1(a) Proof. We claim that $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

First note that if x = 0, then $f_n = n \cdot 0/(1 + n^2 \cdot 0) = 0$ for all n. Therefore, $\lim_{n \to \infty} f_n(0) = 0$. Now if $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $nx \neq 0$ for any n, so

$$|f_n(x)| = \left| \frac{nx}{1 + n^2 x^2} \right| = \frac{|nx|}{1 + |nx|^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{|nx|} + |nx|}.$$

Note that $1/|nx| + |nx| \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $|f_n(x)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This proves that $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge pointwisely and the limit is 0.

1(b) Proof. Before we prove the whole statement, we prove a few useful results that would be helpful.

We first claim that $\varphi(x) = |f_N(x)|$ is decreasing on $[1/N, +\infty)$ and increasing on $(-\infty, -1/N]$, for N fixed. Pick any $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ such that N , which also means that <math>1/N > 1/p > 1/q. We want to show that $\varphi(1/p) < \varphi(1/q)$. Note that

$$\varphi(1/p) = \frac{N/p}{1 + (N/p)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N/p} + N/p} = \frac{1}{\frac{p^2 + N^2}{Np}} = \frac{1}{\frac{qp^2 + qN^2}{Npq}},$$
$$\varphi(1/q) = \frac{N/q}{1 + (N/q)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{N/q} + N/q} = \frac{1}{\frac{q^2 + N^2}{Nq}} = \frac{1}{\frac{pq^2 + pN^2}{Nqp}}.$$

Also note that

$$\frac{qp^2 + qN^2}{Npq} - \frac{pq^2 + pN^2}{Npq} = \frac{pq(p-q) + N^2(q-p)}{Npq} = \frac{(q-p)(pq - N^2)}{Npq} > 0,$$

as p < q, and $N^2 < pq$ from N < p, q. Therefore,

$$\frac{qp^2+qN^2}{Npq}>\frac{pq^2+pN^2}{Npq}\implies \frac{1}{\frac{qp^2+qN^2}{Npq}}<\frac{1}{\frac{pq^2+pN^2}{Npq}}\implies \varphi(1/p)<\varphi(1/q).$$

 φ is decreasing on $[1/N, +\infty)$ as desired, and by a similar argument, φ is increasing on $(-\infty, -1/N]$.

Also it's clear that $\varphi(x)$ is an even function.

* * *

We now first prove the backward direction. Suppose that $0 \notin A'$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(-\delta, +\delta) \cap A = \emptyset$. Thus, we can show that $\{f_n(x)\}$ converges uniformly to 0 on A by showing that $\{f_n(x)\}$ uniformly converges to 0 on $\mathbb{R} \setminus (-\delta, +\delta)$, since $A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\delta, +\delta)$. Let $I = \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\delta, +\delta)$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$. We want a natural N such that $n \geq N$ implies $|f_n(x)| < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in I$. Consider the points $x = \pm \delta$. First there must be a natural N_1 such that $\delta \in [1/N_1, +\infty)$. Therefore, by previous results $\varphi(x) = |f_{N_1}(x)|$ is decreasing on $[1/N_1, +\infty)$ and increasing on

MATH 321 HW 04 36123040 Shawn Wu

 $(-\infty, -1/N_1]$. Since $-\delta < -1/N_1 < 1/N_1 < \delta$, $\varphi(x)$ is decreasing on $[\delta, +\infty)$ and increasing on $(-\infty, -\delta]$ as well, i.e., $\sup_{x \in I} \varphi(x) = \varphi(\delta) = \varphi(-\delta)$. And since $\{f_n(\delta)\} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, there must be another N_2 such that $n \ge N_2$ implies that $|f_n(\delta)| = |f_n(-\delta)| < \varepsilon$. We thus take $N > \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Note that $N > N_1 \implies 1/N < 1/N_1 < \delta$. Hence for any $n \ge N$, $\sup_{x \in I} f_n(x) = |f_n(\delta)| = |f_n(-\delta)| < \varepsilon$. This proves that the function converges uniformly to zero.

* * *

We now proceed to the forward direction. We do proof by contraposition. Suppose that $0 \in A'$. Then there exists a sequence $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq A$ converges to 0 where $|a_{i+1}| \leq |a_i|$ for all i. To see this, note that there exists $x_1 \in (-1,1) \cap A$; set $a_1 = x_1$, and for any $i \geq 2$, there exists $x_i \in (\max\{-1/i, -|x_{i-1}|\}, \min\{1/i, |x_{i-1}|\})$; set $a_i = x_i$.

We want to show that $\{f_n(x)\}\$ does not converge uniformly to 0, i.e., there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, and there exist infinitely many N's such that for each N, $|f_N(x)| > \varepsilon$ for some x.

We pick $\varepsilon = 1/4$. Now consider the $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ generated before. Note that for each a_i , there exists a natural N such that $|a_i| \in [1/N, 1/(N-1)]$. And note that $\varphi(x) = |f_N(x)|$ is decreasing on $[1/N, +\infty)$ and increasing on $(-\infty, -1/N]$, and note that fact that $\varphi(x)$ is even. Thus,

$$f_N\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right) \le f_N(a_i) \le f_N\left(\frac{1}{N}\right).$$

Also note that for any natural $N \geq 2$,

$$f_N\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right) = \frac{\frac{N}{N-1}}{1+\left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{N-1}{N}+\frac{N}{N-1}} = \frac{N(N-1)}{(N-1)^2+N^2} = \frac{N^2-N}{2(N^2-N)+1} = \frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{N^2-N}} > \varepsilon.$$

Putting everything together, we know that since (a_i) is such a sequence that its absolute value is decreasing and it converges to zero, then it generates an infinite sequence of $(N_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ where each $|a_i|\in[1/N_i,1/(N_i-1)]$, and there are infinitely many distinct elements in the sequence $(N_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. And for each N_i ,

$$f_{N_i}(a_i) \ge f_{N_i}\left(\frac{1}{N-1}\right) > \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof that $\{f_n(x)\}\$ does not converge uniformly on A.

2(a) Proof. First note that if x = 0 or x = 1, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in [0, 1]$, $f_n(x) = 0$. If however, $x \in (0, 1)$, then $0 < 1 - x^4 < 1$, i.e., $1 - x^4 = 1/(1 + p)$ for some p > 0. Therefore, by Rudin Theorem 3.20(d), for any fixed $x \in [0, 1]$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^c (1 - x^4)^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^c}{(1+p)^n} = 0,$$

so,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^c x^3 (1 - x^4)^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} x^3 \cdot \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^c}{(1 + p)^n} = x^3 \cdot 0 = 0.$$

The limit function f(x) thus exists and f(x) = 0 for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

2(b) Proof. We claim that the convergence is not uniform for $c \ge 3/4$ but it is uniform for c < 3/4.

For $c \ge 3/4$, let $x_n = n^{-1/4}$, then

$$f_n(x_n) = n^{c-\frac{3}{4}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^n.$$

Note that $(1-1/n)^n \to e^{-1}$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, when c = 3/4, $f_n(x_n) \to e^{-1}$ as $n \to \infty$. And when c > 3/4, $n^{c-3/4}$ diverges, so $f_n(x_n)$ diverges as $n \to \infty$. In either case, $f_n(x_n)$ is at least $e^{-1}/2$ for infinitely many n's. This concludes that $\{f_n(x)\}$ cannot be converging to zero uniformly in this case.

For c < 3/4. First note that $1 + t \le e^t$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We also set $y = nx^4$. Thus,

$$|f_n(x)| = \left| n^c x^3 (1 - x^4)^n \right| \le \left| n^c x^3 e^{-nx^4} \right| = \left| n^{c - \frac{3}{4}} (nx^4)^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{-nx^4} \right| = \left| n^{c - \frac{3}{4}} y^{\frac{3}{4}} e^{-y} \right|.$$

Note that $y^{3/4}e^{-y} \to 0$ as exponential grows faster than polynomial. Therefore the function $\varphi(y) = y^{3/4}e^{-y}$ is bounded. Also note that $n^{c-3/4} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ since c - 3/4 < 0. Hence, $\left| n^{c-3/4}y^{3/4}e^{-y} \right| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. This means that $\sup_{x \in [0,1]} |f_n(x)| \to 0$, which shows that $\{f_n(x)\}$ converges uniformly to zero in this case.

2(c) Proof. First note that

$$\int_0^1 f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 0 \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

We then use change of variable and let $g(x) = 1 - x^4$, so $g'(x) = -4x^3$; note that the derivative is continuous. Thus,

$$\int_0^1 x^3 (1 - x^4)^n \, dx = \frac{-1}{4} \int_0^1 (1 - x^4)^n (-4x^3) \, dx = \frac{-1}{4} \int_0^1 (g(x))^n g'(x) \, dx$$

$$= \frac{-1}{4} \int_{g(0)}^{g(1)} u^n \, du = \frac{-1}{4} \int_1^0 u^n \, du$$

$$= \frac{-1}{4} \left[\frac{u^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_{u=1}^{u=0}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 f_n(x) dx = n^c \int_0^1 x^3 (1 - x^4)^n dx = \frac{n^c}{4(n+1)}.$$

And it's clear that the above integral converges to 0 iff c < 1.

MATH 321 HW 04 36123040 Shawn Wu

3 Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$. Since g is continuous at 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ s.t. $|g(x) - g(0)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $|x| < \delta$. And by (iii), there exists a natural N s.t. $f_n(x) < \varepsilon$ for all $n \ge N$ and for all $x \in [-1, -\delta] \cup [+\delta, +1]$. Also let $M = \sup_{x \in [-1, 1]} g(x)$. Therefore, for all $n \ge N$,

$$\begin{split} &\left|\int_{-1}^{1} f_n(x)g(x) - g(0) \, \mathrm{d}x\right| \\ &= \left|\int_{-1}^{1} f_n(x)[g(x) - g(0)] \, \mathrm{d}x\right| \\ &\leq \left|\int_{-1}^{-\delta} f_n(x)[g(x) - g(0)] \, \mathrm{d}x\right| + \left|\int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} f_n(x)[g(x) - g(0)] \, \mathrm{d}x\right| + \left|\int_{+\delta}^{+1} f_n(x)[g(x) - g(0)] \, \mathrm{d}x\right| \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^{-\delta} f_n(x) \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} f_n(x) \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{+\delta}^{+1} f_n(x) \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^{-\delta} \varepsilon \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} f_n(x) \varepsilon \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{+\delta}^{+1} \varepsilon \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{-1}^{-\delta} \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} f_n(x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \varepsilon \int_{+\delta}^{+1} \left|g(x) - g(0)\right| \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \varepsilon 2M(1 - \delta) + \varepsilon \int_{-1}^{1} f_n(x) + \varepsilon 2M(1 - \delta) & \text{(since } f_n(x) \geq 0) \\ &\leq \varepsilon 4M + \varepsilon \\ &\leq \varepsilon 4M + \varepsilon \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof.

5

4(a) Proof. First note that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le \varphi(x) \le 1$. Also note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n}$ is a geometric series hence converge and equals 1. Now fix $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that $f_1(t)$ is a series of non-negative terms that's bounded above, thus

$$0 \le f_1(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^{2n-2}t)}{2^n} \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 1.$$

So, $f_1(t)$ converges, which means that f_1 is well-defined. With a similar reasoning, f_2 is well-defined as well. And $0 \le f_i(t) \le 1$ for all t.

* * *

We show that $f_1(t)$ is continuous by showing that it is the limit of a sequence of uniform convergent continuous functions; with a similar reasoning, $f_2(t)$ is continuous as well. Let

$$S_I(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \frac{\varphi(3^{2i-2}t)}{2^i}.$$

Note that each $S_I(t)$ is a continuous function on \mathbb{R} , since it is a finite sum of compositions of continuous functions.

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1/2^i$ converges, so there exists a natural N s.t. $I, J \geq N$ implies

$$\left| \sum_{i=I}^{J} \frac{1}{2^i} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

And note that for any $I, J \geq N$,

$$|S_I(t) - S_J(t)| = \left| \sum_{i=I}^J \frac{\varphi(3^{2i-2})}{2^i} \right| \le \left| \sum_{i=I}^J \frac{1}{2^i} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, by the Cauchy Criterion, $\{S_I\}_{I\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly. Since $f_1(t)$ is its limiting function, we conclude that $f_1(t)$ must be continuous as well, by Rudin Theorem 7.12.

4(b) Proof. sorry I didn't have the time to finish this question...

4(c) Proof. We just need to follow the results established before. Note that

$$f(c) = (f_1(c), f_2(c))$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^{2n-2}c)}{2^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(3^{2n-1}c)}{2^n}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2n-1}}{2^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{2n}}{2^n}\right)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{2^n}\right)$$
(by 4(b))
$$= (a, b).$$

7