Explanatory Notes for 6.390

Shaunticlair Ruiz (Current TA)

Fall 2022

MIT 6.036 Spring 2022 1

Computational Gradient

Sometimes, we **can't** easily find the **equation** for our gradient: maybe our loss isn't a simple **equation**, or we have some **other** kind of problem. So, rather than getting the **exact** gradient, we **approximate** it.

But how do we **approximate** the gradient? Well, first, we could **reference** how we approximate a **simple derivative**.

A derivative is just a 1-D gradient, after all!

The definition of the derivative can be gotten as

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \tag{1}$$

But, what if we can't take the limit? Or, we just don't want to?

We can **approximate** by taking h to be a small, **finite** number.

Instead of h, we'll call this δ .

Concept 1

When **approximating** the derivative, we can choose a **small** finite width to measure, called δ , so that

$$\frac{\mathrm{df}}{\mathrm{dx}} \approx \frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} + \delta) - \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})}{\delta}, \qquad \delta << 1$$
 (2)

So, let's **extend** that to the **gradient**:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial \mathbf{J}/\partial \theta_1 \\ \partial \mathbf{J}/\partial \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \partial \mathbf{J}/\partial \theta_d \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

Luckily, the **gradient** is just a bunch of derivatives **stacked** in a **vector**!

So, we can just **compute** each of them **separately**, and then put them together.

Let's show how we'd **write** that in **vector** form, for just one of them. We want something like

$$\underbrace{J'(\theta) \approx \frac{J(\theta + \delta) - f(\theta)}{\delta}}_{\text{Not correct but closer}} \tag{4}$$

This isn't quite right, because a scalar δ would add to every term.

We **only** want to shift **one** variable at a time, so we can do a **simple** derivative.

MIT 6.036 Spring 2022 2

Let's say we want $dJ/d\theta_1$. We would **only** want to add δ to θ_1 : the other parameters are **unchanged**.

So, we **can't** add a **scalar**. Instead, we need a $(d \times 1)$ vector: one term to **separately** add to each θ_k term.

$$\Delta \theta = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \theta_1 \\ \Delta \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta \theta_d \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

We want most terms **unchanged**, so we'll **add 0** to each of them, and we'll add δ to the one term we want to **edit**.

$$\Delta\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

We'll **create** one of these vectors for each **dimension**. We'll give them a special **name**: δ_k , for the k^{th} dimension.

$$\delta_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \delta_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \delta \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \delta_{d-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \delta \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \delta_{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ \delta \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (7)

Finally, we'll **divide** by δ . We have what we need for our full equation:

Key Equation 2

In order to computationally find the gradient, you need to find the partial derivative for each term θ_k .

$$\frac{dJ}{d\theta_k} \approx \frac{J(\theta+\delta_k) - f(\theta)}{\delta}$$

Where

- δ is a small positive number
- δ_k is the $(d \times 1)$ column vector with a δ in the k^{th} row, and a 0 in every other row.