

* NLPP with inequality constraint :

* Kuhn - Tucker conditions :

— For one inequality constraint

consider the NLPP

$$\text{Maximise } Z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{Subject to } h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

* Necessary condition :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

⋮

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} = 0 \quad \text{--- (n)}$$

$$\lambda h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0 \quad \text{--- (n+1)}$$

$$h(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0 \quad \text{--- (n+2)}$$

$$\lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{--- (n+3)}$$

* Note that If problem is of minimisation type

— then only (n+3) condition is change

i.e.

$$\underline{\underline{\lambda < 0}}$$

Important : All the constraints in NLPP should be less than or equal to type ' \leq '

Ex ①

solve the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{maximise } Z = 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 5$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution:

$$\text{here, } f(x_1, x_2) = 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2 - 5$$

Now, Kuhn-Tucker conditions are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

$$\lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$\lambda \geq 0$$

$$\text{—therefore, } 10 - 4x_1 - 2\lambda = 0 \quad \text{— ①}$$

$$4 - 2x_2 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{— ②}$$

$$\lambda (2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0 \quad \text{— ③}$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \quad \text{— ④}$$

$$x_1, x_2, \lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{— ⑤}$$

using equation ③ we get

$$\text{either } \lambda = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad (2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0$$

case i) if $\lambda = 0$

$$\text{—Then from ① \& ②, } 10 - 4x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{5}{2}$$

$$\text{and } 4 - 2x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 2$$

putting these value in equation ④

$$\text{L.H.S} = 2\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) + 2 - 5 = 2 \neq 0$$

$\therefore x_1 = \frac{5}{2}, x_2 = 2$ not satisfy all condition of Kuhn Tucker

$\therefore \lambda = 0$ not gives the feasible solution

case ii) if $\lambda \neq 0$ then $2x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0$ ——— ⑥

\therefore equation ①, ② and ⑥ can be written as

$$4x_1 + 0x_2 + 2\lambda = 10$$

$$0x_1 + 2x_2 + \lambda = 4$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 + 0\lambda = 0$$

implies that $x_1 = \frac{11}{6}, x_2 = \frac{4}{3}, \lambda = \frac{4}{3}$ (use calsi)

equation ④ becomes

$$\text{L.H.S} = 2\left(\frac{11}{6}\right) + \frac{4}{3} - 5 = 0 \leq 0$$

Hence, $x_1 = \frac{11}{6}$ and $x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$ satisfy all necessary condition of Kuhn Tucker

\therefore The optimal solution is

$$x_1 = \frac{11}{6}, x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } Z_{\max} &= 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ &= 10\left(\frac{11}{6}\right) + 4\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) - 2\left(\frac{11}{6}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{91}{6} \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{x_1 = \frac{11}{6}, x_2 = \frac{4}{3}, Z_{\max} = \frac{91}{6}}$$

Ex ② Use the Kuhn-Tucker condition to solve the following NLPP.

$$\text{Minimise } Z = x_1^3 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 \leq 1$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Solution: here, $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^3 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 1$$

The Kuhn-Tucker condition for minima are

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0, \quad \lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0, \quad \lambda < 0$$

implies that

$$3x_1^2 - 4 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$-2 - \lambda = 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\lambda (x_1 + x_2 - 1) = 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 - 1 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ④}$$

$$\lambda < 0 \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

$$\therefore \text{from ②, } \lambda = -2 \quad (\because \lambda < 0)$$

$$\therefore \text{from ①, } 3x_1^2 - 4 + 2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{from ③, } -2(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + x_2 - 1) = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{equation ④ becomes L.H.S } = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} - 1 = 0 \leq 0$$

That is the value $x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ satisfy all condition of Kuhn-Tucker

Hence, optimal solution are

$$x_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$$

$$\text{and } Z_{\min} = \left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right)^3 - 4\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right) - 2\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right) = -3.093$$

(H.W)

Ex ③ Use the Kuhn Tucker condition to solve the following NLP.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 2x_1^2 - 7x_2^2 + 12x_1x_2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 2x_1 + 5x_2 \leq 98$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ans: } x_1 &= 44 \\ x_2 &= 2 \\ Z_{\max} &= 4900 \end{aligned}$$

Ex ④ Use Kuhn - Tucker condition to solve the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = 8x_1 + 10x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 6$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ans: } x_1 &= \frac{4}{13} \\ x_2 &= \frac{33}{13} \\ Z_{\max} &= 21.3 \end{aligned}$$

- self learning

* The Kuhn-Tucker condition for General NLPP.
considers the NLPP.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

$$\text{subject to } h_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

\vdots

$$h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \leq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

* Necessary condition

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} - \dots - \lambda_m \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} - \dots - \lambda_m \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

\vdots

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_n} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_n} - \dots - \lambda_m \frac{\partial h_m}{\partial x_n} = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 h_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 h_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

\vdots

$$\lambda_m h_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m \geq 0$$

Note that if the problem is of minimisation type
then

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m < 0$$

Important: All the constraint in NLPP should be
of less than or equal to type ' \leq '

Ex. ①

Using the Kuhn-Tucker condition, solve

the following N.L.P.P.

$$\text{Maximise } Z = x_1^2 + x_2^2$$

$$\text{subject to } x_1 + x_2 - 4 \leq 0$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

solution: here, $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$

g $h_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 4$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2 - 5$$

Note that the Kuhn-Tucker conditions

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial h_1}{\partial x_2} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial h_2}{\partial x_2} = 0$$

$$\lambda_1 h_1(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 h_2(x_1, x_2) = 0$$

$$h_1(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$h_2(x_1, x_2) \leq 0$$

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$$

implies that

$$2x_1 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$2x_1 - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 4) = 0 \quad \text{--- ③}$$

$$\lambda_2 (2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0 \quad \text{--- ④}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 - 4 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑤}$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑥}$$

$$x_1, x_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0 \quad \text{--- ⑦}$$

we consider the cases depends on λ_1, λ_2

case i) If $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = 0$

from ① & ② $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$
which is trivial solution

case ii) If $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 \neq 0$

from ① and ② $2x_1 = 2\lambda_1$ and $2x_2 = \lambda_2$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = \lambda_2 \text{ and } 2x_2 = \lambda_2$$

and from ④, $2x_1 + x_2 = 5$

$$\therefore 2(\lambda_2) + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} = 5 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = 5$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 5 \text{ and } x_2 = \frac{5}{2}$$

from eqⁿ ⑤ L.H.S $5 + \frac{5}{2} - 4 \not\leq 0$

$\therefore x_1 = 5$ and $x_2 = \frac{5}{2}$ cannot be feasible solution

case iii) If $\lambda_1 \neq 0, \lambda_2 = 0$

from ① & ②, $2x_1 = \lambda_1, 2x_2 = \lambda_1 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$

from ③ $x_1 + x_2 = 4$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + x_1 = 4 \Rightarrow x_1 = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 = 2$$

clearly, $x_1 = 2, x_2 = 2$ satisfy all condition

$$\therefore Z_{\max} = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 4 + 4 = 8$$

\therefore optimal solution is

$x_1 = 2, x_2 = 2$ and $Z_{\max} = 8$
