LINEAR ALGEBRA



CHAPTER OUTLINE

A. 1	Properties of Matrices	1013
	Matrix inversion lemmas	
	Matrix derivatives	
	Positive Definite and Symmetric Matrices	
	Wirtinger Calculus	
	erences	

A.1 PROPERTIES OF MATRICES

Let *A*, *B*, *C*, and *D* be matrices of appropriate sizes. Invertibility is always assumed, whenever a matrix inversion is performed. The following properties hold true.

- $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$.
- $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.
- $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^T)^{-1}$.
- $trace{AB} = trace{BA}$.
- From the previous, we readily get

$$trace{ABC} = trace{CAB} = trace{BCA}.$$

- det(AB) = det(A)det(B), where $det(\cdot)$ denotes the determinant of a square matrix. As a consequence, the following is also true.
- Let A and B be two $m \times l$ matrices. Then

$$\det(I_m + AB^T) = \det(I_l + A^T B).$$

A by-product is the following

$$\det(I + ab^T) = 1 + a^T b,$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{R}^l$.

Matrix inversion lemmas

Woodbury's identity:

$$(A + BD^{-1}C)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(D + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}.$$

- $(I + AB)^{-1}A = A(I + BA)^{-1}$. $(A^{-1} + B^TC^{-1}B)^{-1}B^TC^{-1} = AB^T(BAB^T + C)^{-1}$.
- The following two inversion lemmas for partitioned matrices are particularly useful:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} + E\Delta^{-1}F & -E\Delta^{-1} \\ -\Delta^{-1}F & \Delta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\Delta := B - CA^{-1}D$, $E := A^{-1}D$, $F := CA^{-1}$. Also

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta^{-1} & -\Delta^{-1}E \\ -F\Delta^{-1} & B^{-1} + F\Delta^{-1}E \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\Delta := A - DB^{-1}C$, $E := DB^{-1}$, $F := B^{-1}C$. Matrix Δ is also known as the *Schur* complement.

For complex matrices, the transposition becomes the Hermitian one.

Matrix derivatives

- $\bullet \quad \frac{\partial a^T x}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial x^T a}{\partial x} = a.$
- $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = (A + A^T) \mathbf{x},$

which becomes 2Ax if A is symmetric.

- $\frac{\partial (AB)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x}B + A\frac{\partial B}{\partial x}$.
- $\frac{\partial A^{-1}}{\partial x} = -A^{-1} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} A^{-1}$.
- $\frac{\partial \ln |A|}{\partial x} = \operatorname{trace}\{A^{-1} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x}\},\$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the determinant, and matrices A and B are functions of x.

• $\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\{AB\}}{\partial A} = B^T$,

where
$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial A}\right]_{ij} := \frac{\partial}{\partial A(i,j)}$$
.

- $\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\{A^T B\}}{\partial A} = B.$
- $\frac{\partial \operatorname{trace}\{ABA^T\}}{\partial A} = A(B + B^T).$
- $\bullet \quad \frac{\partial \ln |A|}{\partial A} = (A^T)^{-1}.$
- $\frac{\partial Ax}{\partial x} = A^T$,

where by definition $\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{y}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}\right]_{ii} = \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j}$.

More on matrix identities can collectively be found in [2].

A.2 POSITIVE DEFINITE AND SYMMETRIC MATRICES

An $l \times l$ real symmetric matrix A is called *positive definite* if, for every nonzero vector x, the following is true:

$$\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}. \tag{A.1}$$

If equality with zero is allowed, A is called *positive semidefinite*. The definition is extended to complex Hermitian symmetric matrices, A, if $\forall x \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$x^H A x > 0$$

It is easy to show that all eigenvalues of such a matrix are positive. Indeed, let λ_i be one eigenvalue and u_i the corresponding unit norm eigenvector ($u_i^T u_i = 1$). Then, by the respective definitions

$$A\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{A.2}$$

or

$$0 < \boldsymbol{u}_i^T A \boldsymbol{u}_i = \lambda_i. \tag{A.3}$$

Since the determinant of a matrix is equal to the product of its eigenvalues, we conclude that the determinant of a positive definite matrix is also positive.

Let A be an $l \times l$ symmetric matrix, $A^T = A$. Then the eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal. Indeed, let $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_i$ be two such eigenvalues. From the definitions we have

$$A\mathbf{u}_i = \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i, \tag{A.4}$$

$$Au_j = \lambda_j u_j. \tag{A.5}$$

Multiplying Eq. (A.4) on the left by u_i^T and the transpose of Eq. (A.5) on the right by u_i , we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{u}_i^T A \boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_i^T A^T \boldsymbol{u}_i = 0 = (\lambda_i - \lambda_i) \boldsymbol{u}_i^T \boldsymbol{u}_i. \tag{A.6}$$

Thus, $u_i^T u_i = 0$. Furthermore, it can be shown that even if the eigenvalues are not distinct, we can still find a set of orthogonal eigenvectors. The same is true for Hermitian matrices, in case we deal with more general complex-valued matrices.

Based on this, it is now straightforward to show that a symmetric matrix A can be diagonalized by the similarity transformation

$$U^T A U = \Lambda, \tag{A.7}$$

where matrix U has as its columns the unit norm eigenvectors ($u_i^T u_i = 1$) of A, that is,

$$U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_l], \tag{A.8}$$

and Λ is the diagonal matrix with elements being the corresponding eigenvalues of A. From the orthonormality of the eigenvectors, it is obvious that $U^T U = I$ and $UU^T = I$; that is, U is an orthogonal matrix, $U^T = U^{-1}$. The proof is similar for Hermitian complex matrices as well.

A.3 WIRTINGER CALCULUS

Let a function

$$f: \mathbb{C} \longmapsto \mathbb{C},$$
 (A.9)

and let

$$f(z) = f_r(x, y) + if_i(x, y), \quad z = x + iy, x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then, the Wirtinger derivative or W-derivative of f at a point $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(c) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x}(c) + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y}(c) \right) + \frac{j}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(c) - \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial y}(c) \right), \tag{A.10}$$

and the conjugate Wirtinger derivative or CW-derivative as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(c) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x}(c) - \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y}(c) \right) + \frac{j}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x}(c) + \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial y}(c) \right), \tag{A.11}$$

provided that the involved derivatives exist. In this case, we say that f is differentiable in the real sense. This definition has been extended to gradients, for vector-valued functions as well as to Frechét derivatives in complex Hilbert spaces [1]. The following properties are valid:

• If f has a Taylor series expansion with respect to z (i.e., it is holomorphic) around c, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(c) = 0.$$

• If f has a Taylor series expansion with respect to z^* around c, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(c) = 0.$$

- $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(c)\right)^* = \frac{\partial f^*}{\partial z^*}(c).$
- $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(c)\right)^* = \frac{\partial f^*}{\partial z}(c).$
- Linearity: If f and g are differentiable in the real sense, then

$$\frac{\partial (af + bg)}{\partial z}(c) = a\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(c) + b\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(c),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial (af + bg)}{\partial z^*}(c) = a\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(c) + b\frac{\partial g}{\partial z^*}(c).$$

Product rule:

$$\frac{\partial (fg)}{\partial z}(c) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(c)g(c) + f(c)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(c),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial (fg)}{\partial z^*}(c) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(c)g(c) + f(c)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z^*}(c).$$

• Division rule: If $g(c) \neq 0$,

$$\frac{\partial \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)}{\partial z}\Big|_{c} = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(c)g(c) - f(c)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}(c)}{g^{2}(c)},$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)}{\partial z^*}\Big|_{c} = \frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(c)g(c) - f(c)\frac{\partial g}{\partial z^*}(c)}{g^2(c)}.$$

• Let

$$f: \mathbb{C} \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$$
.

If z_o is a local optimal of the real-valued f, then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_o) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial z^*}(z_o) = 0.$$

Indeed, in this case $f_i = 0$ and the Wirtinger derivative becomes

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z_o) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f_r}{\partial x}(z_o) - j \frac{\partial f_r}{\partial y}(z_o) \right) = 0,$$

as at the optimal point both derivatives on the left hand side become zero. Similar is the proof for the CW-derivative.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bouboulis, S. Theodoridis, Extension of Wirtinger's calculus to reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and the complex kernel LMS, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 53(3) (2011) 964-978.
- [2] K.B. Petersen, M.S. Pedersen, The Matrix Cookbook, 2013, http://www2.imm.dtu.dk/pubdb/p.php?3274.