STAD57 A3 2022-12-02 library(astsa) attach(eqexp) The following object is masked from package:astsa: ## ## E05 Q1 a) Time Series plot of EX1 and EQ1 tsplot(EQ1) 9 4 $^{\circ}$ EQ1 \ddot{c} 4 9 0 500 1000 1500 2000 Time tsplot(EX1) $^{\circ}$ 0 X 0 500 1000 1500 2000 Time ACF plot up to lag 100 of EX1 and EQ1 acf(EQ1, lag.max = 100)Series EQ1 -0.2 0 20 40 60 80 100 Lag acf(EX1, lag.max = 100)Series EX1 0.5 Lag Spectral density estimation of EX1 and EQ1 spectrum(EQ1, spans = c(30, 10))Series: x **Smoothed Periodogram** 0.500 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 frequency bandwidth = 0.00447 spectrum(EX1, spans = c(30, 10))Series: x **Smoothed Periodogram** 1e-03 1e-02 1e-01 1e+00 1e+01 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 frequency bandwidth = 0.00447#Earthquake signal decreases in the same pattern through the frequency #Explosion signal decrease rapidly when frequency is between 0.1 to 0.3 Q1 b) # Combine all series EXEQ = cbind(EX1, EX2, EX3, EX4, EX5, EX6, EX7, EX8, EQ1, EQ2, EQ3, EQ4, EQ5, EQ6, EQ7, EQ8) # create matrix to hold spectral density values spectra = matrix(0, nrow = 16, ncol = 30)# estimate spectra at 30 frequencies using AR(30) model for all series for(i in 1:16){ spectra[i,] = arima.sim(list(EXEQ[,i]), n = 30)} library(tidyverse) — tidyverse 1.3.2 — ## — Attaching packages -## **✓** ggplot2 3.3.6 ✓ purrr 0.3.4 ## **✓** tibble 3.1.8 ✓ dplyr 1.0.10 ✓ stringr 1.4.0 ## ✔ tidyr 1.2.1 ## ✔ readr 2.1.2 ✓ forcats 0.5.2 ## — Conflicts -– tidyverse_conflicts() — ## # dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter() ## ***** dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag() # create data frame with spectra and series type spectra = tibble(spectra) %>% mutate(type = colnames(EXEQ) %>% str_sub(1,2)) # Perform Linear Discriminant Analysis and plot histograms of the most # discriminating linear combinations of the spectra for Explosions and Earthquakes library(MASS) ## ## Attaching package: 'MASS' ## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr': ## ## select lda_spec = MASS::lda(type ~ . , data = spectra) ## Warning in lda.default(x, grouping, ...): variables are collinear Historgram of LDA values plot(lda_spec) -3 -2 0 1 2 -1 group EQ -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 group EX hist(lda_spec\$scaling, xlab = "LDA values") Histogram of Ida_spec\$scaling 10 ∞ 9 I would prefer -0.2 0.0 -0.40.2 0.4 0.6 LDA values to classify signals using AR coefficient analysis because everything is shown in two graphs. Doing Spectrum analysis is sophiscating, because we need to plot each graph, In this case a total of 16 graphs. Q2 load("A3.RData") Split the data $X_{train} = window(X, start = c(2002, 1), end = c(2015, 12))$ $X_{\text{test}} = window(X, start = c(2016, 1), end = c(2019, 12))$ Q2 a) fit = forecast::auto.arima(X_train[,'emp']) Registered S3 method overwritten by 'quantmod': ## ## method ## as.zoo.data.frame zoo #Report the fitted model fit ## Series: X_train[, "emp"] ## ARIMA(0,0,0)(1,1,2)[12] ## ## Coefficients: ## sar1 sma1 sma2 ## -0.0501 -0.5409 -0.1017 0.9659 0.9596 ## s.e. 0.6062 ## ## $sigma^2 = 7.353e-06$: log likelihood = 699 AICc=-1389.73 ## AIC=-1390 BIC=-1377.8 #Create 1 to 24 step ahead predictions library(forecast) ## Attaching package: 'forecast' ## The following object is masked from 'package:astsa': ## ## gas fore <- forecast(fit, h = 24) fore ## Point Forecast Lo 80 Hi 80 Lo 95 Hi 95 ## Jan 2016 -0.0139441312 -0.0174191511 -1.046911e-02 -0.019258715 -0.0086295469 ## Feb 2016 $0.0031379548 \ -0.0003370651 \ \ 6.612975e-03 \ -0.002176630 \ \ 0.0084525391$ ## Mar 2016 0.0002175112 -0.0032575087 3.692531e-03 -0.005097073 0.0055320955 ## Apr 2016 0.0075725897 0.0040975698 1.104761e-02 0.002258005 0.0128871740 ## May 2016 0.0211424021 0.0176673822 2.461742e-02 0.015827818 0.0264569863 ## Jun 2016 0.0115546164 0.0080795965 1.502964e-02 0.006240032 0.0168692007 ## Jul 2016 -0.0033973512 -0.0068723711 7.766871e-05 -0.008711935 0.0019172331 ## Aug 2016 -0.0005216693 -0.0039966892 2.953351e-03 -0.005836254 0.0047929150 ## Sep 2016 -0.0099066488 -0.0133816687 -6.431629e-03 -0.015221233 -0.0045920645 ## Oct 2016 0.0009088390 -0.0025661809 4.383859e-03 -0.004405745 0.0062234233 ## Nov 2016 -0.0040156374 -0.0074906573 -5.406175e-04 -0.009330222 0.0012989469 ## Dec 2016 -0.0048465345 -0.0083215544 -1.371515e-03 -0.010161119 0.0004680498 ## Jan 2017 -0.0140451579 -0.0177996971 -1.029062e-02 -0.019787230 -0.0083030858 ## Feb 2017 0.0032315110 -0.0005230282 6.986050e-03 -0.002510561 0.0089735832 ## Mar 2017 0.0003418926 -0.0034126465 4.096432e-03 -0.005400180 0.0060839648 ## Apr 2017 0.0075684620 0.0038139228 1.132300e-02 0.001826390 0.0133105342 ## May 2017 0.0210251756 0.0172706364 2.477971e-02 0.015283103 0.0267672478 ## Jun 2017 0.0115873603 0.0078328211 1.534190e-02 0.005845288 0.0173294325 ## Jul 2017 -0.0034132765 -0.0071678157 3.412627e-04 -0.009155349 0.0023287957 -0.0005595592 -0.0043140984 3.194980e-03 -0.006301631 0.0051825129 ## Aug 2017 ## Sep 2017 -0.0098001593 -0.0135546984 -6.045620e-03 -0.015542231 -0.0040580871 ## Oct 2017 0.0008471844 -0.0029073548 4.601724e-03 -0.004894888 0.0065892565 ## Nov 2017 -0.0038644105 -0.0076189497 -1.098713e-04 -0.009606483 0.0018776617 ## Dec 2017 -0.0048605556 -0.0086150948 -1.106016e-03 -0.010602628 0.0008815166 Calculate mean square error of predictions versus the actual Employment mean((X_test[, 'emp']-fore\$mean)^2) ## [1] 7.415863e-06 Q2 b) ccf(fore\$residuals, X_train[, 'cpi']) fore\$residuals & X_train[, "cpi"] 0.05 No, most of -0.05-1.5 -1.0 -0.50.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 Lag the data in 95% confidence interval Q2 c) library(vars) ## Loading required package: strucchange ## Loading required package: zoo ## ## Attaching package: 'zoo' The following objects are masked from 'package:base': ## ## as.Date, as.Date.numeric ## Loading required package: sandwich ## ## Attaching package: 'strucchange' The following object is masked from 'package:stringr': ## ## boundary ## ## Loading required package: urca ## Loading required package: lmtest vars::VARselect(X_train, lag.max=24) ## \$selection ## AIC(n) HQ(n) SC(n) FPE(n)12 12 ## ## ## \$criteria ## 1 ## AIC(n) -2.070175e+01 -2.070787e+01 -2.092545e+01 -2.098865e+01 -2.095894e+01 ## HQ(n) -2.065147e+01 -2.062406e+01 -2.080813e+01 -2.083781e+01 -2.077457e+01 ## SC(n) -2.057801e+01 -2.050163e+01 -2.063672e+01 -2.061743e+01 -2.050522e+01 ## FPE(n) 1.021762e-09 1.015576e-09 8.170657e-10 7.671583e-10 7.905092e-10 7 ## 6 8 ## AIC(n) -2.100433e+01 -2.114021e+01 -2.128446e+01 -2.145942e+01 -2.150587e+01 -2.078644e+01 -2.088880e+01 -2.099953e+01 -2.114097e+01 -2.115389e+01 ## SC(n) -2.046812e+01 -2.052150e+01 -2.058326e+01 -2.067572e+01 -2.063967e+01 ## FPE(n) 7.557230e-10 6.600591e-10 5.717892e-10 4.804368e-10 4.591342e-10 12 ## ## AIC(n) -2.163149e+01 -2.283199e+01 -2.280390e+01 -2.280563e+01 -2.277383e+01 ## HQ(n) -2.124600e+01 -2.241297e+01 -2.235137e+01 -2.231958e+01 -2.225425e+01 ## SC(n) -2.068280e+01 -2.180080e+01 -2.169022e+01 -2.160946e+01 -2.149516e+01 ## FPE(n) 4.054698e-10 1.222584e-10 1.259767e-10 1.260339e-10 1.304355e-10 ## 17 18 16 ## AIC(n) -2.276365e+01 -2.272030e+01 -2.268764e+01 -2.272871e+01 -2.270190e+01 ## HQ(n) -2.221055e+01 -2.213367e+01 -2.206749e+01 -2.207505e+01 -2.201472e+01 ## SC(n) -2.140248e+01 -2.127664e+01 -2.116148e+01 -2.112007e+01 -2.101076e+01 ## FPE(n) 1.321512e-10 1.384596e-10 1.435872e-10 1.383830e-10 1.428055e-10 21 22 23 ## ## AIC(n) -2.269157e+01 -2.267628e+01 -2.265139e+01 -2.271290e+01 ## HQ(n) -2.197086e+01 -2.192205e+01 -2.186364e+01 -2.189163e+01 ## SC(n) -2.091793e+01 -2.082015e+01 -2.071276e+01 -2.069178e+01 ## FPE(n) 1.450362e-10 1.481157e-10 1.528109e-10 1.446964e-10 #AIC = 12Report the fitted model newfit <- vars::VAR(X_train,p=12) %>% vars::restrict() newfit ## ## VAR Estimation Results: ## _____ ## ## Estimated coefficients for equation emp: ## ## Call: ## emp = emp.l12## emp.112 ## ## 0.9370206 ## ## ## Estimated coefficients for equation cpi: ## Call: ## cpi = cpi.l1 + emp.l3 + emp.l9 + emp.l11 + const## ## cpi.l1 emp.13 emp.19 emp.111 ## 0.1730817429 0.0773155827 0.1465263754 0.1342325394 0.0008171901 Create 1-to-24-step-ahead predictions newfore <- forecast(newfit, h = 24) newfore ## emp Hi 80 ## Point Forecast Lo 80 Lo 95 Hi 95 ## Jan 2016 -0.0121943456 -0.0161354090 -0.0082532822 -0.0182216820 -0.0061670093 ## Feb 2016 0.0021132719 -0.0018277915 0.0060543353 -0.0039140644 0.0081406083 ## Mar 2016 -0.0005856463 -0.0045267097 0.0033554171 -0.0066129826 0.0054416900 ## Apr 2016 0.0066835843 0.0027425209 0.0106246477 0.0006562479 0.0127109206 0.0204744964 0.0165334330 0.0244155598 0.0144471601 0.0265018328 ## May 2016 Jun 2016 0.0106527957 0.0067117323 0.0145938591 0.0046254594 0.0166801321 ## ## Jul 2016 -0.0029676954 -0.0069087588 0.0009733680 -0.0089950318 0.0030596409 ## Aug 2016 -0.0004454480 -0.0043865114 0.0034956154 -0.0064727844 0.0055818883 ## Sep 2016 -0.0097229354 -0.0136639988 -0.0057818720 -0.0157502718 -0.0036955991 ## Oct 2016 0.0014907595 -0.0024503039 0.0054318229 -0.0045365768 0.0075180959 ## Nov 2016 -0.0050467595 -0.0089878229 -0.0011056961 -0.0110740959 0.0009805768 ## Dec 2016 -0.0046198318 -0.0085608952 -0.0006787684 -0.0106471681 0.0014075046 ## Jan 2017 -0.0114263533 -0.0168272030 -0.0060255035 -0.0196862402 -0.0031664663 ## Feb 2017 0.0019801794 -0.0034206704 0.0073810292 -0.0062797076 0.0102400663 ## Mar 2017 -0.0005487627 -0.0059496124 0.0048520871 -0.0088086496 0.0077111243 ## Apr 2017 0.0062626563 0.0008618065 0.0116635060 -0.0019972307 0.0145225432 0.0191850253 0.0137841755 0.0245858751 0.0109251383 0.0274449122 ## May 2017 0.0099818892 0.0045810394 0.0153827390 0.0017220023 0.0182417762 ## Jun 2017 ## Jul 2017 -0.0027807918 -0.0081816416 0.0026200580 -0.0110406788 0.0054790951 ## Aug 2017 -0.0004173940 -0.0058182438 0.0049834558 -0.0086772809 0.0078424930 ## Sep 2017 -0.0091105910 -0.0145114407 -0.0037097412 -0.0173704779 -0.0008507040 ## Oct 2017 0.0013968724 -0.0040039774 0.0067977222 -0.0068630146 0.0096567594 $-0.0047289177 \ -0.0101297675 \ \ 0.0006719320 \ -0.0129888047 \ \ 0.0035309692$ ## Nov 2017 Dec 2017 -0.0043288776 -0.0097297274 0.0010719722 -0.0125887646 0.0039310093 ## ## ## cpi Point Forecast Hi 80 Lo 95 ## Lo 80 ## Jan 2016 0.0014690755 -0.0025620499 0.005500201 -0.004695999 0.007634150 ## Feb 2016 0.0037728393 -0.0003182213 0.007863900 -0.002483898 0.010029577 ## Mar 2016 0.0037122903 -0.0003805523 0.007805133 -0.002547172 0.009971753 ## Apr 2016 0.0029859006 -0.0011185593 0.007090360 -0.003291329 0.009263130 ## May 2016 0.0029537893 -0.0011510181 0.007058597 -0.003323972 0.009231550 Jun 2016 -0.0006624003 -0.0047672181 0.003442418 -0.006940177 0.005615377 ## 0.0013885906 -0.0027162275 0.005493409 -0.004889187 0.007666368 ## Jul 2016 ## Aug 2016 0.0004584861 -0.0036463320 0.004563304 -0.005819291 0.006736264 ## Sep 2016 0.0012113059 -0.0028935122 0.005316124 -0.005066472 0.007489084 $-0.0017123689 \ -0.0058576087 \ 0.002432871 \ -0.008051966 \ 0.004627228$ ## Oct 2016 $0.0001342081 \ -0.0040122365 \ 0.004280653 \ -0.006207232 \ 0.006475648$ ## Nov 2016 -0.0016340059 -0.0058162860 0.002548274 -0.008030251 0.004762240 ## Dec 2016 ## Jan 2017 $0.0019126237 \; -0.0022707252 \; 0.006095973 \; -0.004485256 \; 0.008310504$ 0.0036794782 -0.0005039027 0.007862859 -0.002718451 0.010077407 ## Feb 2017 0.0035549257 -0.0006284562 0.007738308 -0.002843005 0.009952856 ## Mar 2017 $0.0028625457 \ -0.0013306015 \ 0.007055693 \ -0.003550319 \ 0.009275411$ ## Apr 2017 0.0028304252 -0.0013630142 0.007023865 -0.003582887 0.009243737 ## May 2017 ## Jun 2017 -0.0005583706 -0.0047518187 0.003635077 -0.006971696 0.005854955 ## Jul 2017 0.0013633893 -0.0028300591 0.005556838 -0.005049937 0.007776715 $0.0004918516 \ -0.0037015967 \ 0.004685300 \ -0.005921474 \ 0.006905178$ ## Aug 2017 ## Sep 2017 0.0011972575 -0.0029961909 0.005390706 -0.005216068 0.007610583 ## Oct 2017 -0.0015422865 -0.0057705022 0.002685929 -0.008008784 0.004924211 $0.0001879942 \ -0.0040412586 \ 0.004417247 \ -0.006280090 \ 0.006656078$ ## Nov 2017 ## Dec 2017 -0.0014688588 -0.0057289800 0.002791263 -0.007984152 0.005046434 Calculate the MSE of the predictions versus the actual Employment data mean((newfore\$forecast\$emp\$mean-X_test[,'emp'])^2) ## [1] 7.359114e-06 This is really close to the univariate data from part a. (almost the same) GCT <- vars::causality(newfit)</pre> ## Warning in vars::causality(newfit): ## Argument 'cause' has not been specified; ## using first variable in 'x\$y' (emp) as cause variable. GCT ## \$Granger ## Granger causality HO: emp do not Granger-cause cpi ## ## ## data: VAR object newfit ## F-Test = 2.4124, df1 = 12, df2 = 262, p-value = 0.005578## ## ## \$Instant ## HO: No instantaneous causality between: emp and cpi ## ## ## data: VAR object newfit ## Chi-squared = 3.5654, df = 1, p-value = 0.059#do not Granger-causes employment #p-value is 0.005578

Yes the test result coincide with my MSE comparison