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_n^*)$$

then  $x_0$  is said to be stationary point.

Step 2 find All  $\Delta_{n+1}$

where,

$$\Delta_{n+1} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_n^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

Step 3: If the signs of all principle minor  $\Delta_3, \Delta_4, \dots$  are alternatively positive and negative

(i.e.  $\Delta_3 > 0, \Delta_4 < 0, \Delta_5 > 0, \dots$ )

then the point  $x_0$  is Maxima

and if All the principle minor  $\Delta_3, \Delta_4, \dots$  are negative then  $x_0$  is minima

Step 4: find  $f(x_0)$

Examples:

Ex ① Using the method of Lagranges multiplier, solve the following NLPP.

$$\text{optimise } Z = 6x_1^2 + 5x_2^2$$

$$\text{Subject to } x_1 + 5x_2 = 7$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

$$\text{solution: here, } f(x_1, x_2) = 6x_1^2 + 5x_2^2$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + 5x_2 - 7$$

The Lagrangian function is

$$L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = f(x_1, x_2) - \lambda h(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\text{i.e. } L(x_1, x_2, \lambda) = 6x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 - \lambda(x_1 + 5x_2 - 7)$$

$$\underline{\text{Step 1}}. \text{ consider, } \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 12x_1 - \lambda = 0, 10x_2 - 5\lambda = 0, x_1 + 5x_2 - 7 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = 12x_1, \lambda = 2x_2, x_1 + 5x_2 = 7$$

$$\Rightarrow 12x_1 = 2x_2, x_1 + 5x_2 = 7$$

$$\Rightarrow 6x_1 - x_2 = 0$$

$$x_2 + 5x_2 = 7$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x_1 = \frac{7}{31}, x_2 = \frac{42}{31}}$$

$$\text{since, } \lambda = 12x_1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 12\left(\frac{7}{31}\right) = \frac{84}{31}$$

$$\therefore L(x_1, x_2) = 6x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 - \frac{84}{31}(x_1 + 5x_2 - 7)$$

$$\Rightarrow L(x_1, x_2) = 6x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 - \frac{84}{31}x_1 - \frac{420}{31}x_2 + \frac{588}{31}$$

Step 2

$$\Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1 x_2} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2 x_1} & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2^2} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & 12 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 10 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 0(120-0) - 1(10-0) + 5(0-60) \\
 &= -10 - 300 \\
 &= -310 < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_3 < 0$$

$\therefore x_0 = \left(\frac{7}{31}, \frac{42}{31}\right)$  is a minima

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Hence, } z &= 6x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 \\
 &= 6\left(\frac{7}{31}\right)^2 + 5\left(\frac{42}{31}\right)^2 \\
 &= \frac{294}{31}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{x_1 = \frac{7}{31}, x_2 = \frac{42}{31}, z_{\min.} = \frac{294}{31}}$$

Ex. ② Using the method of Lagrange's multipliers,  
solve the following L.P.P.

$$\text{optimise } z = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 10x_1 - 6x_2 - 4x_3$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 7, x_i \geq 0$$

solution: here,  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 10x_1 - 6x_2 - 4x_3$   
 $h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 7$

we construct the Lagrangian function

$$L(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 10x_1 - 6x_2 - 4x_3) - \lambda(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 7)$$

Step 1:  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 2x_1 - 10 - \lambda = 0, 2x_2 - 6 - \lambda = 0, 2x_3 - 4 - \lambda = 0, x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 7 = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  adding first 3 equation we get

$$2(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) - 20 - 3\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2(7) - 20 - 3\lambda = 0 \quad (\text{using equation } ④)$$

$$\Rightarrow 14 - 20 - 3\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -6 - 3\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = -2$$

put this in equation ①, ② & ③ we get

$$2x_1 - 10 - (-2) = 0, \quad 2x_2 - 6 - (-2) = 0, \quad 2x_3 - 4 - (-2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = 4, \quad x_2 = 2, \quad x_3 = 1$$

$$\therefore x_0 = (4, 2, 1)$$

Step 2.

Now,

$$\Delta_4 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_3^2} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -1 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & | & 1 & 0 & 2 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -1[1(4-0)-0+0] + 1[-1(4-0)+0-0] - 1[1(4-0)-0+0]$$

$$= -4 - 4 - 4$$

$$= -12$$

i.e.  $\Delta_4 = -12 < 0$

Now consider,

$$\Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0 - 1(2-0) + 1(0-2) \\ = -2 - 2 \\ = -4 < 0$$

i.e.  $\Delta_4 < 0, \Delta_3 < 0$

Hence  $x_0$  is a minima

$$\text{Now } Z = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 10x_1 - 6x_2 - 4x_3 \\ = (4)^2 + (2)^2 + (1)^2 - 10(4) - 6(2) - 4(1) \\ = 16 + 4 + 1 - 40 - 12 - 4 \\ = -35$$

$x_1 = 4, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 1, Z_{\min} = -35$

is Required solution

Ques

Ex. 3

Using the method of Lagrange's multipliers  
solve the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{optimize } Z = 12x_1 + 8x_2 + 6x_3 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - 23$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 10$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

$$\text{Ans: } x_1 = 5, x_2 = 3, x_3 = 2, Z_{\max} = 35$$

\* Non linear programming problem with two equality constraints

- working rule:

Step 1. consider NLPP

$$\text{optimise } Z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{subject to } h_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

construct a Lagrangian equation

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - \lambda_1 h_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) - \lambda_2 h_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

Step 2. consider,

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0, \dots, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_n} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$$

by solving this system, we get  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  values

i.e. the stationary point  $X_0 = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$

Step 3:

case i> If number of variable  $n > 2$

then consider the matrix

$$H^B = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} 0 & P \\ \hline -P^T & Q \end{array} \right]$$

where,  $O = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$

$P^T$  = transpose of matrix  $P$  and

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2^2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_n \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_n \partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_n^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

If  $\det(H^B) > 0$  then  $x_0$  is Minima

otherwise  $x_0$  is Maxima

Case ii) If number of variables  $n = 2$

consider,  $H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$

If  $A_1 = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1^2}$  is Negative and  $\det(H)$  is positive

then  $x_0$  is Maxima

If Both  $A_1 = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1^2}$  and  $\det(H)$  are Negative

then  $x_0$  is Minima

Step 4: find maximum ( $Z_{\max}$ ) or minimum ( $Z_{\min}$ ) value.

Ex ① Using the method of Lagrangian multipliers  
solve the following NLPP.

$$\text{optimise } Z = 4x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 4x_1 x_2$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 15$$

$$2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 20$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

Solution: here,  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 4x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 4x_1 x_2$   
 $h_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 15$   
 $h_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 - 20$

Step 1. consider, Lagrange's equation

$$L(x_1, x_2, x_3, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \lambda_1 h_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \lambda_2 h_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)$$

$$\Rightarrow L = 4x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 4x_1 x_2 - \lambda_1(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 15) - \lambda_2(2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 - 20)$$

Step 2. consider  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_3} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 8x_1 - 4x_2 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$4x_2 - 4x_1 - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$2x_3 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 15 \quad \text{--- ④}$$

$$2x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 20 \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

multiply ③ by 4 and add to ①

$$8x_4 - 4x_2 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 8x_3 - 4\lambda_1 - 8\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 4(2x_4 - x_2 + 2x_3) = 5\lambda_1 + 10\lambda_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 4(20) = 5\lambda_1 + 10\lambda_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 5\lambda_1 + 10\lambda_2 = 80 \quad \text{--- } ⑥$$

Now multiply ① by 2, ② by 3 and ③ by 2 and add

$$16x_1 - 8x_2 - 2\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_2 + 12x_2 - 12x_4 - 3\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 + 4x_3 - 2\lambda_1 - 4\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 4(x_4 + x_2 + x_3) - 7\lambda_1 - 5\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 4(15) - 7\lambda_1 - 5\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 7\lambda_1 + 5\lambda_2 = 60 \quad \text{--- } ⑦$$

Solving ⑥ & ⑦ we get

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{40}{9}, \lambda_2 = \frac{52}{9}$$

Now, adding ① & ② we get

$$4x_4 = 2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$$

$$\Rightarrow 4x_4 = 2\left(\frac{40}{9}\right) + \frac{52}{9}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_4 = \frac{11}{3}$$

Now, multiply ② by 2 and adding in ① we get

$$4x_2 = 3\lambda_1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{40}{9}\right) = \frac{10}{3}$$

Now, from ③,  $2x_3 = \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2$ .

$$\Rightarrow 2x_3 = \frac{40}{9} + 2\left(\frac{52}{9}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_3 = 8$$

$x_0 = (x_1, x_2, x_3) = \left(\frac{11}{3}, \frac{10}{3}, 8\right)$  is stationary point

Now, to find  $H^B$

Note that

$$H^B = \begin{bmatrix} O & P \\ P^T & Q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$* P = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$* P^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$* Q = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_3 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_3 \partial x_2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x_3^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -4 & 0 \\ -4 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore H^B = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & 8 & -4 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & -4 & 4 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\therefore \det(H^B) = (-1)^{3+4+1} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$+ (-1)^{3+5+1} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & -4 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + (-1)^{4+5+1} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 8 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det(H^B) = -(-3)(6) - (0) - (3)(24)$$

$$= 54$$

$\therefore \det(H^B)$  is positive

$\therefore X_0 = \left( \frac{11}{3}, \frac{10}{3}, 8 \right)$  is minima

$$\begin{aligned} z &= 4x_1^2 + 2x_2^2 - x_3^2 - 4x_1x_2 \\ &= 4\left(\frac{11}{3}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{10}{3}\right)^2 + (8)^2 - 4\left(\frac{11}{3}\right)\left(\frac{10}{3}\right) \\ &= \frac{820}{9} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  solution is

$$x_1 = \frac{11}{3}, x_2 = \frac{10}{3}, x_3 = 8 \text{ and } z_{\min} = \frac{820}{9}$$

Ex. ②. Using method of Lagrange multipliers solve the following NLPP

$$\text{Maximize } z = 6x_1 + 8x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{subject to } 4x_1 + 3x_2 = 16$$

$$3x_1 + 5x_2 = 15$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

solution: here,  $f(x_1, x_2) = 6x_1 + 8x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1 + 3x_2 - 16$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = 3x_1 + 5x_2 - 15$$

Step 1. construct Lagranges equation

$$L = f - \lambda_1 h_1 - \lambda_2 h_2$$

$$\Rightarrow L = 6x_1 + 8x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - \lambda_1(4x_1 + 3x_2 - 16) - \lambda_2(3x_1 + 5x_2 - 15)$$

Step 2. consider  $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_2} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} = 0, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_2} = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 6 - 2x_1 - 4x_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$8 - 2x_2 - 3x_1 - 5x_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$4x_1 + 3x_2 = 16 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$3x_1 + 5x_2 = 15 \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

solving (3) & (4) we get

$$x_1 = \frac{35}{11}, x_2 = \frac{12}{11}$$

$\therefore X_0 = \left( \frac{35}{11}, \frac{12}{11} \right)$  is stationary point

here, number of variable = 2

$$\therefore \text{we consider. } H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow H = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\therefore A_1 = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_1^2} = -2$  is negative

and  $\det(H) = 4$  is positive

Hence,  $X_0 = \left( \frac{35}{11}, \frac{12}{11} \right)$  is maxima

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore Z_{\max} &= 6x_1 + 8x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 = 6\left(\frac{35}{11}\right) + 8\left(\frac{12}{11}\right) - \left(\frac{35}{11}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{12}{11}\right)^2 \\ &= 16.504 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  solution is

$$Z_{\max} = 16.504, x_1 = \frac{35}{11}, x_2 = \frac{12}{11}$$

Ex ③ Using the method of Lagrangian multipliers  
solve the following NLPP.

optimise.  $Z = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2$

subject to  $x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 = 2$

$$5x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 5$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0$$

\* NLPP with inequality constraint :

\* Kuhn - Tucker conditions :

— For one inequality constraint

consider the NLPP

$$\text{Maximise } Z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{subject to } h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

\* Necessary condition :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\vdots$$
  
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} = 0 \quad \text{--- (n)}$$

$$\lambda h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{--- (n+1)}$$

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0 \quad \text{--- (n+2)}$$

$$\lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (n+3)}$$

\* Note that If problem is of minimisation type

then only (n+3) condition is change

i.e.

$$\underline{\lambda < 0}$$

Important : All the constraints in NLPP should be less than or equal to type ' $\leq$ '

Ex ①

solve the following N.L.P.P

$$\text{maximise } Z = 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 5$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution:

here,  $f(x_1, x_2) = 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2 - 5$$

Now, Kuhn-Tucker condition are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

$$\lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$\lambda \geq 0$$

therefore,  $10 - 4x_1 - 2\lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$

$$4 - 2x_2 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\lambda (2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ④}$$

$$x_1, x_2, \lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

using equation ③ we get

either  $\lambda = 0$  or  $(2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0$

case i) if  $\lambda = 0$

Then from ① & ②,  $10 - 4x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{5}{2}$

and  $4 - 2x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 2$

putting these value in equation ④

$$\text{L.H.S} = 2\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) + 2 - 5 = 2 \neq 0$$

$\therefore x_1 = \frac{5}{2}, x_2 = 2$  not satisfy all condition  
of kuhn tucker

$\therefore \lambda = 0$  not gives the feasible solution

case ii)

if  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $2x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0$  — ⑥

equation ①, ② and ⑥ can be written as

$$4x_1 + 0x_2 + 2\lambda = 10$$

$$0x_1 + 2x_2 + \lambda = 4$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + 0\lambda = 0$$

implies that  $x_1 = \frac{11}{6}, x_2 = \frac{4}{3}, \lambda = \frac{4}{3}$  (we calc)

equation ④ becomes

$$\text{L.H.S} \quad 2\left(\frac{11}{6}\right) + \frac{4}{3} - 5 = 0 \leq 0$$

Hence,  $x_1 = \frac{11}{6}$  and  $x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$  satisfy all necessary  
condition of kuhn tucker

$\therefore$  The optimal solution is

$$x_1 = \frac{11}{6}, x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } Z_{\max} &= 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ &= 10\left(\frac{11}{6}\right) + 4\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) - 2\left(\frac{11}{6}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{91}{6} \end{aligned}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{11}{6}, x_2 = \frac{4}{3}, Z_{\max} = \frac{91}{6}$$

Ex ② Use the kuhn-Tucker condition to solve the following NLPP.

$$\text{Minimise } Z = x_1^3 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$$

subject to  $x_1 + x_2 \leq 1$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution: here,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 1$$

The kuhn-Tucker condition for minima are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0, \quad \lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0, \quad \lambda < 0$$

implies that

$$3x_1^2 - 4 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$-2 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\lambda (x_1 + x_2 - 1) = 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 - 1 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ④}$$

$$\lambda < 0 \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

$$\therefore \text{from ②, } \lambda = -2 \quad (\because \lambda < 0)$$

$$\therefore \text{from ①, } 3x_1^2 - 4 + 2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{from ③, } -2(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + x_2 - 1) = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{equation ④ becomes L.H.S.} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 = 0 \leq 0$$

That is the value  $x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$  and  $x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$  satisfy all condition of kuhn-Tucker  
Hence, optimal solution are

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{and } Z_{\min} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right)^3 - 4\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right) - 2\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right) = -3.093$$

H.W

Ex(3) Use the kuhn Tucker condition to solve the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 2x_1^2 - 7x_2^2 + 12x_1x_2$$

Subject to

$$2x_1 + 5x_2 \leq 98$$
$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Ans:  $x_1 = 44$   
 $x_2 = 2$   
 $Z_{\max} = 4900$

Ex(4) Use kuhn - Tucker condition to solve the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 8x_1 + 10x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

Subject to

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 6$$
$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Ans:  $x_1 = \frac{9}{13}$   
 $x_2 = \frac{33}{13}$   
 $Z_{\max} = 21.3$

- self learning

\* The kuhn-Tucker condition for General NLPP.

Consider the NLPP.

$$\text{Maximize } Z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{subject to } h_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

⋮

$$h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

\* Necessary condition

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} - \dots - \lambda_m \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} - \dots - \lambda_m \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

⋮

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_n} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_n} - \dots - \lambda_m \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_n} = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 h_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 h_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

⋮

$$\lambda_m h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$$

Note that if the problem is of Minimisation Type

then

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m < 0$$

Important: All the constraint in NLPP, should be of less than or equal to type ' $\leq$ '

Ex ①

Using the Kuhn-Tucker condition, solve

the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 - 4 \leq 0$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

solution: here,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$

$$g \quad h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 4$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2 - 5$$

Note that the Kuhn-Tucker conditions

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 h_1(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 h_2(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

implies that

$$2x_1 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$2x_2 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 4) = 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$$\lambda_2 (2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0 \quad \text{--- ④}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 - 4 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑥}$$

$$x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑦}$$

we consider the cases depends on  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$

case i) if  $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0$

from ① & ②  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$

which is trivial solution

case ii) if  $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 \neq 0$

from ① and ②  $2x_1 = 2\lambda_1$  and  $2x_2 = \lambda_2$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ and } 2x_2 = \lambda_2$$

and from ④,  $2x_1 + x_2 = 5$

$$2(\lambda_2) + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} = 5 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = 5$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 5 \text{ and } x_2 = \frac{5}{2}$$

from eq ⑤ L.H.S  $5 + \frac{5}{2} - 4 \neq 0$

$\therefore x_1 = 5 \text{ and } x_2 = \frac{5}{2}$  cannot be feasible solution

case iii) if  $\lambda_1 \neq 0, \lambda_2 = 0$

from ① & ②,  $2x_1 = \lambda_1, 2x_2 = \lambda_1 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$

from ③  $x_1 + x_2 = 4$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + x_1 = 4 \Rightarrow x_1 = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 = 2$$

clearly,  $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 2$  satisfy all condition

$$\therefore Z_{\max} = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 4 + 4 = 8$$

$\therefore$  optimal solution is

$$\boxed{x_1 = 2, x_2 = 2 \text{ and } Z_{\max} = 8}$$