

5004 Homework 2

RONG Shuo

November 10, 2024

Question 1:

1. For each of the following functions $f(x_1, x_2)$, find all critical points (i.e, all x_1, x_2 such that $\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{0}$).

- (a) $f(x_1, x_2) = (4x_1^2 - x_2)^2$
- (b) $f(x_1, x_2) = 2x_2^3 - 6x_2^2 + 3x_1^2x_2$
- (c) $f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 2x_2)^4 + 64x_1x_2$
- (d) $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + 4x_1x_2 + x_2^2 + x_1 - x_2$

Answer :

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= 2(4x_1^2 - x_2)(8x_1) = 16x_1(4x_1^2 - x_2) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} &= 2(4x_1^2 - x_2)(-1) = -2(4x_1^2 - x_2)\end{aligned}$$

set the gradient to 0:

$$16x_1(4x_1^2 - x_2) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$-2(4x_1^2 - x_2) = 0 \quad (2)$$

if $x_1 = 0$, from (2) we can get that $x_2 = 0$

if $x_1 \neq 0$, from equation (1), we know:

$$4x_1^2 = x_2$$

this satisfied $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ Thus, we can conclude that the critical points are:

$$(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, 4x_1^2), \forall x_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= 6x_1x_2 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} &= 6x_2^2 - 12x_2 + 3x_1^2\end{aligned}$$

set the gradient to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}6x_1x_2 &= 0 \\6x_2^2 - 12x_2 + 3x_1^2 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

if $x_1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}6x_2^2 - 12x_2 &= 0 \\6x_2(x_2 - 2) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

we can conclude that $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$, or $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 2)$.
if $x_2 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}3x_1^2 &= 0 \\x_1 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

This gives $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$

In conclusion, the critical points is $(0, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f}{\partial x_1} &= 4(x_1 - 2x_2)^3 + 64x_2 \\ \frac{f}{\partial x_2} &= -8(x_1 - 2x_2)^3 + 64x_1\end{aligned}$$

set the gradient to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}4(x_1 - 2x_2)^3 + 64x_2 &= 0 \\ \text{i.e. } (x_1 - 2x_2)^2 &= -16x_2 \\ -8(x_1 - 2x_2)^3 + 64x_1 &= 0 \\ \text{i.e. } (x_1 - 2x_2)^3 &= 8x_1\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 - 2x_2)^3 &= -16x_2 \\ (x_1 - 2x_2)^3 &= 8x_1 \\ -16x_2 &= 8x_1 \\ -2x_2 &= x_1\end{aligned}$$

Substituting $-2x_2 = x_1$ to $(x_1 - 2x_2)^2 = -16x_2$, we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}64x_2^3 + 16x_2 &= 0 \\ x_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the result is

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1, x_2) &= (-1, \frac{1}{2}) \\ (x_1, x_2) &= (1, -\frac{1}{2})\end{aligned}$$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} &= 2x_1 + 4x_2 + 1 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} &= 4x_1 + 2x_2 - 1\end{aligned}$$

set the gradient to 0:

$$\begin{aligned}2x_1 + 4x_2 + 1 &= 0 \\ 4x_1 + 2x_2 - 1 &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$$x_1 = -\frac{1}{2} - 2x_2$$

Substituting this to second equation.

$$\begin{aligned}4\left(-\frac{1}{2} - 2x_2\right) + 2x_2 - 1 &= 0 \\ -2 - 8x_2 + 2x_2 - 1 &= 0 \\ x_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \\ x_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} + 1 = \frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the critical point is $\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\right)$

Question 2:

2. Find the gradient of the following functions, where the space \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are equipped with the standard inner product.

- (a) $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$, where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $(\lambda > 0)$ are given.
- (b) $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c}$, where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- (c) $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{b} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c}$, where $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Answer :

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Ay} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \\ f(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Ay} - \mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \\ f(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \\ f(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + \langle \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b} \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda\langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \\ f(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + \langle (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}) \rangle + \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda\langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \lambda\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 \\ f(\mathbf{y}) &= \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + \langle (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}), \mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}) \rangle + f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda\langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(\mathbf{y}) - (f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle + \lambda \langle \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)|}{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2} \\
&= \lim_{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2}{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2} \\
&\leq \lim_{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2^2}{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2} \\
&= \lim_{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|_2 \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_2^2\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 + \lambda\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|_2 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, the gradient for (a) is $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{A}^T(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}) + 2\lambda\mathbf{x}$

(b) We know the definition of the $(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}))_{ij} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{ij}}$

And we know that $f(\mathbf{X})$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_i X_{ij} c_j \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{ij}} &= b_i c_j \\
\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) &= \begin{bmatrix} b_1 c_1 & b_1 c_2 & \cdots & b_1 c_n \\ b_2 c_1 & b_2 c_2 & \cdots & b_2 c_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_n c_1 & b_n c_2 & \cdots & b_n c_n \end{bmatrix} \\
\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) &= \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^T
\end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, the gradient of $f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{X} \mathbf{c}$ is $\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{c}^T$

(c) We consider

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{X}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_i X_{ji} X_{ij} c_j \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{ij}} &= b_i X_{ji} c_j + b_i X_{ji} c_j = 2b_i X_{ji} c_j \\
\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 2b_1 \mathbf{X}_{11} c_1 & 2b_1 \mathbf{X}_{21} c_2 & \cdots & 2b_1 \mathbf{X}_{n1} c_n \\ 2b_2 \mathbf{X}_{12} c_1 & 2b_2 \mathbf{X}_{22} c_2 & \cdots & 2b_2 \mathbf{X}_{n2} c_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2b_n \mathbf{X}_{1n} c_1 & 2b_n \mathbf{X}_{2n} c_2 & \cdots & 2b_n \mathbf{X}_{nn} c_n \end{bmatrix} \\
\nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}) &= 2\mathbf{b}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{c}^T
\end{aligned}$$

Question 3:

3. Let $\{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be given with $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $N < n$. Consider the ridge regression

$$\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2,$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regularization parameter, and we set the bias $b = 0$ for simplicity.

(a) Prove that the solution must be in the form of $\mathbf{a} = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \mathbf{x}_i$ for some $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(hint: similar to the proof of the representer theorem.)

(b) Re-express the minimization in terms of $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, which has fewer unknowns than the original formulation as $N < n$.

Answer :

(a) We can denote $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_s + \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \mathbf{x}_i$, where $\mathbf{c} = [c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N]^T \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and $\langle \mathbf{a}_s, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^N (\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\langle \mathbf{a}_s + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_s + \sum_{j=1}^N c_j \mathbf{x}_j\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\sum_{j=1}^N c_j \langle \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j_1=1}^N \sum_{j_2=1}^N c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \langle \mathbf{x}_{j_1}, \mathbf{x}_{j_2} \rangle + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_s\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Introduce $\mathbf{K} = [\langle \mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j \rangle]_{i,j=1}^N \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=1}^N (\sum_{j=1}^N c_j \langle \mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle - y_i)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j_1=1}^N \sum_{j_2=1}^N c_{j_1} c_{j_2} \langle \mathbf{x}_{j_1}, \mathbf{x}_{j_2} \rangle + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_s\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N ((\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{c})_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{c} + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_s\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

We know:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{i=1}^N ((\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{c})_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{c} + \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_s\|_2^2 \\ &\iff \text{minimize}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N ((\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{c})_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{c} \\ &\text{and minimize}_{\mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbb{R}^n, \langle \mathbf{a}_s, \mathbf{x}_i \rangle = 0} \lambda \|\mathbf{a}_s\|_2^2 \\ &\iff \mathbf{a}_s^* = \mathbf{0} \\ &\text{and } \mathbf{c}^* = \text{argmin}_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{i=1}^N ((\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{c})_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{K} \mathbf{c} \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, the optimal $\mathbf{a}^* = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i^* \mathbf{x}_i$

(b)

Question 4:

4. Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + 2\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c$, where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

(a) Prove that \mathbf{x} is a global minimizer of f if and only if $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{b}$.

(b) Prove that f is bounded below over \mathbb{R}^n if and only if $\mathbf{b} \in \{\mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$.

Answer :

Question 5:

5. We consider the following optimization problem:

$$\text{minimize}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) = \log \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \exp(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x} + b_i) \right) \quad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}$ are given.

- (a) Find the gradient of $f(\mathbf{x})$.
- (b) If we use gradient descent to solve Problem (1), will it converge to the global minimizer? Please justify your answer.

Answer