

## Exercises for next session

1. The following sentences are semantically ambiguous. Give paraphrases that precisely describe the different readings.
  - (a) *John and Mary are married.*
    - (i)  $\text{MARRY}(\{j, m\}) \xRightarrow{\text{Rule}} \text{MARRY}(j, m) \xRightarrow{\text{Rule}} \text{MARRY}(m, j)$
    - (ii)  $\exists x \text{MARRY}(j, x) \wedge \exists y \text{MARRY}(j, y)$
  - (b) *Five examiners marked six scripts.*
    - (i)  $\text{MARK}(\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_5\}, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6\})$
    - (ii)  $\text{MARK}(e_1, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6\}) \wedge \text{MARK}(e_2, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6\}) \wedge \text{MARK}(e_5, \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_6\})$   
 $\xRightarrow{\text{Rule}} \text{MARK}(e_1, s_1), \text{MARK}(e_1, s_6), \dots, \text{MARK}(s_1, s_6)$
    - (iii)  $\text{MARK}(\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_5\}, s_1), \dots, \text{MARK}(\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_5\}, s_6) \xRightarrow{\text{Rule}} \dots$
    - (iv)  $\text{MARK}(e_1, s_1), \dots, \text{MARK}(e_5, s_6)$

Compare: *Five students connected six computers, Five terrorists gathered at six flats*
  - (c) *John kissed his wife, and so did Sam.*
    - (i) Sam kissed Sam's wife
    - ii Sam kissed John's wife
  - (d) *John paints Mary more often than Sam.* (i) ... than Sam paints Mary  
 (ii) ... than John paints Sam
  - (e) *Paul wants to buy a poodle.*
    - (i) There is a certain poodle that Paul wants to buy
    - (ii) Paul wants to buy a(ny) poodle
  - (f) *Smith's murderer must be insane.*
    - (i) The speaker doesn't know who Smith's murderer is, but the was Smith has been killed ...
    - (ii) The speaker refers to a particular person.
  - (g) *John often wins on Sunday.*
    - (i) Next Sunday, John will often win. (ii) John gambles on several days per week. And often he wins on a Sunday.
  - (h) *I love you too.*
    - (i) I love you (just like you love me)
    - (ii) I love you (just like someone else does)
    - (iii) I love you (and I love someone else)
    - (iv) I love you (as well as liking you)

2. The meanings of which natural language sentences do the following formulas represent?

(a)

$$\exists u \exists t \exists t' \exists s \exists e (\text{SING}(s, \text{LEA}) \wedge t \subseteq s \wedge t = n \wedge u = \text{LEA} \wedge \text{MEET}(e, u, \text{LUC}) \wedge t' < n \wedge e \subseteq t')$$

*Lea is singing and she met Luc.*

(b)

$$\exists x \exists y \exists t \exists t' \exists s (\text{MAN}(x) \wedge t \subseteq s \wedge t = n \wedge \text{LOVE}(s, x, y) \wedge t' = n \wedge \text{WOMAN}(y) \wedge \neg(\exists s' (\text{LOVE}(s', y, y) \wedge t' \subseteq s')))$$

*A man loves a woman who doesn't love herself.*

3. The meaning of the sentence *Next week, Paul will come.* may be represented by the following formula.

$$\exists t \exists t' \exists e (\text{COME}(e, \text{PAUL}) \wedge e \subseteq t \wedge n < t \wedge \text{WEEK}(t) \wedge \text{WEEK}(t') \wedge n \subseteq t' \wedge t' < t \wedge \neg \exists t'' (\text{WEEK}(t'') \wedge t' < t'' < t))$$

- (a) Give a paraphrase for the meaning representation of *next week*.  
the week that immediately precedes the current week
- (b) Draw a time line with the temporal periods  $t$  and  $t'$  as well as the event  $e$  on it.
- (c) Give a similar representation for the sentence *Yesterday, Paul will come.* and show that this leads to an inconsistency by again drawing a time line. Which conjuncts of the formal are responsible for the inconsistency?

$$\exists t \exists t' \exists e (\text{COME}(e, \text{PAUL}) \wedge e \subseteq t \wedge n < t \wedge \text{DAY}(t) \wedge \text{DAY}(t') \wedge n \subseteq t' \wedge t < t' \wedge \neg \exists t'' (\text{WEEK}(t'') \wedge t < t'' < t'))$$

$$e \subseteq t \wedge n < t \wedge n \subseteq t' \wedge t < t'$$