Explanatory Notes for 6.390

Shaunticlair Ruiz (Current TA)

Fall 2022

MIT 6.036 Spring 2022 1

Application to Regression

One nice thing about **gradient descent** is that it is **easy** to switch the kind of problem you're applying it to: all you need is your **parameters**(s) θ , and a function to optimize, J.

From there, you can just **compute** the gradient.

Ordinary Least Squares

Our loss function is

$$J(\theta, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left((\theta^{\mathsf{T}} \chi^{(i)} + \theta_0) - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$
 (1)

Or, in **matrix** terms, ____

Including the appended row of 1's from before.

$$J = \frac{1}{n} \left(\tilde{X} \theta - \tilde{Y} \right)^{T} \left(\tilde{X} \theta - \tilde{Y} \right)$$

Our gradient, according to matrix derivative rules, is

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{2}{n} \tilde{X}^{T} \left(\tilde{X} \theta - \tilde{Y} \right)$$
 (2)

Before, we set it equal to **zero**. But here, we can instead take **steps** towards the solution, using **gradient descent**.

We could use the **matrix** form, but sometimes it's easier to use a **sum**. Fortunately, derivatives are easy with a sum. If so, here's **another** way to write it:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} x^{(i)} - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)}$$
(3)

Either way, we use gradient descent **normally**:

Remember that θ_{old} is an **input** to the gradient, not multiplied by it!

$$\theta_{\text{new}} = \theta_{\text{old}} - \eta \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta_{\text{old}})$$

Using $\theta^{(t)}$ notation:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t)}} = \boldsymbol{\theta^{(t-1)}} - \eta \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta^{(t-1)}})$$

Ridge Regression

Ridge regression is similar.

MIT 6.036 Spring 2022 2

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\underbrace{(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \theta_{0})}_{\text{guess}} - \underbrace{\mathbf{y}^{(i)}}_{\text{answer}} \right)^{2} + \underbrace{\lambda \|\theta\|^{2}}_{\text{Regularize}}$$

However, we have to treat θ_0 as **separate** from our other data points, because of **regularization**: remember that it **doesn't** apply to θ_0 .

For θ :

$$\nabla_{\theta} J_{\text{ridge}}(\theta, \theta_0) = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left((\theta^{\mathsf{T}} x^{(i)} + \theta_0) - y^{(i)} \right) x^{(i)} + 2\lambda \theta \tag{4}$$

For θ_0 :

$$\frac{\partial J_{\text{ridge}}(\theta, \theta_0)}{\partial \theta_0} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left((\theta^{\mathsf{T}} x^{(i)} + \theta_0) - y^{(i)} \right)$$
 (5)

Notice that we used a **gradient** for our vector θ , but since θ_0 is a single variable, we just used a **simple derivative**!

Concept 1

The **gradient** $\frac{dJ}{d\theta}$ must have the **same shape as** θ : this shape-matching is why we can easily **subtract** it during gradient descent.

$$\underbrace{\theta_{\text{new}}}_{(d\times 1)} = \underbrace{\theta_{\text{old}}}_{(d\times 1)} - \eta \underbrace{\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta_{\text{old}})}_{(d\times 1)}$$