

SINGAPORE UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND DESIGN

50.007 Machine Learning Project Report

1006236	Shelen Go
1006242	Michelle Ordelia Sumaryo
1006365	Ruan Yang
1006071	Rachel Leow Si Min

PART 1

Section 1

```
from fractions import Fraction

#function that estimates the emission parameters from the training set using NLE

def estimate_emission_parameters_mle(training_data):
    emission_canams = {}  # emission parameters initialization
    emission_counts = {}  # initialize emission counts of each word given each tog

# Looping through the training_data:
    for sentence in training_data:
    for word, tag in sentence:
        if tag not in emission_counts[tag] = {}
        if word not in emission_counts[tag];
        emission_counts[tag][word] = 0
        emission_counts[tag][word] = 0
        emission_counts[tag][word] + 1

# Colculating NLE

for tag, word_counts in emission_counts.items():
        total_count = sum(word_counts.values())
        # sub-actionary for current tog in emission_params
        emission_params[tag] = {}
        for word, count in word_counts.items():
        emission_params[tag][word] = count / total_count
        # emission_params
```

We call the estimate_emission_parameters_mle to estimate the emission probabilities within a Hidden Markov Model (HMM) using Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE). The input to this function is the train dataset. Firstly, initialize the dictionaries to store emission probabilities and counts of words associated with each tag. We then iterate through the training data, incrementing the emission counts for each word-tag pair. Subsequently, it calculates the emission probabilities for each tag-word combination by dividing the count of a specific word under a certain tag by the total count of all words under that tag. It outputs a dictionary containing the estimated emission probabilities, which indicate the likelihood of observing particular words given specific tags.

Section 2

```
def estimate_emissions_from_data(data, k=1):
   sentiment count = {}
   emission_parameters = {}
   for sentence in data:
       for word, sentiment in sentence:
           sentiment_count.setdefault(sentiment, 0)
           sentiment_count[sentiment] += 1
           emission_parameters.setdefault(word, {}).setdefault(sentiment, 0)
           emission_parameters[word][sentiment] += 1
   emission parameters["#UNK#"] = {}
   for sentiment in sentiment count:
     emission_parameters['#UNK#'][sentiment] = k
   for word, sentiment_count_dict in emission_parameters.items():
        for sentiment, count in sentiment_count_dict.items():
          emission_parameters[word][sentiment] = count / sentiment_count[sentiment]
   return emission_parameters
```

We call the function <u>estimate_emissions_from_data</u> which uses 2 dictionaries, sentiment_count and emission_parameters to store word-sentiment counts. We iterated through the data and apply additive smoothing with a parameter k. The function calculates normalized emission probabilities.

Section 3

```
def read_dataset(file_path, labeled=True):
     sentences = []
                          # Holds all the sentences parsed from the file
     current_sentence = []  # Holds the words and tags of current sentence being processed
     with open(file_path, 'r', encoding='utf-8') as f:
         for line_number, line in enumerate(f, start=1):
             if line == "" or line == "\n":
                if current_sentence:
                     sentences.append(current_sentence)
                current sentence = []
             else:
                 line = line.strip() # Remove leading/trailing whitespaces
                 # Check if the line is not empty
                 if line:
                     if labeled:
                        tokens = line.split()
                         if len(tokens) >= 2:
                             word, tag = ' '.join(tokens[:-1]), tokens[-1] # join parts except the las
                             current_sentence.append((word, tag))
                         else:
                            print(f"Error in line {line_number}: Unexpected format - {line}")
                     else:
                         current_sentence.append(line)
     # If there's remaining sentence at the end, add it to the list of sentences
     if current_sentence:
         sentences.append(current_sentence)
     return sentences
  def UNK(dev,words):
      dev_2 = copy.deepcopy(dev)
      for sentence in dev_2:
              for index, word in enumerate(sentence):
                 if word not in words:
                    sentence[index] = "#UNK#"
      return dev 2
✓ 0.0s
  def simple sentiment analysis(dataset, trainset, output path):
      words = set([x for sentence in trainset for (x, y) in sentence])
      dataset = UNK(dataset, words)
      emission_params = estimate_emissions_from_data(trainset)
      output = []
      for sentence in dataset:
         sentence wlabels = []
          for word in sentence:
              sentiment_dict = emission_params.get(word, {})
              if sentiment_dict:
                 label = max(sentiment_dict, key=sentiment_dict.get)
                 sentence_wlabels.append((word, label))
                 sentence wlabels.append((word, '0'))
         output.append(sentence_wlabels)
      write_file(output, output_path)
   ES_dev_in = read_dataset('./ES/dev.in', labeled=False)
   ES_data_train = read_data('./ES/train')
   simple_sentiment_analysis(ES_dev_in ,ES_data_train, "./ES/dev.p1.out")
   RU_dev_in = read_dataset('./RU/dev.in', labeled=False)
   RU_data_train = read_data('./RU/train')
   simple_sentiment_analysis(RU_dev_in ,RU_data_train, "./RU/dev.p1.out")
```

Our code defines functions to perform simple sentiment analysis on a dataset. The 'read_dataset' function reads sentences from a file, tokenizing and tagging them with words and labels. The 'UNK'

function replaces out-of-vocabulary words in the given dataset with a special "#UNK#" token. The `estimate_emissions_from_data` function calculates emission probabilities for words and sentiment labels based on training data. The `simple_sentiment_analysis` function takes an input dataset, replaces unknown words with "#UNK#", estimates emission probabilities using the training set, and assigns sentiment labels to words based on the highest emission probability. The results are then written to an output file. This process enables basic sentiment analysis by associating sentiment labels with words in a given dataset. Subsequently, the code writes these predictions to an output file named dev.p1.out.

Results

Results of precision, recall and F scores of such a baseline system for each dataset:

```
• shelengo@Shelens-MacBook-Pro ES % python3 evalResult.py dev.out dev.p1.out
  #Entity in gold data: 229
  #Entity in prediction: 1466
  #Correct Entity: 178
  Entity precision: 0.1214
  Entity recall: 0.7773
Entity F: 0.2100
  #Correct Sentiment: 97
  Sentiment precision: 0.0662
  Sentiment recall: 0.4236
Sentiment F: 0.1145
shelengo@Shelens-MacBook-Pro RU % python3 evalResult.py dev.out dev.p1.out
  #Entity in gold data: 389
  #Entity in prediction: 1816
  #Correct Entity: 266
  Entity precision: 0.1465
  Entity recall: 0.6838
  Entity F: 0.2413
  #Correct Sentiment: 129
  Sentiment precision: 0.0710
Sentiment recall: 0.3316
Sentiment F: 0.1170
```

PART 2

Section 1

```
import sys
sys.stdout.reconfigure(encoding='utf-8')
with open("./Data/ES/train", "r", encoding="utf-8") as f:
    data = f.read()

# CALCULATE: TRANSITION PARAMETER
## q(yt|yt-1) = Count(yt-1, yt) / Count(yt-1)

def transitionParameter(data, yi_1) :

lines = data.split('\n')
    transition_probabilities = {}

## CALCULATE: Count(yt-1, yt)
    transition_counts = {}
    for i in range(1, len(lines)):
        yi_1, yi = lines[i - 1], lines[i]

    # Updating Counts
    if (yi_1, yi) in transition_counts:
        transition_counts[(yi_1, yi)] += 1
    else:
        transition_counts[(yi_1, yi)] = 1

## CALCULATE: Count(yt-1)
for pair, count in transition_counts.items():
        yi_1, yi = pair
        count_yi_1 = sum(1 for line in lines if line.startswith(yi_1)) # Count(yt-1)

# CALCULATE: q(yt | yt-1) = Count(yt-1, yt) / Count(yt-1)
    transition_probabilities[pair] = count / count_yi_1

return transition_probabilities
```

We call the function which takes in 2 argument data and the previous state. The function initializes an empty dictionary which stores the calculated probabilities. Firstly, the function counts the transition count. The code parses the data by splitting it into lines and then sequentially processes these lines. Starting from the second line (index 1), the function extracts the current state (yi) and the previous state (yi_1) from each line. We track the transition from y_I to yi in the transition_counts. For every transition, the function updates the corresponding entry in the transition_counts by either incrementing an existing count or initializing it to 1, in the base case. Hence the function can then determine the transition probabilities for each pair using the given formula. The resultant probabilities are stored within the transition probabilities dictionary.

Section 2

This is the code to run the Part2 Section2 Code.

The run method initializes the necessary parameters and calls the viterbi_main method, which is the core of the algorithm. Within the viterbi_main method, the algorithm starts with a base case for the start of the sequence, setting the initial score to 1. For each subsequent step, the algorithm examines the current word and calculates the best score for transitioning to different possible states based on the transition and emission probabilities. Looking at the given dataset (ES/RU), special handling is required for punctuation and digital characters, which are assumed to be in the "O" category. The algorithm iterates through the possible tags and computes scores based on transition and emission probabilities. Unknown words are addressed through an "#UNK#" category. Once the highest-scoring state for the current time step is determined, the sequence of best tags is constructed incrementally. The algorithm iterates recursively backward and then frontwards through the sequence. The final prediction is based on the best route of tags found through the algorithm.

Results

#Entity in gold data: 229
#Entity in prediction: 642

#Correct Entity : 111
Entity precision: 0.1729
Entity recall: 0.4847
Entity F: 0.2549

#Correct Sentiment : 76
Sentiment precision: 0.1184
Sentiment recall: 0.3319
Sentiment F: 0.1745

ES DATA

#Entity in gold data: 389
#Entity in prediction: 381

#Correct Entity: 138
Entity precision: 0.3622
Entity recall: 0.3548
Entity F: 0.3584

#Correct Sentiment: 94
Sentiment precision: 0.2467
Sentiment recall: 0.2416
Sentiment F: 0.2442

RU DATA

PART 3

```
from itertools import product
with open(",Data/RU/train", "r", encoding="utf-6") as f:
    data = f.read()

transition_params = transitionParameter(data)
# print((rransition_params)

prepped_test_data = prepare_data('RU')
# print((lon(prepped_test_data))

test_results = getting_tag('RU')

with open(",Unta/RU/train', "rb") as f:
    train_data = f.read()

passinto = line_decode('utf-8') for line in train_data.split(b'\n')]
# print(passinto)
emission_params = estimate_emissions(passinto)

class kth_viterbi_algorithm:
    def run(self, transition, emission, data):
        j = len(data)
        k = len(data)
        self.um = "sirur"
        self.um = "sirur"
```

```
elser

# grain(1)

current = data[] - 1]

# managed to pass each word in recursion step

result = self.endified.witerbi_main(j - 1, transition, emission, data[0 : j-1], k, sentence)

# print(self.u)

# print(self.u)

# print(self.u)

# print monology, purtuations are 0

# if j ce kt

# sprine monology, purtuations are 0

# if current in punctuations

# secure = result * transition[self.u, "0"] * 1

# best_routs.append("0")

# return score

# slow:

# for char in current:

# for the indight(")

# self.un = "0"

# best_routs.append("0")

# temp.dit = ()

# for in possible:

# f
```

We implemented a structured prediction problem where we implemented a modified version of our Viterbi algorithm to find the k-best paths for a sequence of observations.

- 1. Preparing for K-Best Paths:
 - a. The kth_viterbi_algorithm is an extension of the viterbi algorithm to handle the k-best paths. It accumulates k-best paths in kth_store and keeps track of various intermediate data structures.
- 2. Viterbi Algorithm Execution:
 - a. The Viterbi algorithm is excuted for each sentence in prepped_test_data using the modified viterbi algorithm method. We find the possible paths for each sentence.
- 3. K-Best Paths Calculation:
 - a. After executing the Viterbi algorithm for each sentence, the get_kth_path method od kth_viterbi_algorithm is called with a specific value of k(2 in our case) to retrieve the k-best paths for each sentence. This method is responsible for populating the kth_store list with dictionaries containing probabilities or scores for each state in the path.
- 4. Extracting Values and Calculating Permutations
 - a. We then extract the values from the kth_store list of dictionaries, generating
 permutations of those values, and calculating products of values in each permutations.
 We will rank and select the k-best paths based on the calculated product values.
- 5. Finalizing and Writing Output
 - a. After the k-best paths are calculated and stored in k-th store the code reads the contents of a file line by line and assigns the corresponding tags based on the best route or k-best paths obtained. The modified lines are then written back to another file (dev.p3.out)

```
import sys
sys.stdout.reconfigure(encoding='utf-8')
with open("/Buta/Es/rain", "", encoding='utf-8") as f:
    data = f.raad()

sy IDMUT (list of lines
sp COUNCY (list of recoding from data)

if end is set in a stag(data):
lines = data.split('\n')
words_tags = []

for line in lines:
    elements = line.strip().split()
    if len(elements) == 2:
        word, tag = lenents
    word, tag = lenents
    word, tag = lenents
    word, tag = lenents

class viterdi_alportithe;

def num(self, transition, emission, data):

state_scores = []

j = len(data)
k = len(data)
k = len(data)
k = len(data)
syf/u = "STARIT"
sy
```

The structured perceptron algorithm enhances the Viterbi algorithm as it iteratively refines the emission and transition probabilities used for sequence tagging. While the Viterbi algorithm focuses on finding the most likely sequence of tags based solely on given probabilities, the structured perceptron incorporates a training process. It updates the probabilities during each iteration to minimize classification errors, effectively adapting the model to the training data.

The structured perceptron achieves this through the following steps:

- 1. It initially assigns weights to each tag, representing their importance in the tagging decision.
- 2. During training iterations, it employs the Viterbi algorithm to predict tags for each word and then compares these predictions to the actual tags in the training data.
- 3. When a misclassification occurs, the algorithm adjusts the weights associated with the true and predicted tags based on their probabilities and counts.
- 4. This weight adjustment mechanism optimizes the model's performance by encouraging the correct tags and discouraging incorrect ones.

By iteratively refining the model's parameters, the structured perceptron improves the accuracy of sequence tagging.