C\ TYPE SYSTEM

WILL VEATCH

We define the System F_{ω}^{AL} , the basis for the C\ language. System F_{ω}^{AL} is system F_{ω} extended with affine and linear kinds. That is, we have have kinds \star for unrestricted types, \bullet for affine types, and \circ for linear types, with the following subkinding relation: $\star \leq \bullet \leq \circ$. Alternatively, System F_{ω}^{AL} is System F° , described in [1], extended with type functions and an affine kind. We take inspiration from [3, 2].

$$\begin{array}{lll} \kappa ::= \star \mid \bullet \mid \circ \mid \kappa \Rightarrow \kappa & \text{kinds} \\ \tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau \xrightarrow{\kappa} \tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa . \tau \mid \lambda (\alpha : \kappa) . \tau \mid \tau \ \tau & \text{types} \\ e ::= x \mid \lambda^{\kappa} (x : \tau) . e \mid e \mid e \mid \Lambda (\alpha : \kappa) . v \mid e[\tau] & \text{expressions} \\ v ::= \lambda^{\kappa} (x : \tau) . e \mid \Lambda (\alpha : \kappa) . v & \text{values} \end{array}$$

Remark 0.1 (Type and Data Constructors). Type constructors, and even type functions, do not need any kind constraints. Their kinds can simply be of the form $k_1 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow k_n$. This is because the type constructor does not have any information about then usage of variables passed to the data constructor for a given type. Constraints likely must be present in data constructors. This choice allows for better kind polymorphism.

Consider the Either type. In our language we would have

type Either =
$$\lambda(a:\kappa_1).\lambda(b:\kappa_2).(a|b):\kappa_3$$

We could add the constraints $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_3, \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_3$. The constructors would then have the following type signatures:

Left:
$$\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_3, \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_3 \Rightarrow \forall a : \kappa_1. \forall b : \kappa_2. a \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} (\text{Either } a \ b) : \kappa_3$$

Right: $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_3, \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_3 \Rightarrow \forall a : \kappa_1. \forall b : \kappa_2. b \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} (\text{Either } a \ b) : \kappa_3$

However, suppose $\kappa_1 = \star$ and $\kappa_2 = \circ$. Then (Either a b) : \circ . So (Left x) : $_{-}$: \circ and (Right y) : $_{-}$: \circ . However, it should be that (Left x) : \star . Thus, we do not constrain the type constructor, only the data constructors:

Left:
$$\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_3 \Rightarrow \forall a : \kappa_1 . \forall b : \kappa_2 . a \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} (\text{Either } a \ b) : \kappa_3$$

Right: $\kappa_2 \leq \kappa_3 \Rightarrow \forall a : \kappa_1 . \forall b : \kappa_2 . b \xrightarrow{\kappa_4} (\text{Either } a \ b) : \kappa_3$

Date: August 29, 2024.

$$\begin{split} \frac{[\Gamma;\cdot;\cdot],x:\tau_1 \Rrightarrow \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \qquad \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \vdash e:\tau_2}{\Gamma\vdash \lambda^\star(x:\tau_1).\,e:\tau_1 \stackrel{\star}{\to} \tau_2} \text{ [U-Lam]} \\ \frac{[\Gamma;\Delta;\cdot],x:\tau_1 \Rrightarrow \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \qquad \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \vdash e:\tau_2}{\Gamma;\Delta\vdash \lambda^\bullet(x:\tau_1).\,e:\tau_1 \stackrel{\bullet}{\to} \tau_2} \text{ [A-Lam]} \\ \frac{[\Gamma;\Delta;\Xi],x:\tau_1 \Rrightarrow \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \qquad \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \vdash e:\tau_2}{\Gamma;\Delta,\Xi\vdash \lambda^\circ(x:\tau_1).\,e:\tau_1 \stackrel{\bullet}{\to} \tau_2} \text{ [L-Lam]} \\ \frac{[\Gamma;\Delta;\Xi],x:\tau_1 \Rrightarrow \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \qquad \Gamma';\Delta';\Xi' \vdash e:\tau_2}{\Gamma;\Delta,\Xi\vdash \lambda^\circ(x:\tau_1).\,e:\tau_1 \stackrel{\circ}{\to} \tau_2} \text{ [L-Lam]} \\ \frac{\Gamma;\Delta;\Xi_1\vdash e_1:\tau_1 \stackrel{\kappa}{\to} \tau_2 \qquad \Gamma;\Delta_2;\Xi_2\vdash e_2:\tau_1 \qquad \Delta_1 \uplus \Delta_2 = \Delta \qquad \Xi_1 \uplus \Xi_2 = \Xi}{\Gamma:\Delta:\Xi\vdash e_1e_2:\tau_2} \text{ [App]} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa;\Delta;\Xi\vdash v:\tau}{\Gamma;\Delta;\Xi\vdash \alpha:\kappa.\cdot\tau} \text{ [TLam]} \\ &\frac{\Gamma;\Delta;\Xi\vdash e:\forall\alpha:\kappa.\cdot\tau' \qquad \Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{\Gamma;\Delta;\Xi\vdash e[\tau]:\tau'[\tau/\alpha]} \text{ [TApp]} \end{split}$$

Evaluation rules:

$$(\lambda^{\kappa}(x:\tau).e)v \mapsto e[v/x] \qquad (\Lambda(\alpha:\kappa).v)[\tau] \mapsto v[\tau/\alpha] \qquad \frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{e_1e_2 \mapsto e_1'e_2}$$

$$\frac{e \mapsto e'}{ve \mapsto ve'} \qquad \frac{e \mapsto e'}{e[\tau] \mapsto e'[\tau]}$$

Existential types may be encoded in the current system. We (admissibly) adopt existential types as primitives.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \tau ::= \dots \mid \exists \alpha : \kappa . \, \tau' & \text{types} \\ e ::= \dots \mid \mathsf{pack}(\tau, e) \mid \mathsf{let} \, \mathsf{pack}(\tau, e) = e_1 \, \mathsf{in} \, e_2 & \text{expressions} \\ v ::= \dots \mid \mathsf{pack}(\tau, v) & \text{values} \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'}{\Gamma\vdash\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau':\kappa'} \stackrel{\kappa\leq\kappa'}{} [\mathsf{Exts}]$$

$$\frac{\Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\cdot;\cdot\vdash\vdash \mathsf{e}:\tau'[\tau/\alpha]} \stackrel{\Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\star}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\cdot;\cdot\vdash \mathsf{e}:\tau'[\tau/\alpha]} \stackrel{\Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\star}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\cdot;\cdot\vdash \mathsf{pack}(\tau,e):\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'} \stackrel{\Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\bullet}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\cdot\vdash \mathsf{pack}(\tau,e):\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'} \stackrel{\Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\circ}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\Xi\vdash \mathsf{pack}(\tau,e):\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'} \stackrel{\Gamma\vdash\tau:\kappa}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\circ}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\Xi\vdash \mathsf{pack}(\tau,e):\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'} \stackrel{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\Xi\vdash \mathsf{e}:\tau'[\tau/\alpha]}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \frac{\Gamma,\Gamma';\cdot;\cdot\vdash e_1:\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\cdot;\cdot\vdash (\mathsf{let}\,\mathsf{pack}(\tau,e):\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'} \stackrel{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa,\Gamma';\cdot;\cdot],x:\tau'\vdash e_2:\tau_2}{\Gamma\vdash\tau_2:\star} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'}{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'} \stackrel{\kappa'\leq\star}{\kappa'\leq\star} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \frac{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\vdash\vdash (\mathsf{let}\,\mathsf{pack}(\alpha,x)=e_1\,\mathsf{in}\,e_2):\tau_2} \stackrel{\Gamma\vdash\tau_2:\bullet}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\vdash\vdash (\mathsf{let}\,\mathsf{pack}(\alpha,x)=e_1\,\mathsf{in}\,e_2):\tau_2} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \frac{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\Xi\vdash e_1:\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'}{\Gamma,\Gamma';\Delta;\Xi\vdash e_1:\exists\alpha:\kappa.\tau'} \stackrel{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa,\Gamma';\Delta;\Xi],x:\tau'\vdash e_2:\tau_2}{\Gamma\vdash\tau_2:\circ} \stackrel{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'}{} \stackrel{\kappa'\leq\circ}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \frac{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'}{\Gamma,\alpha:\kappa\vdash\tau':\kappa'}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma}{}} \stackrel{\Gamma}{} \stackrel{\Gamma$$

Evaluation rules:

$$\begin{split} & \text{let pack}(\alpha,x) = (\operatorname{pack}(\tau,v)) \operatorname{in} e_2 \mapsto e_2[\tau,v/\alpha,x] & \overline{\operatorname{pack}(\tau,e) \mapsto \operatorname{pack}(\tau,e')} \\ & \frac{e_1 \mapsto e_1'}{\operatorname{let pack}(\alpha,x) = e_1 \operatorname{in} e_2 \mapsto \operatorname{let pack}(\alpha,x) = e_1' \operatorname{in} e_2} \end{split}$$

References

- [1] Karl Mazurak, Aa Bb, and S. Zdancewic. "Lightweight linear types in System F°". In: Jan. 2010, pp. 77–88. DOI: 10.1145/1708016.1708027.
- [2] J. Garrett Morris. "The best of both worlds: linear functional programming without compromise". In: *Proceedings of the 21st ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Functional Programming*. ICFP'16. ACM, Sept. 2016. DOI: 10.1145/2951913.2951925.
- [3] Jesse Tov and Riccardo Pucella. "Practical Affine Types". In: vol. 46. Jan. 2011. DOI: 10.1145/1926385.1926436.