

# **CLASS ACTIVITY**

**Shehla Shireen**

**DS & AI – 11**

# Model Training and Evaluation Report

## 1. Introduction

This report summarizes the fine-tuning and evaluation of the FLAN-T5 model using LoRA (Low-Rank Adaptation) on a custom instruction dataset. The objective of this project is to improve the model's instruction-following ability while maintaining efficient training using limited compute resources.

---

## 2. Experimental Setup

- **Base Model:** FLAN-T5 (LoRA fine-tuned)
  - **Framework:** Hugging Face Transformers (v4.54.0)
  - **Hardware:** Google Colab T4 GPU
  - **Training Dataset:** 2,000 instruction-response pairs (subset of Alpaca-style data)
  - **Evaluation Dataset:** 200 samples for validation.
  - **Training Parameters:**
    - Batch size: **4** (with gradient accumulation steps = 4)
    - Learning rate: **2e-4**
    - Number of epochs: **3**
    - Evaluation steps: Every **500** steps
    - Checkpoints: Saved every **500** steps in `./flan_t5_lora_alpaca`
    - Mixed precision: **FP16** (disabled by default due to stability, can be enabled for memory savings)
- 

## 3. Metrics

The evaluation metrics used for this task are:

- **Training Loss & Validation Loss** – to measure convergence during training.
  - **ROUGE Scores (ROUGE-1, ROUGE-2, ROUGE-L)** – to evaluate the overlap between predicted and reference text.
  - **Qualitative Evaluation** – through manual inspection of generated outputs for sample instructions.
-

## 4. Key Observations

1. Training loss decreased steadily across epochs, indicating that the model learned effectively.
2. Validation loss and ROUGE metrics were evaluated periodically at every 500 steps.
3. Some instability (e.g., `OutOfMemoryError`) was observed due to GPU constraints, which was mitigated by reducing batch size and enabling gradient checkpointing.
4. Generated outputs for test instructions showed noticeable improvement in relevance and fluency compared to the base model.

metric	value
Train loss	1.85
Validation loss	1.90
Rouge 1	36.4
Rouge 2	15.7
Rouge L	34.7

---

## 5. Sample Outputs

Below are some examples of model predictions after fine-tuning:

**Instruction:** Give three tips for staying healthy.

**Model Output:**

1. Eat a balanced diet rich in fruits and vegetables.
2. Exercise regularly to maintain physical fitness.
3. Get enough sleep and manage stress effectively.

**Instruction:** Explain overfitting in simple terms.

**Model Output:**

Overfitting happens when a model learns the training data too well, including its noise, and performs poorly on new unseen data.

---

## 6. Recommendations for Improvement

1. **Increase Training Data:** Use a larger and more diverse instruction dataset.
2. **Longer Training:** Train for 5–8 epochs if compute resources allow.
3. **Hyperparameter Tuning:** Experiment with learning rates (e.g.,  $1e-4$  or  $5e-5$ ).

4. **Enable FP16:** This reduces GPU memory usage and speeds up training.
  5. **Regular Evaluation:** Include more frequent validation checks to monitor overfitting.
- 

## 7. Conclusion

The LoRA fine-tuned FLAN-T5 model demonstrates improved instruction-following capabilities compared to its base version. While ROUGE metrics provide a quantitative assessment of performance, qualitative inspection of outputs further confirms that the fine-tuned model generates more accurate and contextually appropriate responses.