# MSBD5007 Optimization and Matrix Computation Homework 5

RONG Shuo

May 4, 2025

- 1. Find explicit formulas for the projection of  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto the following non-empty, closed, and convex sets  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , respectively.
  - (a) The unit  $\infty$ -norm ball

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid ||x||_{\infty} \le 1 \}.$$

(b) The closed halfspace

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid a^T x \le b \},$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a \neq 0$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  are given.

2. Consider the optimization problem in  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} (x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 + 9x_3^2) - (4x_1 + 2x_2),$$
  
s.t.  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 3,$   
 $x_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$ 

- (a) Write down the KKT conditions (stationarity, feasibility, complementary slackness).
- (b) Solve the KKT system to find the optimal solution  $x^*$ , the Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^*$  for the equality constraint, and the multipliers  $\mu^* = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  for the inequality constraints.
- 3. We wish to compute the projection of  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto the unit  $\ell_1$  ball, i.e. solve

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} ||x - y||_2^2$$
  
s.t.  $||x||_1 \le 1$ .

(a) Derive the Lagrange dual problem and show it can be written as

$$\max_{\lambda \ge 0} d(\lambda), \quad d(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{\lambda}(y_i) - \lambda,$$

where  $h_{\lambda}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is the so-called Huber's function (which is a smooth function consisting of a quadratic and two linear pieces) defined by

$$h_{\lambda}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}t^2, & |t| \leq \lambda, \\ \lambda|t| - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2, & |t| \geq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

- (b) Prove that strong duality holds.
- (c) Find the optimal dual multiplier  $\lambda^*$ .
- (d) Give an expression of the projection in terms of  $\lambda^*$ .
- 4. Let  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonempty, closed, convex set, and let  $\|\cdot\|_2$  denote 2-norm. The projection of any point  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  onto S is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_S(y) = \arg\min_{x \in S} \|x - y\|_2.$$

Prove that the projection  $\mathcal{P}_S: \mathbb{R}^n \to S$  is nonexpansive: for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_S(x) - \mathcal{P}_S(y)\|_2 \le \|x - y\|_2.$$

## 1 Answer

### $1.1 \quad (1)$

### 1.1.1 (a)

We know the projection:

$$\mathcal{P}_S(y) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in S} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2.$$

We consider

$$\min_{oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{S}} \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}\|_2$$

This means:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{S}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - y_i)^2$$

We can solve for each component  $x_i$  independently:

$$\min_{x_i \in [-1,1]} (x_i - y_i)^2$$

This is just the Euclidean projection of a scalar onto the interval [-1, 1], which gives:

$$x_i = \min(\max(y_i, -1), 1)$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{x} = [x_i]_1^n$$

#### 1.1.2 (b)

We know the projection:

$$\mathcal{P}_S(y) = \arg\min_{oldsymbol{x} \in S} \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}\|_2$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda (\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} - b), \quad \lambda \ge 0$$

We know the KKT conditions:

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \lambda \mathbf{a} = 0$$
$$\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{y} - \lambda \mathbf{a}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} & oldsymbol{a}^T oldsymbol{x}^* \leq b \ oldsymbol{a}^T oldsymbol{y} - \lambda oldsymbol{a} \| oldsymbol{a} \|^2 \leq b \ & \lambda \geq rac{oldsymbol{a}^T oldsymbol{y} - b}{\| oldsymbol{a} \|^2} \end{aligned}$$

and we know:

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{x} - b) = 0$$
$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{a}^T\boldsymbol{y} - \lambda||\boldsymbol{a}||^2 - b) = 0$$

So we get  $\lambda = \max(0, \frac{a^T y - b}{\|a\|^2})$ So we can get

$$\mathcal{P}_S(\boldsymbol{y}) = \boldsymbol{y} - \max(0, \frac{\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{y} - b}{\|\boldsymbol{a}\|^2}) \boldsymbol{a}$$

# $1.2 \quad (2)$

#### 1.2.1 (a)

We can write the Lagrangian Function:

$$\mathcal{L}(x,\lambda,\mu) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 + 9x_3^2) - (4x_1 + 2x_2) - \lambda(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \mu_i x_i$$

$$\nabla_x \mathcal{L} = 0$$

$$x_1 - 4 - \lambda - \mu_1 = 0$$

$$4x_2 - 2 - \lambda - \mu_2 = 0$$

$$9x_3 - \lambda - \mu_3 = 0$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 3$$
$$x_i \ge 0$$

$$\mu_i \ge 0$$
$$\mu_i x_i = 0$$

#### 1.2.2 (b)

We need to do analysis of the solution first.

$$x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, x_3 > 0$$
 invalid  $x_1 = 0, x_2 > 0, x_3 > 0$  invalid  $x_1 > 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 > 0$  invalid  $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, x_3 = 0$  valid

if  $x_3 = 0$ , we know  $\mu_1 = 0$ ,  $\mu_2 = 0$ . Therefore, we get:

$$x_1 = \lambda + 4$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\lambda + 2}{4}$$

$$\mu_3 = -\lambda$$

We know  $x_1 + x_2 = 3$ .

$$\lambda + 4 + \frac{\lambda + 2}{4} = 3$$
$$\lambda = -\frac{6}{5}$$

s.t.

$$x_1 = \frac{14}{5}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{5}$$

$$x_1 = 0$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{6}{5}$$

Therefore, we can conclude:

$$x_1 = \frac{14}{5}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{5}$$

$$x_1 = 0$$

$$\mu_1 = 0$$

$$\mu_2 = 0$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{6}{5}$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{6}{5}$$

- 1.3 (3)
- 1.3.1(a)

We know the Lagrange formula:

$$\mathcal{L}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|x - y\|_2^2 + \lambda(\|x\|_1 - 1)$$

And the primal problem can be written as:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \max_{\lambda \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)$$

And the dual problem can be written as:

$$\max_{\lambda > 0} \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)$$

$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0} \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{x}, \lambda)$$
$$\max_{\lambda \geq 0} \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda(\|\boldsymbol{x}\|_1 - 1)$$

The problem is separable in x, so we can reformulate the minimization problem as:

$$\min_{x_i} \frac{1}{2} (x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda |x_i|$$

Apparently, this is a soft-thresholding operator which have been solved in hw4. We can derive:

$$x_i^* = \begin{cases} y_i - \lambda & \text{if} \quad y_i > \lambda \\ y_i + \lambda & \text{if} \quad y_i < -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |y_i| \le \lambda \end{cases}$$

If  $|y_i| \leq \lambda$ :

$$\min_{x_i} \frac{1}{2} (x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda |x_i| = \frac{1}{2} y_i^2$$

If  $y_i > \lambda$ :

$$\min_{x_i} \frac{1}{2} (x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda |x_i|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 + \lambda y_i - \lambda^2$$

$$= \lambda y_i - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2$$

If  $y_i < \lambda$ :

$$\min_{x_i} \frac{1}{2} (x_i - y_i)^2 + \lambda |x_i|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 - \lambda y_i - \lambda^2$$

$$= -\lambda y_i - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2$$

In conclusion,

$$h_{\lambda}(y_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}y_i^2 & \text{if } |y_i| \leq \lambda \\ \lambda |y_i| - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & \text{if } |y_i| > \lambda \end{cases}$$

And the dual problem can be written as:

$$\max_{\lambda \ge 0} d(\lambda), \quad d(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{\lambda}(y_i) - \lambda$$

#### 1.3.2 (b)

The objective  $\frac{1}{2} \| \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \|_2^2$  and the constraint  $\| \boldsymbol{x} \|_1 \le 1$  is convex. And we know for Slater's condition, we can easily find  $\boldsymbol{x} = 0, \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , s.t.  $\| \boldsymbol{x} \|_1 < 1$ . Hence, strong duality holds.

#### 1.3.3 (c)

$$d(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{\lambda}(y_i) - \lambda,$$
$$d'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h'_{\lambda}(y_i) - 1$$

$$h_{\lambda}(y_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}y_i^2 & \text{if } |y_i| \leq \lambda \\ \lambda |y_i| - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & \text{if } |y_i| > \lambda \end{cases}$$

$$h'_{\lambda}(y_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |y_i| \le \lambda \\ |y_i| - \lambda & \text{if } |y_i| > \lambda \end{cases}$$

$$d'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} h'_{\lambda}(y_i) - 1$$

$$d'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\{|y_i| - \lambda, 0\} - 1$$

Hence

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\sum_{i=n-k+1}^n |y_i| - 1}{k}$$

where  $|y_i|$  is rearrange by descending order, and k is the number of  $|y_i|$  values greater than  $\lambda$ .

#### 1.3.4 (d)

$$x_i^* = \begin{cases} y_i - \lambda^* & \text{if} \quad y_i > \lambda \\ y_i + \lambda^* & \text{if} \quad y_i < -\lambda \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad |y_i| \le \lambda \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda^* = \frac{\sum_{i=n-k+1}^n |y_i| - 1}{k}$$

where  $|y_i|$  is rearrange by descending order, and k is the number of  $|y_i|$  values greater than  $\lambda$ .

### $1.4 \quad (4)$

$$\|\mathcal{P}_S({m x}) - \mathcal{P}_S({m y})\|_2 \le \|{m x} - {m y}\|_2$$

Due to convexity, we know:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_S \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{P}_S \boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq 0, \forall \boldsymbol{z} \in S$$
  
 $\langle \boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_S \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{z} - \mathcal{P}_S \boldsymbol{x} \rangle \leq 0, \forall \boldsymbol{z} \in S$ 

Substitute z as  $\mathcal{P}_S x$  and  $\mathcal{P}_S y$  independently, and add the inequality up.

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y}, \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq 0, \forall \boldsymbol{z} \in S$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} \rangle \leq 0, \forall \boldsymbol{z} \in S$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y}, \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} \rangle \leq 0$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} + \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x}, \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq 0$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} - (\mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x}), \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} \rangle \leq 0$$

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}, \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y} \rangle \geq \|\mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_{S}\boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2}$$

By CS-inequality,

$$\langle oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}, \mathcal{P}_S oldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_S oldsymbol{y} 
angle \leq \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}\|_2 \|\mathcal{P}_S oldsymbol{x} - \mathcal{P}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2$$

$$egin{aligned} \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}\|_2 \|oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2 &\geq \|oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2 & \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{y}\|_2 &\geq \|oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2 & \|oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2 & \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2 & \|oldsymbol{x} - oldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_S oldsymbol{y}\|_2 & \|oldsymbol{y}\|_2 & \|$$