# Final Report

By Project Prime

March 13, 2019

#### 1 Introduction

In this project, we were tasked with building a multi-host file synchroniser consisting of three components: a server, and mobile and desktop client. The server is the "hub" whilst the clients are "spokes". The server was created using Node.js, where it acted as an interface between both clients and the database (which was created using MongoDB). In addition, this server processed HTTP GET and POST requests to deliver/updates files on the client's behalf. The mobile client was made using Java and XML on Android Studio, whereas the desktop client was created using Javascript, HTML and CSS on Electron. The result of this project is a fully-functioning file synchroniser that interacts with and manages multiple, heterogeneous clients via a Node.js server. Furthermore, this synchroniser possesses the necessary algorithms (e.g. rsync) to resolve file conflicts caused by clients.

### 2 Review

# 3 Requirements and Design

Requirements have been derived for each component. The requirements have been analysed using the MoSCoW prioritisation technique (Madsen, 2017); as a result, each requirement has been placed into one of the following categories: Must Have (critical requirements with the highest priority), Should Have (Important but unnecessary requirements for the final product), Could Have (Lowest-priority requirements that would be implemented if time permits) and Won't Have (Least critical requirements that are unrequired for project success). In addition, the subsections will show the designs that reflect the corresponding component.

# 3.1 Desktop Client

MoSCoW requirements for The Desktop Client					
Requirement	Requirement	Priority			
No.					
1	Must be able to communicate	Must Have			
	with the Server Application				
2	Has to be able to upload files Must Have				
3	Has to be able to download files	Must Have			
	from database via server				
4	Make use of a file management	Must Have			
	system to upload any file from				
	the user's system				
5	Check for updates regularly so	Must Have			
	that the desktop client is in				
	sync with the Server and Mobile				
	Client				
6	Display the current list of files	Should Have			
	from the database in the centre				
	of the page				
7	Include a delete file functionality	Should Have			
8	Provide a way to track and check	Could Have			
	recent changes to files				
9	Include a search bar to quickly	Could Have			
	find files if the list of files is too				
	large				
10	Have User profiles for person-	Won't Have			
	alised access				

# 3.2 Mobile Client

MoSCoW requirements for The Mobile Client					
Requirement	Requirement	Priority			
No.					
1	Must be able to communicate	Must Have			
	with the Server Application				
2	Must be able to upload files into	oad files into   Must Have			
	the database through the server				
3	Must be able to delete files	Must Have			
4	All changes must be reflected in	ted in Must Have			
	the files stored in the server				
5	The mobile UI must be simple	Should Have			
	and easy to navigate through				

### 3.3 Server Application

MoSCoW requirements for Server Application				
Requirement	Requirement	Priority		
No.				
1	Has to be connected to a	Must Have		
	database in order to store and re-			
	trieve files			
2	Must be able to communicate	Must Have		
	with the Desktop and Mobile			
	Clients simultaneously			
3	Use HTTP requests to send data	send data   Must Have		
	to the clients			
4	Handle conflicts using Rsync	Should Have		
5	Use security encryption to pro-	Could Have		
	tect the data			

## 4 Implementation

### 4.1 Server Application

The server was developed using Node.js and the database used is a cloud service by MongoDB.

### 4.2 Desktop Client

The desktop client was developed using the Electron framework; thus, the implementation primarily involved HTML, Javascript and CSS coding. To start with the foundation, Electron provides JS and HTML code (Electron, n.d.) to form a basic desktop app and webpage, respectively; therefore, we used this preexisting code as the starting point for the development of the desktop client. Afterwards, we modified the webpage by adding a title (i.e. "Project Prime Desktop"), a file upload bar and a display of the list of server-contained files using HTML and CSS. To upload a file into the database, the desktop client would transmit a POST request to the server after the user selects a file; as a result, the file gets stored in the database. Next, in order to display the database-contained files, the client sends a GET request to the server, which relays the files to the client. In addition, a delete button is underneath each file on the desktop client, which after being pressed, deletes the corresponding file in the server; thus, removing the file from the client UI. The rationale behind this layout is to grant simplicity to the desktop application, as well as efficiency in terms of usage.

### 4.3 Mobile Client

The mobile client was developed using Android Studio; thus, the implementation primarily involved Java and XML.

#### 5 Teamwork

Project Prime consists of six members: Yusaf, Sandipan, Saloni, Shefali, Cameron and Manny. To balance the workload, the team was divided into three subgroups of two teammates and each subgroup was assigned to the development of one component. As a result, Yusaf and Sandipan were assigned to the desktop client, Saloni and Shefali were assigned to the server, and Manny and Cameron were assigned to the mobile client. Within these subgroups, the work was distributed between both teammates through discussion. Despite the team division, members from other subgroups were permitted to intervene in the development of a component that they weren't assigned to, in order to fix issues that the assigned subgroup couldn't correct, for example. Moving onto communication, the team remotely communicated using an instant messaging app (i.e. Whatsapp), which allowed arrangements of group sessions at a certain date-and-time, notifying teammates of open pull requests, etc. Furthermore, the sessions were conducted in booked study rooms at least once per week and these sessions involved group discussion and coding of all components. Next, the project involved Github, where the project implementation was contained in a public repository called "Project Prime Dev". In addition to Git, the team followed the feature branch workflow, where a component feature was developed inside a branch seperate to the master branch and subsequently, the former would be merged into the latter branch.

#### 6 Evaluation

To start with what went well, one positive aspect was the firm strength of team communication, as all members proactively shared their thoughts and concerns in physical meetings and in the WhatsApp group. In addition, communication was carried-out in a respectful manner at all times; thus, there were no verbal conflicts during the project. Another positive aspect was the fairness in workload distribution by creating subgroups that were assigned to the development of a specific component. The rationale behind the formation of subgroups was to avoid the possibility of one member feeling overwhelmed from having lots of work. Furthermore, by assembling subgroups, this influenced the members of the subgroup to cooperate together to build the component; thus, being in a subgroup generated a sense of teamwork. Finally, a notable positive aspect was our ability to adapt to changing circumstances. For instance, it was initially planned to store files in a SQL database. Unfortunately, we discovered SQL databases were unideal for file storage since it is limited by file size. In response, we decided to migrate to MongoDB, which is a cloud service that al-

lows the creation of document-oriented database systems that can contain files of any size.

Moving onto what didn't go well, our initial plan was weak as we didn't know how to approach the task, due to having no experience in developing file synchronisers. Although we met various project objectives, our commitment to the plan was low, as we rarely compared our progress to the initial plan during our weekly meetings. Another negative was the poor interactive feedback of certain features in particular components. For example, after pressing the *Browse* button in the desktop application, there is no feedback (e.g. temporary colour change, mouse cursor change) to indicate the button-pressed.

In conclusion, this project caused the realisation that despite our computer science backgrounds, our technical prowess is still very basic and there is much for us to learn. In response to this discovery, we will commit to thoroughly relearning and practising how to use different technologies in our spare time, including programming languages and Git (e.g. BitBucket). In retrospect, if this project was repeated, our different approach would be to partner stronger members with novice ones, in terms of technological skill; thus, providing novice members with the opportunity to enhance their skills by learning whilst working on the job.

#### 7 Peer Assessment

Peer Assessment of Project Prime						
Yusaf	Sandipan	Saloni	Shefali	Cameron	Manny	
0	0	0	0	0	0	

### 8 References

- Madsen, S. (2017) How to Prioritize with the MoSCoW Technique [online] Available at: https://www.projectmanager.com/training/prioritize-moscow-technique [Accessed on 10 March 2019]
- Electron (n.d.) Writing your First Electron App Electron [online] Available at: https://electronjs.org/docs/tutorial/first-app [Accessed on 12 March 2019]