

# Explanatory Notes for 6.390

Shauntclair Ruiz (Current TA)

Fall 2022

## Including $\theta_0$ in $\theta$

### Trying to Simplify

Our approach will involve a lot of **algebra**. Because of that, it's worth it to **simplify** our formula as much as possible beforehand.

$$J(\theta, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \underbrace{(\theta^T x^{(i)} + \theta_0)}_{\text{guess}} - \underbrace{y^{(i)}}_{\text{answer}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

Most parts of this equation can't really be **simplified**:  $y$  and  $x$  are just variables, and we can't do anything with the **sum** without knowing our data points.

But, one thing that was strange is that we **separated**  $\theta_0$  from our other  $\theta_k$  terms. Maybe we can **fix** that.

### Combining $\theta$ and $\theta_0$

Let's go back to our **original** equation for  $(\theta^T x + \theta_0)$ , before we switched to **vectors**.

$$h(x) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_3 + \dots + \theta_d x_d \quad (2)$$

We drop the <sup>(i)</sup> notation whenever it isn't necessary, to de-clutter the equations. We only do this when we don't care which data point we're using.

We converted this into a **dot product** because each  $\theta_n$  term is **multiplied** by an  $x_k$  term, except  $\theta_0$ .

But if we **really** want to include  $\theta_0$ , then could we? We know what's missing: " $\theta_0$  is **not** multiplied by an  $x_k$  term". So... could we get one? Is there a  $x_0$  factor we could **find**?

We need  $\theta_0$  to be **multiplied** by something. Is there something we could "**factor** out"? How about:  $x_0 = 1$ ?

You can always factor out 1 without changing the value!

$$h(x) = \theta_0 x_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 + \theta_3 x_3 + \dots + \theta_d x_d \quad (3)$$

So, this means we just have to **append** a 1 to our vector  $x$ . At the **same time**, we'll append  $\theta_0$  to  $\theta$ !

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad \theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad h(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \theta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_d \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_d \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

We'll write that symbolically, and then apply a transpose.

$$h(x) = \theta \cdot x = \theta^T x \quad (5)$$

**Concept 1**

Sometimes, to simplify our algebra, we can **append**  $\theta_0$  to  $\theta$ .

In order to do this, we have to **append** a value of 1 to  $x$  as well.

Once we do this, we can **write**

$$h(x) = \theta^T x$$

We **have** to append this 1 to every single  $x^{(i)}$  in order for this to **work**. But, now we can treat our parameters as **one vector**.